DRAFT

Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening Assessment

Article 4 Direction for Lewisham's southern wards of Bellingham, Downham, Whitefoot and Grove Park to withdraw permitted development rights for the change of use from dwelling house (Use Class C3) to small HMO's (Use Class C4)

Prepared by: London Borough of Lewisham

For consultation with statutory conusitees

05 December 2018

CONTENTS

		Page
1.0	Introduction	2
2.0	SEA Screening Procedure	2
3.0	Scope	3
4.0	Assessment of Environmental Effects	4
5.0	Draft Determination	9

1 Introduction

- 1.1 This Screening Assessment report has been prepared to determine whether a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) is required for the use of an Article 4 Direction for Lewisham's southern wards of Bellingham, Downham, Whitefoot and Grove Park to withdraw permitted development rights for the change of use from dwelling house (Use Class C3) to small HMO's (Use Class C4), in line with the Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive (Directive 2001/42/EC), transposed into law by the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations (the 'SEA Regulations') 2004.
- 1.2 Recognising that the Article 4 Direction will withdraw permitted development rights and require planning permission to be sought in accordance with our development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise, the council is required to consider whether the Article 4 Direction has the potential to result in significant environmental effects, particularly where such effects may not have already been assessed during the preparation of the council's statutory Development Plan.
- 1.3 This Screening Report has been prepared in accordance with Regulation 9 of the SEA Regulations 2004.
- 1.4 This is a draft screening assessment for consultation with the prescribed statutory consultation bodies. A final determination will be published by the council having regard to all representations received.

2 Scope of the Article 4 Direction

- 2.1 The proposed Article 4 Direction will cover Lewisham's Southern wards of Bellingham, Downham, Whitefoot and Grove Park and withdraw permitted development rights for the change of use from dwelling house (Use Class C3) to small HMO's (Use Class C4).
- 2.2 The making of an Article 4 Direction is considered to be in compliance with the NPPF and would enable the Council to better manage the impact of small HMO's within the boroughs southern wards.

3 SEA Screening Procedure

- 3.1 The SEA screening procedure generally comprises of 6 stages, as detailed below:
 - 1. Preliminary Assessment: to determine whether screening is necessary;
 - 2. Assessment of likely Environmental Effects: if screening is required the an assessment of the likely environmental effects, including any significant effects, will be undertaken in accordance with the SEA Regulations 2004;
 - 3. Draft Screening Report: a draft screening report will be prepared summarising the results of Stage 2 and including a draft determination as to whether the SPD is likely to have significant environmental effects;
 - 4. Consultation with statutory bodies.
 - 5. Final Screening Report: consideration to be given to the responses from the three statutory bodies and then a final version of the screening report prepared confirming:
 - a. The result of the screening;
 - b. Responses from the consultation bodies;
 - c. The final determination, and
 - d. The statement of reasons if no SEA is required
 - 6. Final Screening Report made publically available: the Council will issue the Final Screening Report to each of the three statutory consultees and make it publicly available for inspection.
- 3.2 This is the stage 3 report, with the current consultation being stage 4.

4 Assessment of Environmental Effects

4.1 The council, as the "responsible authority", must determine whether the plan or programme (in this case, the use of an Article 4 Direction) is likely to have significant environmental effects. The criteria for determining the significance of effects are set out in Schedule 1 of the SEA Regulations 2004, which are set out in the Table 4.1 below. The proposal has been assessed against each of these criteria and the results are also detailed in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 - SEA Screening Assessment

SEA Directive Criteria		Assessment	Likely Significant Effects?
1. Ch	aracteristics of the plan	or programme	
(a)	the degree to which the plan or programme sets a framework for projects and other activities, either with regard to the location, nature, size and operating conditions or by allocating resources;	It is not considered that this programme will significantly influence or set the framework for other projects or activities. The Article 4 Direction is not a statutory development plan document or a strategic plan, and does not set a framework for allocating resources. The proposed Article 4 Direction is a targeted intervention focussed on a discreet area, which will help give effect to the adopted spatial development strategy for the	No
(b)	the degree to which the plan or programme influences other plans and programmes including those in a hierarchy;	The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2018 paragraph 53 states that. The use of Article 4 directions to remove national permitted development rights should be limited to situations where this is necessary to protect local amenity or the well- being of the area (this could include the use of Article 4 directions to require planning permission for the demolition of local facilities). The HMO review Nov 2018 demonstrates a change in the spatial distribution of HMOs with a significant increase and clustering of HMOs within the boroughs southern wards.	No

These southern wards which have traditionally had the lowest proportion of HMO's in the borough are unsuitable locations for high concentrations of HMO's due to their: High levels of deprivation Poor public transport accessibility Suburban character with a high concentration of family homes Within these wards numerous properties within recent years have been extended and then converted to a small HMO using permitted development rights. The making of an Article 4 Direction is considered to be in compliance with the NPPF and would enable the Council to better manage the impact of small HMO's within the boroughs southern wards. It is important to note that an Article 4 Direction merely removes the permitted development right, it does not remove the right of a person to make an application for planning permission to carry out the development. In Lewisham's case, the development plan includes the London Plan, the Core Strategy, the Development Management Plan, the Site Allocations Plan and the Lewisham town centre Local Plan. (c) the relevance of the The use of an Article 4 Direction will No plan or programme help ensure that the impact of small for the integration of HMO's within the boroughs southern environmental wards are better managed. considerations in And that HMOs are in appropriate, particular with a view sustainable locations in line with DM to promoting Policy 6 Houses in multiple sustainable occupation (HMO) as part of a development; balanced mix of housing. The relevant policies relating to Homes in Multiple Occupation (HMOs) in the Lewisham

