Weaser, Clare

Subject	: FW: 739156 / 10-12 Royal Parade SE3 0TL
	Dear Sir
	I am a local resident and am writing re the above licensing application.
	The points I wish to make are:
	 The premises have latterly been a restaurant (CAU) and I assume that a new restaurant is likely to take over the premises. I do not see why hours beyond 23.00 are required given the location of the premised in a residential area and the cumulative impact zone in place. The premises, pre-CAU, did have a later licence (as the Cactus Pit), which regularly caused issues under the 4 licensing act 2003 criteria, and I would not wish those late hours to be permitted again. Good to see that the conditions on lapsed licence PL0287 are being retained, but they appear to have been diluted in that the requirement for a "substantial meal" has been removed – this should be retained
	Many thanks
	Kind regards
	Paul
	Southvale Road SE3 0TP

Dear Richard Lockett,

We are commenting on the licensing application for the premises formerly occupied by CAU restaurant.

We were sorry to see that CAU had to close, we understand for financial reasons connected with the owner, and are pleased that a replacement restaurant will be opening. We have the following points on the current application:

- 1. The police have informed us that this is a new application, so whatever terms earlier licences had are not in any way relevant.
- 2. The CAU licence permitted opening up until 2 a.m. but this was far too late for the requirements of a restaurant; CAU closed at 23.00 on Mon-Sat and 22.30 on Sun. We suggest that the new licence be limited to reasonable restaurant hours such as the closing hours of CAU as mentioned. The predecessor to CAU on this site was the Cactus Pit, which was open as a bar until 2 a.m. and had a history of contravention of the four licensing objectives. We are not aware of any such problems with CAU, which shut at normal restaurant times.
- 3. Given the problems with the former Cactus Pit, the licence should specifically state that the licence applies to the premises being used as a restaurant only.
- 4. The applicant states in the application that 'the conditions that were appended to lapsed licence PL 0287 are suitable for the promotion of the licencing objectives. They were as follows...' but then weakens the requirement for a customer to be taking a 'substantial meal'. We have corresponded with the police on this and they have stated that they will be proposing amended wording on this point.

The Blackheath Society

P

THE BLACKHEATH SOCIETY

020 8297 1937 office@blackheath.org www.blackheath.org

Weaser, Clare

Subject:

FW: PL 0287 Royal Parade

Subject: PL 0287 Royal Parade

David Royal Parade London SE3 OTL

7 Feb 2019

As a close neighbour, I am disturbed to see an application to re-activate the licence for 10-12 Royal Parade. I am also worried that a couple of small notices on the windows of the premises is insufficient to widely inform people about the potential restoration of a long-standing former problem.

I realise that objections must cite narrow criteria such as social disruption, which may be deemed impossible when the premises has yet to open. But your files covering the premises when the licence was active only a few years ago should be enough to illustrate potential problems.

The Cactus Pit, as it was then known, was notorious as the focus for noise and disruption for many years. I am afraid those days could return.

I have no objection to an alcohol license but believe it should not be allowed to extend beyond normal opening hours for local pubs almost every night. The same applies to live entertainment.

The problem with the Cactus Pit was that it attracted people after the pubs had closed, when they were at their most raucous and inclined to generate street noise and often more serious disturbance. Live entertainment merely adds to the attraction and generates noise for local residents on Royal Parade and Montpelier Vale.

I also cannot see how this could conform to the village restriction zone, which has made an enormous influence in controlling problems over the last few years.

This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

3A__www.avast.com_antivirus&d=DwlBAg&c=OMjwGp47Ad5otWIO__lpOg&r=krTEllvmRFkTtJErF-Uzn6hi77U2eEdOrRZ8liqd5Aw&m=mWHRzPdkhCEW2hcxsk3uEMVd0L8YvdMrPEq8SQBo1Bk&s=f8Dk9CMxNSrbYUBn Z0yv9u-mIJ6jOug-JLco8NzyEho&e=

Door Str/Madan

RECEIVED 0 4 FEB 2010

for a late right entertainment licence on Royal Pande (your ref: PLO287)

Toppose the application because Blackheath is a Small village which already has two (ar least) premises with late licenses It would be inappropriate to add to the Noise, litter and ment offer dean up problems (eg people vinaling / voriling in the street)

We were shaked that a young mon was murdered in Blackheath as a direct consequence of late right driving in the Mage. This assure event hoppened since be engind livence PLOZ87 was granted and means that the ament application takes place in a very different Context, who residents are much more concorned about violent come

The most recent establishments at the plot have been restaurants and it would be much more appropriate to allow anothe restaurant to ope in the village.

Best wister

Enly Blaceheak While SE3 OTX