

Weaser, Clare

From: Hooper, Lisa
Sent: 10 October 2019 09:24
To: Licensing
Cc: Lockett, Richard
Subject: RE: Arch 2, Deptford Railway Station licence application

From: Licensing
Sent: 09 October 2019 16:22
To: Hooper, Lisa
Subject: FW: Arch 2, Deptford Railway Station licence application

From: Deptford Society Licensing [<mailto:licensing@deptfordsociety.uk>]
Sent: 09 October 2019 10:07
To: Licensing
Subject: Arch 2, Deptford Railway Station licence application

Dear sir or madam

As the local amenity society, the Deptford Society would like to object to the licence application for Arch 2 on the following grounds:

We believe the hours applied for are excessive and will undermine the licensing objectives of the prevention of public nuisance and the prevention of crime and disorder. Granting this licence has the potential to cause noise nuisance and disturbance to immediate neighbours on the high street, and residents in Market Yard itself.

Deptford High Street and Deptford Market Yard have a high density of residential properties, many home to families with small children, as do neighbouring side streets and the surrounding area. Late night disturbance will therefore affect the sleep and health of children as well as adults. On the whole, residents currently enjoy a good coexistence with businesses and existing licensed premises, and we want to keep it that way in order to retain the diversity of the high street.

Late night licences increase the likelihood of public nuisance or crime and disorder. Deptford High Street already has problems with public urination, noise, littering and graffiti and we believe that granting extended licensing hours will exacerbate this.

Yours sincerely
Licensing Matters
The Deptford Society

Weaser, Clare

From:
Sent: 11 October 2019 11:27
To: Licensing
Subject: RE - BALCCA Ltd Arch 2, Deptford Railway Station, SE8 4NS license application

To whom it may concern

I would like to register my concerns re the Application for a license at

ARCH @ Deptford Market yard.

IN order to be clear it would be beneficial to have the full details of the application. However given that today is the last day for such representations. I would however be grateful for these details in any case.

I would make the following representations.

1
given the proximity of residential properties occupied by families with children
e.g. 124 Deptford High street, St Pauls House,
I would suggest that all licenses applied for beyond Midnight are not acceptable.

- the sale and consumption of alcohol beyond midnight,
- A "Late night refreshment" does not specific what that is how ever if it entails cooking and preparing food then the venting from that would involve noise.

2
the Playing of recorded music

I believe special attention needs to paid to the unique nature of the space of the Market yard. this enclosed space forms an echo/ amplification chamber. there are no soft surfaces to absorb sound the small amount of plant-ting having a negligible effect on diminishing this.
So with multiple hard surfaces opposite and adjacent to the property the noise will bounce and extend beyond the yard itself. Indeed music/sound from current licensed properties in the Yard has been experience as far north as Mary Ann Gardens, some 200 meters away.

3 _ GENERAL NOTES

In short I would suggest that a midnight closure is enforced, i.e. should be granted to the applicant due to the impact on the large residential community within a 200m area adjacent to the property.

I would also ask that all activity , cleaning, rubbish and recycling clearance are complete and staff dismissed by 00-30hrs.

I would also suggest that attention is paid to the outside drinking and eating and that should be terminated at 11pm at which pint all customers should be inside the property thereby reducing the noise. also that any area outside the property should be strictly limited to 3 metres for the property to reduce impeding access to the station. (something that should be regulated on anther properties in the Yard).

Many thanks for you attention to this.

Yours

Weaser, Clare

From:
Sent: 11 October 2019 12:21
To: Licensing
Subject: Objection to Premises License for Arch 2, Deptford Railway Station, Deptford High Street, London, SE8 4NS

Dear Sir or Madam,

As a local resident who has lived on Deptford High Street for over two decades, I would like to object to the application of a premises licence at Arch 2, Deptford Railway Station, Deptford High St, London, SE8 4NS.

The premises in question forms part of a mixed use development that houses a significant quantity of residential dwellings. As such it seems obvious that the extended hours requested in this application - namely until midnight Monday to Thursday and until 2am Friday and Saturday - would undermine the licensing objectives of the prevention of public nuisance and the prevention of crime and disorder.

This issue is an example of a growing problem that extends to Deptford High St and the surrounding roads as they too contain a significant and often overlooked residential community, including families, of thousands. The recent proliferation of extended hours licensing in this area is proof that the Licensing Authority does not appreciate that the the sleep and health of children as well as adults needs protecting from the impact of this new wave of night-time business. These existing businesses are ignoring the conditions that their license was granted under and it is clear that the council does not have an effective enforcement strategy to deal with this. The impact of this is that people are considering moving out of Deptford as they feel this level of nuisance is untenable.

The residential character of this area is long established and a crucial part of the diversity that makes Deptford such a special place. Lewisham should be very careful it doesn't unwittingly ruin this by making it somewhere unreasonable to live in favour of beer tourism. The ensuing social degradation is not hard to imagine. Deptford High Street already has problems with public urination, noise, littering and graffiti and I believe that granting extended licensing hours will exacerbate this. The premises also falls within a CIZ and already has a large number of street drinkers; making alcohol available over an extended period has the potential to exacerbate the existing problems of disorderly behaviour and public urination, undermining the objective of prevention of public nuisance.

I would therefore like to suggest that standard hours are applied with all exterior drinking ceasing at 10pm. If extended weekend hours are to be considered then they should be no later than midnight and that there should be very strong conditions that make sure all drinking after 10pm is indoors and that there is sufficient sound insulation (closed doors etc) that means noise is in no way a nuisance.

Thank you for considering this objection.

Yours sincerely

Weaser, Clare

From: Licensing
Sent: 15 October 2019 11:57
To: Lockett, Richard
Subject: FW: Licence application Arch 2 Deptford Railway Station

From:
Sent: 04 October 2019 10:49
To: Licensing
Subject: Licence application Arch 2 Deptford Railway Station

Dear sir or madam

I am writing to register my objection to the application of a premises licence for Arch 2, Deptford Railway Station, SE8 4NS for the sale of alcohol, provision of late night refreshment, and regulated entertainment.

1. The hours for which the applicant wishes to be licensed to carry out these activities are excessive and I believe they will undermine the licensing objectives of the prevention of public nuisance and the prevention of crime and disorder. Granting this licence would have the potential to cause serious noise nuisance and disturbance not only to residents whose properties face onto Deptford Market Yard, but also those on the high street and adjoining roads as customers make their way home. Other premises in the yard are licensed until midnight which I believe is a reasonable compromise that should enable businesses and residents to co-exist successfully.

2. The noise from customers leaving the premises at 2am would create a public nuisance for immediate neighbours and also those in the wider vicinity as groups of people make their way home along the high street and side streets. The fact that Deptford High Street has no public transport service at this time of night means that customers will have to disperse along the high street and surrounding areas in order to reach buses and so on.

3. I would also like to point out that Deptford High Street and its immediate vicinity has a high residential density and very little traffic at night. Allowing premises to open until the early hours of the morning is not appropriate for this environment, if prevention of public nuisance is to be upheld.

I urge committee members to reject the application in its existing form, for the reasons stated above.
Yours sincerely