1. **Purpose**

1.1. The purpose of this report is to present the Health and Well Being Board Performance Dashboard to the Healthier Communities Select Committee.

2. **Recommendation**

2.1 Members of the Healthier Communities Select Committee are recommended to note performance as measured by the health and care indicators set out in the attached dashboard Annex A, and by progress in delivering the actions within the Health & Wellbeing Strategy Delivery Plan.

3. **Policy Context**

3.1 The Health and Social Care Act 2012 established health and wellbeing boards as a forum where key leaders from the health and care system work together to improve the health and wellbeing of their local population and reduce health inequalities. The activity of the Health and Wellbeing Board is focused on delivering the strategic vision for Lewisham as established in Shaping our Future – Lewisham’s Sustainable Community Strategy, and in Lewisham’s Health and Wellbeing Strategy.

3.2 The Health and Social Care Act 2012 placed a duty on local authorities and their partner clinical commissioning groups to prepare and publish joint health and wellbeing strategies to meet needs identified in their joint strategic needs assessments (JSNAs). Lewisham’s Health and Wellbeing Strategy was published in 2013.

3.3 The Health and Social Care Act also required health and wellbeing boards to encourage persons who arrange for the provision of any health or social services in the area to work in an integrated manner, for the purpose of advancing the health and wellbeing of the area.

3.4 The Better Care Fund (BCF) sits as part of a wider strategic approach and the focus of this work is to establish better co-ordinated and planned care closer to home, thus reducing demand for emergency/crisis care in acute settings and preventing people from requiring mental health and social care services.

4. **Background**

4.1 In response to the request from members of the Board, the Director of Public Health has worked alongside colleagues within Adult Social Care and the Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) to produce a dashboard of indicators which would
assist members in monitoring health and wellbeing improvements across Lewisham and the effectiveness of the integrated adult care programme.

4.2 The dashboard also includes a number of indicators (including those on birth weight, immunisation and excess weight) that are also included in the Be Healthy priority of the Children and Young People’s Partnership.

4.3 The Health & Wellbeing Strategy Implementation Group has recently received an update on delivery progress based on actions in the Health & Wellbeing Strategy Delivery Plan. The Group uses RAG ratings to assess progress, where Green is good, Amber is fair, and Red is poor.

4.4 The Implementation Group provides an assurance mechanism for the Board that enables discussion with leads for underperforming areas and for plans to be put in place to address this, and where appropriate escalate to Board. The update shows the majority of actions rated as green. All other actions that were rated amber or red were judged by the Implementation Group to have plans to address them. The Implementation Group will monitor the action plans closely to ensure that effective progress is being made. It is anticipated that the progress being made in delivery of the Strategy will translate into improvement in Health & Wellbeing Board Dashboard Indicators in 2015.

4.5 This Health & Well Being Board Performance Dashboard report was presented to the Health and Well Being Board by Dr Danny Ruta (Director of Public Health, LBL) in November 2014. He highlighted the following points:

- A review of Lewisham’s Health and Wellbeing Strategy Delivery Plan shows that good progress is being made in implementing the strategy, with the majority of actions rated as green. Plans are in place to address actions rated amber or red.
- Potential years of life lost (PYLL) from causes considered amenable to healthcare has significantly reduced in Lewisham.
- Human Papilloma Virus has decreased significantly.
- The alcohol related admission rate is increasing.
- The smoking quit rate is decreasing, although Lewisham is still performing better than the London average.
- The rate of new admissions to long-term care is decreasing, but the percentage of older people (65+) still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital has not changed significantly.
- The avoidable emergency admission rate is reducing and the emergency admission rate for acute conditions that should not usually require hospital admission is decreasing.