		Development Management Plan are policy DM Policy 6 Houses in multiple occupation (HMO) 1.The Council will only consider the provision of new Houses in Multiple Occupation where they: a. are located in an area with a public transport accessibility level (PTAL) of 3 or higher b. do not give rise to any significant amenity impact(s) on the surrounding neighbourhood c. do not result in the loss of existing larger housing suitable for family occupation, and d. satisfy the housing space standards outlined in DM Policy 32. 2.The Council will resist the loss of good quality Houses in Multiple Occupation. The self containment of Houses in Multiple Occupation, considered to provide a satisfactory standard of accommodation for those who need shorter term relatively low cost accommodation will not be permitted, unless the existing floorspace is satisfactorily re-provided to an equivalent or better standard.	
(d)	environmental problems relevant to the plan or programme;	It is not anticipated that any environmental problems will be introduced or intensified as a result of the proposed Article 4 Direction.	No
(e)	the relevance of the plan or programme for the implementation of Community legislation on the environment (e.g. plans and programmes linked to wastemanagement or water protection).	The concentration of HMOs in inappropriate locations can often lead to negative impacts. Guidance produced by DCLG, Housing in Multiple Occupation and possible planning response (2008) noted the following negative impacts that can be experienced in such circumstances: • anti-social behaviour, noise and nuisance; • imbalanced and unsustainable communities;	No

		 negative impacts on the physical environment and streetscape; pressures upon parking provision; increased crime; growth in private rented sector at the expense of owner-occupation; pressure upon local community facilities and restructuring of retail, commercial services and recreational facilities to suit the lifestyles of the predominant population. The making of an Article 4 Direction would enable the Council to better manage the impact of small HMO's within the boroughs southern wards. 		
2. Ch	2. Characteristics of the effects and of the area likely to be affected			
(a)	the probability, duration, frequency and reversibility of the effects,	The impact of the Article 4 is likely to be overwhelmingly positive, with the document having the potential to have positive impacts in the short, medium and longer term.	No	
(b)	the cumulative nature of the effects	Once in place the Article 4 Direction will be relevant to all properties that fall within the proposed area, and only where an application for a change of use to a small HMO is made.	No	
		The Article 4 Direction will enable the council to better manage the impact of small HMO's within the area and is expected to have a positive cumulative impact, however these are not considered to result in likely significant environmental effects.		
(c)	the trans-boundary nature of the effects of the SPD	There are no trans-boundary effects anticipated from the proposed Article 4 Direction.	No	
(d)	the risks to human health or the environment (for example, due to accidents)	HMOs are most frequently occupied by low income, transient people. The proposal for an Article 4 Direction will assist in delivering better quality HMOs and regulate their concentration improving the living	No	

		conditions for occupants and those nearby. There are no anticipated risks to human health or the environment.	
(e)	the magnitude and spatial extent of the effects (geographical area and size of the population likely to be affected),	The proposed Article 4 Direction will cover four wards (1006ha in size) and an estimated population of 62,550. The extent of the Article 4 Direction is limited to a contained local area, and it is not considered to be significant in the wider context of the borough and sub-region; the proposal is not considered to result in likely significant environmental effects.	No
(f)	the value and vulnerability of the area likely to be affected due to:	The majority of the area does not contain any significant special natural characteristics or statutory designated heritage assets.	No
	i) special natural characteristics or cultural heritage ii) exceeded environmental quality standards or limit	There are a number of Sites of Importance Nature Conservation (SINC) and also Metropolitan Open Land (MOL). The Article 4 Direction is not expected to have any significant impact on these assets.	
(g)	the effects on areas or landscapes which have a recognised national, Community or international protection status	There are a number of Sites of Importance Nature Conservation (SINC) and also Metropolitan Open Land (MOL). The Article 4 Direction is not expected to have any significant impact on these assets.	No

5 Draft Determination

- 5.1 The screening assessment detailed in table 4.1 indicates that that the use of an Article 4 Direction for Lewisham's southern wards of Bellingham, Downham, Whitefoot and Grove Park to withdraw permitted development rights for the change of use from dwelling house (Use Class C3) to small HMO's (Use Class C4), will not result in any significant environmental impacts. It is noted that the SEA Regulations 2004 do not specify the nature of effects (i.e. positive or negative) that would give rise to a full SEA. However, this assessment has identified that the Article 4 Direction is unlikely to generate significant environmental effects by itself, and there are no negative effects on the environment anticipated. The spatial extent of the Article 4 is limited to a contained area of the borough, and will enable the council to better manage the impact of small HMO's within this area.
- 5.2 Any effects resulting from higher tier planning documents including the 'parent policies' relevant to HMOs have already been considered and assessed by a separate full SEA through the Development Plan process.
- 5.3 The Article 4 Direction does not propose any new policies, or the amendment of existing policies, and will not allocate resources or direct other higher level plans and programmes.
- 5.4 In conclusion, the draft determination is that a SEA will not be required.

Next Stage

- 5.5 The draft determination is that a SEA will not be required for the Article 4 Direction. However, before a final determination is made it will be necessary to:
 - 1 Consult on this draft determination with statutory consultees
 - 2 Prepare a final screening report, which is to be made publicly available.