

Committee	PLANNING COMMITTEE (C)	
Report Title	MINUTES	
Ward		
Contributors		
Class	PART 1	Date: 02 AUGUST 2018

MINUTES

To approve the minutes of the meeting of Planning Committee (C) held on the 21st June 2018.

LONDON BOROUGH OF LEWISHAM

MINUTES of the meeting of the PLANNING COMMITTEE (C) held in The COUNCIL CHAMBERS CIVIC SUITE, LEWISHAM TOWN HALL, CATFORD, SE6 4RU on 21st June 2018 at 19:30.

PRESENT: Councillors Ogunbadwa (Chair), Brown, Gallagher, Gibbons, Mallory, Moore, Sheikh and Smith.

OFFICERS: Helen Milner – Planning Service, Paula Young – Legal Services and Georgia McBirney – Committee Co-ordinator

APOLOGIES: Councillors Penfold and Krupski

1. DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

There were no declaration of interests.

2. MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting Planning Committee (C) held on the 29th March 2018 could not be agreed as no current members of the committee were at the last meeting.

3. 222-222A Trundley's Road, SE8 5JE

The presenting officer outlined that the application is for demolition of the existing buildings at 222 – 222A Trundley's Road, SE8, and the construction of a new part 3, part 4 residential building comprising of 7 self-contained units (3x1 bed and 4x2 bed), with associated outside amenity space, landscaping and cycle storage.

The presenting officer highlights that all of the proposed units would be dual aspect and that the flat roofs would not be accessible to residents for use as terraces. It has highlighted that the Environment Agency initially had concerns in regards to the proposal but these were overcome through the use of conditions. The presenting officer also highlighted that there are Highways concerns over the Construction Management Plan but that measures to address the concerns can be secured through conditions.

The presenting officer outlined that three objections and one comment were received during the consultation period. The objections and comment were in regards to the loss of the existing building, the loss of character, the scale of the proposal is out of

character, impact on parking and that the proposal is contrary of Article 8 of the Human Rights Act.

Councillors Ogunbadwa (Chair) asked if Article 8 of the Human Rights Act can be clarified. Paul Young –Legal Services clarifies Article 8 of the Human Rights Act. The Committee received verbal representations from Anthony Frendo and Peter Swain who represent the applicants. Peter Swain outlined that they had been through the pre-application process and that they have nothing further to add to that which is included in the officer report.

Councillor Smith asked for clarification on the provision of the collection of rain water, how access to the green roofs will be prohibited and for confirmation if the windows on the rear are to be obscure glazed. Peter Swain confirmed that there would be an attenuation tank on site to deal with rain water. Peter Swain clarified that a window will provide maintenance access only and will not be accessible to residents and that a condition can be added to prevent access. Peter Swain also highlighted that in regards to the windows on the rear elevation, the windows serving the bathrooms, stairwells and kitchens can be obscure glazed if needed.

Councillor Sheikh asked clarification on the construction design. The presenting officer highlighted that this is not a planning consideration and this is incorporated in the Building Regulations process. Councillor Sheikh also asked for clarification on the concerns raised by the Environment Agency, the presenting officer outlined that the initial concern was in regards to floor levels and that this has been addressed and that a condition will also be added to a permission.

Councillor Kelleher spoke under standing orders in support of the objections that were received.

The committee received verbal representation from Kenny Wong, Yolanda Atkins and Shawl who are local residents who object to the proposed development. The residents outlined that they are concerned about the impact of the proposed development on privacy, the height of the proposal, overshadowing and loss of light, a loss of local history and impacts on standards of living. Concern was also raised in regards to the proposed development being located on the corner of road due to speeding cars.

Councillor Gallagher asked for clarification from the presenting officer if traffic and structural issues are material planning considerations. The presenting officer clarified that structural damage and Party Wall agreements are not material planning considerations but that there are separate channels that cover this. The presenting officer highlighted that highways safety is a planning consideration and that the issues raised by the objectors are wider issues beyond the impacts of the application and that Highways raised no concerns in relation to safety. Legal services explained that planning permission is only one of the consents that the applicant will be required to gain.

Councillor Smith affirmed that the traffic speeding issues is not an issue that is specific to the application. Councillor Smith moved a motion to accept the officer's recommendation. Councillor Gallagher asked for clarification if the motion included additional conditions, the presenting officer confirmed that additional two conditions

are to be added to the recommendation. One condition is for the windows on the rear elevation to be obscured glazed and fixed closed and the second was to modify the landscaping condition so that permeability and drainage details are provided. The motion was seconded by Councillor Gallagher.

Members voted as follows:

FOR: Councillors Smith, Gallagher, Ogunbadwa, Brown, Gibbons and Moore.

AGAINST: Councillor Sheikh

RESOLVED: That the application DC/17/101678 be approved with additional conditions.

Councillor Mallory joined the meeting.

4. 2 Radlet Avenue, SE26 4BZ

The presenting officer outlined the details of the case for the construction of a double garage to the side of 2 Radlet Avenue, SE26, together with the construction of a first floor side extension. The presenting officer outlined that the application property is adjacent to the Forest Hill Conservation Area and that the double garage has been allowed on appeal by the Planning Inspectorate. It was outlined by the presenting officer that the Council's Conservation Officer raises no objection to the proposed development.

The presenting officer outlined that three objections were received in regards to the size of the proposal, impact on outlook, impact of the proposal on the Forest Hill Conservation Area and the impact of the construction of the proposal.

The committee received verbal representations from James Taylor who is the applicant. James Taylor outlined that permission has already been granted for the double garage and he does not view the addition of a first floor side extension to be harmful considering the number of alterations that already exist on the road.

No questions were put to the applicant by members.

No representations were received from any objectors.

Councillor Smith moved a motion to accept the officer's recommendation and this was seconded by Councillor Sheikh.

Members voted as follows:

For: Councillors Smith, Sheikh, Ogunbadwa (Chair), Brown, Gibbons, Mallory and Moore.

RESOLVED: That application DC/18/105608 be approved.

5. 318 Queens Road, SE14 5JN

The presenting officer outlined the details of the case for the conversion of a single family dwelling into three self-contained flats at 318 Queens Road SE14, Comprised of x1 3bed, x1 2bed and x1 1bed properties, together with the replacement of a window with timber French doors in the rear elevation at lower ground floor. The presenting officer clarified that a family unit is a unit with three or more bedrooms.

The presenting officer outlined that an objection was received from Councillor Millbank, which was supported by Councillors Bell and Sorba as the proposal is detrimental to the council's needs. The presenting officer clarified that DM Policy 3 seeks to prevent the loss of family units and as three bedroom unit is proposed it is not considered there to be an overall loss of family sized units on the site.

The committee received verbal representations from Steven and Amy Waterman who are the applicants. Steven Waterman outlined that they utilised the pre-application process and amended the scheme to provide a family unit by means of three bedroom unit. Steven Waterman highlighted how they view the location to better suited to flats rather than a single family dwellinghouse and that there is a clear distinction with the character of the Telegraph Hill Conservation Area. It was also outlined that the units would exceed space standards.

Councillor Gallagher asked for clarification in comparison to space standards how big the third bedroom is. The presenting officer outlined that the third bedroom complies with the space standards.

Councillor Moore moved a motion to accept the officer's recommendation and this was seconded by Councillor Smith.

Members voted as follows:

FOR: Councillors Moore, Smith, Ogunbadwa (Chair), Brown, Gallagher, Gibbons, Mallory and Sheikh.

The meeting ended at 20:45

21st June 2018