The following issues were raised or highlighted in the discussion:

- Future reports need only focus on exceptions.
- The time-lag between flagging actions and the recording of the outcomes of those actions can sometimes be as long as ten (10) years. A more refined monitoring schedule is needed to explain the overall direction of travel.
5. **Health and Wellbeing Board Performance Dashboard**

5.1 The Performance Dashboard is based on 26 national metrics drawn from the Quality and Outcomes (Primary Care), Public Health, NHS and Adult Social Care Outcomes Frameworks. These metrics have been selected to assist members in their assessment of the impact and success of the plans and activities in relation to the Health and Wellbeing Strategy and Lewisham’s adult integrated care programme.

5.2 The indicators are used to monitor the health outcomes and the integration of health and social care services on an annual or quarterly basis.

5.3 Overarching Indicators of Health & Wellbeing
Potential Years of Life Lost (PYLL) from causes considered amenable to healthcare has significantly reduced in Lewisham and we are now very similar to England.

5.4 Delayed Transfer of Care rate and average days of delays has not significantly changed.

5.5 Priority Objective 1: Achieving a Healthy Weight
There has been no updated data since the last report.

5.6 Priority Objective 2: Increasing the number of people who survive colorectal, breast and lung cancer for 1 and 5 years
There has been no update since the last report.

5.7 Priority Objective 3: Improving Immunisation Uptake
No Significant change in uptake of D4 at 5 years, D3 at 1 year, MMR at 2 years and MMR2 at 5 years. Uptake of HPV has decreased significantly during 2013/14.

5.8 Priority Objective 4: Reducing Alcohol Harm
Alcohol related admission rate is increasing and is statistically similar to England, but higher than London.

5.9 Priority Objective 5: Preventing the uptake of smoking among children and young people and reducing the numbers of people smoking
Smoking quit rate is decreasing but is higher than London and England. Smoking status at time of delivery is slightly increasing but the percentage is still less than half that of London and England (SATOD)

5.10 Priority Objective 6: Improving mental health and wellbeing
There has been no update since the last report.

5.11 Priority Objective 7: Improving sexual health
Chlamydia Diagnosis rate is improving and we are significantly higher than England. Legal abortion rate is going down but the rate is significantly higher than London and England.

5.12 Priority Objective 8: Delaying and reducing the need for long term care and support
Rate of new admissions to long term care is decreasing, but is higher than London and below England. The percentage of older people (65+) still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into rehabilitation and reablement services has not changed significantly. It is still lower than London but higher than England.
5.13 Priority Objective 9: Reducing the number of emergency admissions for people with long term conditions

Avoidable emergency admission rate is reducing but still significantly higher than England and London. Emergency admission rate for acute conditions that should not usually require hospital admission is decreasing but is still significantly higher than London and England. Emergency readmission rate within 30 days of discharge seems to be increasing and it is significantly higher than England. Reviews of Adult Social Care clients is decreasing but is still higher than England and London.

6. Financial implications

6.1 There are no specific financial implications arising from this report.

7. Legal implications

7.1 There are no specific financial implications arising from this report.

8. Crime and Disorder Implications

8.1 There are no specific crime and disorder implications arising from this report or its recommendations.

9. Equalities Implications

9.1 There are no specific equalities implications arising from this report or its recommendations, but the dashboard highlights those areas where health inequalities in Lewisham can be monitored.

10. Environmental Implications

10.1 There are no specific environmental implications arising from this report or its recommendations.

11. Conclusion

11.1 Potential Years of Life Lost (PYLL) from causes considered amenable to healthcare has significantly reduced in Lewisham. Delayed Transfer of Care rate and average days of delays has not significantly changed. There has been no change in uptake of childhood immunisations, but HPV has decreased significantly. The alcohol related admission rate is increasing and smoking quit rate is decreasing (although still performing better than London). Rate of new admissions to long term care is decreasing, but the percentage of older people (65+) still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital has not changed significantly. The avoidable emergency admission rate is reducing and the emergency admission rate for acute conditions that should not usually require hospital admission is decreasing. The emergency readmission rate seems to be increasing and reviews of Adult Social Care clients is decreasing. No updates are available for other indicators.

