

| <b>Sustainable Development Select Committee</b> |   |                               |   |
|---|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Title   | Catford Regeneration Review– 2 <sup>nd</sup> Evidence Session | Item No.                      | 4 |
| Wards   | All   |                               |   |
| Contributors                                    | Scrutiny Manager  |                               |   |
| Class   | Part 1  | 14 <sup>th</sup> January 2016 |   |

## **1. Purpose of paper**

- 1.1. The Sustainable Development Select Committee has agreed to undertake a review looking at the topic of Catford Regeneration Review as part of its work programme for 2015/16. This report and appendices, coupled with evidence provided at the meeting, will provide information for the Committee to discuss as part of its review.

## **2. Recommendations**

- 2.1. The Select Committee is asked to:

- note the content of the reports attached and consider the information presented at Committee.

## **3. Background**

- 3.1. At the meeting of the Sustainable Development Select Committee Select Committee on 16 April 2015, the Committee decided as part of its work programme to undertake an in-depth review entitled Catford Regeneration Review.
- 3.2 The Committee considered and agreed a scoping report at its meeting on 22 October 2015 that set out the key lines of inquiry for the review as well as the timetable. This meeting will be the second evidence session of the Review, following on from the session held on 26 November 2015.
- 3.3 The terms of reference/key lines of inquiry for the Catford Regeneration Review are as follows:
- What are the key element of the Catford Regeneration Scheme?
  - Who are the key partners that can help to progress the various elements of the Scheme?
  - What can the Review do to help progress the Catford Regeneration Scheme?
  - Are there any barriers that are delaying the scheme – and how can these barriers be overcome?

- What is delaying the decision on whether the A205 should be relocated from its current position; and can this delayed be overcome so a swift decision can be made?
- What can the Committee do to help progress the decision on the location of the Council Offices, as part of the Scheme?

#### **4. Witnesses for the Second Evidence Session**

4.1. Witnesses for the second evidence session for the Catford Regeneration Review have been invited from the following organisations:

- Tim Thomas, Transport for London (TfL)
- Ian Chalk, Ian Chalk Architects

#### **5 Further implications**

5.1 At this stage there are no specific financial, legal, environmental or equalities implications to consider. However, each will be addressed as part of the review.