11.2 A review of Lewisham’s Health & Wellbeing Strategy Delivery Plan shows that good progress is being made in implementing the strategy, with the majority of actions rated as green, and all other actions that were rated amber or red judged to have plans to address them. It is anticipated that this will translate into improvement in Health & Wellbeing Board Dashboard Indicators in 2015.
If there are any queries on this report please contact Dr Danny Ruta, Director of Public Health, Community Services Directorate, Lewisham Council, on 020 8314 8637 or by email danny.ruta@lewisham.gov.uk
Annex B: Definitions and Data sources

Please note that some of the definitions may have PCTs instead of CCGs for organisation. This is due to the national definitions in the technical specification document which can be obtained by clicking on the link in the data source section.

### Overarching Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1a/1b. Life Expectancy at Birth (Male/Female)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Definition</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Numerator</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Denominator</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data source</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2. Children in Poverty (Under 16s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Definition</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Numerator</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Denominator</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data source</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. Under 75 Mortality Rates from CVD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Definition</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Numerator</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 4. Potential Years of Life Lost (PYLL) from causes considered amenable to healthcare (DSR)

**Definition**

Directly age and sex standardised potential years of life lost to conditions amenable to healthcare in the respective calendar year per 100,000 CCG population.

**Numerator**

Death registrations in the calendar year for all England deaths based on GP of registration from the Primary Care Mortality Database (PCMD).

**Denominator**

Unconstrained GP registered population counts by single year of age and sex from the HSCIC (Exeter) Systems; supplied annually on 1 January for the forthcoming calendar year.

**Data source**

NHOF 1a (NHSIC P01559 – CCGOI 1.1)

Data

[https://www.indicators.ic.nhs.uk/download/Clinical%20Commissioning%20Group%20Indicators/Data/CCG_1.1_I00767_D_V5.xls](https://www.indicators.ic.nhs.uk/download/Clinical%20Commissioning%20Group%20Indicators/Data/CCG_1.1_I00767_D_V5.xls)

Specification


### 5a/5b. Slope index of inequality in life expectancy at birth (Males/Females)

**Definition**

This indicator measures inequalities in life expectancy. Life expectancy at birth is calculated for each local deprivation decile based on Lower Super Output Areas (LSOAs). The slope index of inequality (SII) is then calculated based on these figures. The SII is a measure of the social gradient in life expectancy, i.e. how much life expectancy varies with deprivation. It takes account of health inequalities across the whole range of deprivation factors within each local authority and summarises this as a single number, which represents the range in years of life expectancy across the social gradient from most to least deprived, based on a statistical analysis of the relationship between life expectancy and deprivation across all deprivation deciles.

Life expectancy at birth is a measure of the average number of years a person would expect to live based on contemporary mortality rates. For a particular area and time period, it is an estimate of the average number of years a newborn baby would survive if he or she experienced the age-specific mortality rates for that area and time period throughout his or her life.

**Data source**

PHOF 0.2iii [http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000049/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023](http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000049/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023)

### 6. Infant Mortality

**Definition**

Mortality rate per 1,000 live births (age under 1 year)

**Numerator**

The number of infant deaths aged less than 1 year that occurred in the relevant period.

**Denominator**

Number of all births.

**Data source**


Original source is from ONS.

### 7. Low birth weight of all babies

**Definition**

Percentage of live and stillbirths weighing less than 2,500 grams

**Numerator**

Number of new born babies weighing less than 2500gms

**Denominator**

Number of all births

**Data source**


Original source is from ONS.
8. Proportion of people using social care who receive self-directed support, and those receiving direct payments

**Definition**
This is a two-part measure which reflects both the proportion of people using services who receive self-directed support (part 1), and the proportion who receive a direct payment either through a personal budget or other means (part 2).

**Numerator**
Number of clients and carers receiving self-directed support (part 1) or direct payments (part 2) in the year to 31 March

**Denominator**
Number of clients receiving community-based services and carers receiving carer specific services in the year to 31 March (aged 18 and over)

**Data source**
ASCOF 1C – NHSIC [https://indicators.ic.nhs.uk/download/Social Care/Data/1C - Dec.xls](https://indicators.ic.nhs.uk/download/Social Care/Data/1C - Dec.xls)

---

9. Delayed transfers of care from hospital

**Definition**
This measure the impact of hospital services (acute, mental health and non-acute) and community-based care in facilitating timely and appropriate transfer from hospital. This indicates the ability of the whole system to ensure appropriate transfer from hospital for the entire adult population, and is an indicator of the effectiveness of the interface within the NHS, and between health and social care services. Minimising delayed transfers of care and enabling people to live independently at home is one of the desired outcomes of social care. This is a two-part measure that reflects both the overall number of delayed transfers of care (part 1) and, as a subset, the number of these delays which are attributable to social care services (part 2). A delayed transfer of care occurs when a patient is ready for transfer from a hospital bed, but is still occupying such a bed.

**Numerator**
Average number of delayed transfers of care on a particular day taken over the year (aged 18 and over) - this is the average of the 12 monthly snapshots collected in the monthly Situation Report (SitRep) (part 1) and of those the delays that are attributable to social care or jointly to social care and the NHS (part 2)

**Denominator**
Size of the adult population in area (aged 18 and over)

**Data source**

---

10. Days of Delay due to delayed transfers of care from hospital

**Definition**
This measure is similar to ASCOF 2C in that it measures the impact of hospital services and community based care in facilitating timely and appropriate transfer from hospital. However the measure looks at the average number of days of delay, rather than the number of patients that were delayed.

**Numerator**
Average number of days of delay patients experienced on a particular day taken over the year (aged 18 and over) - this is the average of the 12 monthly snapshots collected in the monthly Situation Report (SitRep)

**Denominator**
Size of the adult population in area (aged 18 and over)

**Data source**

Up to date Local data obtained from PPLUS(LPI264)

---

(*** Indicators below to be appear under Priority 8: Delaying and reducing the need for long term care and support)***

43. Social care related quality of life (to be replaced by a national metric in due course)

**Definition**
How do people receiving adult social care services rate their quality of life? This measure is calculated using a combination of responses to the Adult Social Care Survey, which asks how satisfied or dissatisfied users are with indicators of quality of life, such as personal cleanliness and safety. A higher score is better, with a theoretical maximum of 32, and a minimum of 8. Any score better than 16 suggests a positive result.

**Numerator**
The sum of the scores for all respondents who answered all eight questions.

**Denominator**
Number of respondents who answered questions 3a to 9a and 11 in the annual Adult Social Care Survey

**Data source**
ASCOF 1A [https://indicators.ic.nhs.uk/download/Social Care/Data/1A - Dec.xls](https://indicators.ic.nhs.uk/download/Social Care/Data/1A - Dec.xls)

---

44. Rate of new admissions to long term care

---
**Definition**

This is a two part-measure reflecting the number of admissions of younger adults (part 1) and older people (part 2) to residential and nursing care homes relative to the population size of each group. The measure compares council records with ONS population estimates.

**Numerator**

Number of council-supported permanent admissions of older adults to residential and nursing care, excluding transfers between residential and nursing care (aged 18-64 – part 1 and aged 65 and over - part 2).

**Denominator**

Size of older adult population in area (aged 65 and over).

**Data source**


---

**Definition**

This measures the benefit to individuals from reablement, intermediate care and rehabilitation following a hospital episode, by determining whether an individual remains living at home 91 days following discharge – a key outcome for people receiving reablement. It captures the joint work of social services and health staff and services commissioned by joint teams, as well as adult social care reablement.

**Numerator**

Number of older people (aged 65 and over) discharged from acute or community hospitals to their own home or to a residential or nursing care home or extra care housing for rehabilitation, with a clear intention that they will move on/back to their own home (including a place in extra care housing or an adult placement scheme setting), who are at home or in extra care housing or an adult placement scheme setting 91 days after the date of their discharge from hospital. This should only include the outcome for those cases referred to in the denominator.

**Denominator**

Number of older people (aged 65 and over) discharged from acute or community hospitals from hospital to their own home or to a residential or nursing care home or extra care housing for rehabilitation, with the clear intention that they will move on/back to their own home (including a place in extra care housing or an adult placement scheme setting).

**Data source**


---

(*** Indicators below to be appear under Priority 9: Reducing the number of emergency admissions for people with long term conditions)***

**46. Rate of avoidable emergency admissions**

**Definition**

Composite measure of:

- unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ambulatory care sensitive conditions (all ages);
- unplanned hospitalisation for asthma, diabetes and epilepsy in children;
- emergency admissions for acute conditions that should not usually require hospital admission (all ages); and
- emergency admissions for children with lower respiratory tract infection.

**Numerator**

Total avoidable emergency admissions for primary diagnoses covering those in all four metrics above, by local authority of residence (NB. This is not the same as adding admissions from the separate metrics as the four separate metrics overlap to some degree and this will therefore lead to ‘double counting”)

**Denominator**

Mid-year ONS population estimates

**Data source**

Data: HSCIC HES/ONS Mid-year population estimates
Latest update from CCGOF 2.6
### 47. Percentage of patients with Long-Term conditions actively engaged in self-care

**Definition**
This indicator measures the degree to which people with health conditions that are expected to last for a significant period of time feel they have had sufficient support from relevant services and organisations to manage their condition. Patients are encouraged to consider all services and organisations that support them in managing their condition, and not just health services. It is based on responses to the GP Patient Survey q30 (about whether a patient has a long-term condition) and q31 (asking about type of condition, which can reset q30 if they said no/don’t know).

**Numerator**
Total of respondents who said ‘yes definitely’ and half the total respondents who said ‘yes, to some extent’ for q32 (which asks whether in the last six months they have had enough support to help manage their condition).

**Denominator**
As the numerator, but adds in those that responded ‘no’.

**Data source**

---

### Priority Objective 1: Achieving a Healthy Weight

#### 11. Excess weight in Adults

**Definition**
Percentage of adults classified as overweight or obese.

**Numerator**
Number of adults with a BMI classified as overweight (including obese), calculated from the adjusted height and weight variables. Data are from APS6 quarters 2-4 and APS7 quarter 1 (mid-Jan 2012 to mid-Jan 2013). Adults are defined as overweight (including obese) if their body mass index (BMI) is greater than or equal to 25kg/m².

**Denominator**
Number of adults with valid height and weight recorded. Data are from APS6 quarters 2-4 and APS7 quarter 1 (mid-Jan 2012 to mid-Jan 2013).

**Data source**

Original Source: Active People Survey (APS), England

#### 12a/12b. Excess weight in Children - Reception Year/ Year 6 Children

**Definition**
Proportion of children aged 4-5 classified as overweight or obese. Children are classified as overweight (including obese) if their BMI is on or above the 85th centile of the British 1990 growth reference (UK90) according to age and sex.

**Numerator**
Number of children in Reception (aged 4-5 years) or Year 6 (aged 10-11) and classified as overweight or obese in the academic year. Children are classified as overweight (including obese) if their BMI is on or above the 85th centile of the British 1990 growth reference (UK90) according to age and sex.

**Denominator**
Number of children in Reception (aged 4-5 years) or Year 6 (aged 10-11) measured in the National Child Measurement Programme (NCMP) attending participating state maintained schools in England.

**Data source**
PHOF 2.06 [http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000042/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023](http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000042/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023)

Original Source: HSCIC National Childhood Measurement Programme (NCMP)

#### 13. Breastfeeding Prevalence 6-8 weeks

**Definition**
This is the percentage of infants that are totally or partially breastfed at age 6-8 weeks. Totally breastfed is defined as infants who are exclusively receiving breast milk at 6-8 weeks of age - that is, they are not receiving formula milk, any other liquids or food. Partially breastfed is defined as infants who are currently receiving breast milk at 6-8 weeks of age and who are also receiving formula milk or any other liquids or food. Not at all breastfed is defined as infants who are not currently receiving any breast milk at 6-8 weeks of age.

**Numerator**
Number of infants at the 6-8 week check who are totally or partially breastfeeding.

**Denominator**
Number of infants due for 6-8 week checks.

**Data source**
PHOF 2.02i [http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000042/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023](http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000042/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023)

Original Source: Department of Health Integrated Performance Monitoring Return
### 14a/14b. % of physically active and inactive adults

**Definition**
The number of respondents aged 16 and over, with valid responses to questions on physical activity, doing at least 150 “equivalent” minutes of at least moderate intensity physical activity per week in bouts of 10 minutes or more in the previous 28 days expressed as a percentage of the total number of respondents aged 16.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numerator</th>
<th>Number of respondents aged 16 and over, with valid responses to questions on physical activity, doing at least 150 “equivalent” minutes of at least moderate intensity physical activity per week in bouts of 10 minutes or more in the last 28 days.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denominator</td>
<td>Number of respondents aged 16 and over, with valid responses to questions on physical activity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data source**
PHOF 2.13i [http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000042/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023](http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000042/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023)
Original Source: Active People Survey, England

### Priority Objective 2: Increasing the number of people who survive colorectal, breast and lung cancer for 1 and 5 years

#### 15a. Cancer screening coverage - breast cancer

**Definition**
The percentage of women in the resident population eligible for breast screening who were screened adequately within the previous three years on 31 March.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numerator</th>
<th>Number of women aged 53–70 resident in the area (determined by postcode of residence) with a screening test result recorded in the previous three years.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denominator</td>
<td>Number of women aged 53–70 resident in the area (determined by postcode of residence) who are eligible for breast screening at a given point in time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data source**
PHOF 2.20i [http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000042/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023](http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000042/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023)
Original Source: Health and Social Care Information Centre (Open Exeter)
Up to date available from HSCIC – [http://www.hscic.gov.uk/article/2021/Website-Search?productid=14224&q=Breast++screening&sort=Relevance&size=10&page=1&area=b oth#top](http://www.hscic.gov.uk/article/2021/Website-Search?productid=14224&q=Breast++screening&sort=Relevance&size=10&page=1&area=both#top)

#### 15b. Cancer screening coverage - cervical cancer

**Definition**
The percentage of women in the resident population eligible for cervical screening who were screened adequately within the previous 3.5 years or 5.5 years, according to age (3.5 years for women aged 25-49 and 5.5 years for women aged 50-64) on 31 March.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numerator</th>
<th>The number of women aged 25-49 resident in the area (determined by postcode of residence) with an adequate screening test in the previous 3.5 years plus the number of women aged 50-64 resident in the area with an adequate screening test in the previous 5.5 years.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denominator</td>
<td>Number of women aged 25–64 resident in the area (determined by postcode of residence) who are eligible for cervical screening at a given point in time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data source**
PHOF 2.20ii [http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000042/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023](http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000042/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023)
Original Source: Health and Social Care Information Centre (Open Exeter)

#### 15c. Cancer screening coverage - bowel cancer

**Definition**
The number of persons registered to the practice aged 60-69 invited for screening in the previous 12 months who were screened adequately following an initial response within 6 months of invitation.

**Rate of Proportion**
Screening uptake %: the number of persons aged 60-69 invited for screening in the previous 12 months who were screened adequately following an initial response within 6 months of invitation divided by the total number of persons aged 60-69 invited for screening in the previous 12 months.

**Data source**
Cancer Commissioning Toolkit GP Profiles
Data [https://www.cancertoolkit.co.uk/Profiles/PracticePublic/Filters](https://www.cancertoolkit.co.uk/Profiles/PracticePublic/Filters)
Specification [https://www.cancertoolkit.co.uk/Profiles/PracticePublic/Documents](https://www.cancertoolkit.co.uk/Profiles/PracticePublic/Documents)
NB: Data in the performance indicator portal is local data from London Bowel Screening hub
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>16. Early diagnosis of cancer</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Definition</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Numerator</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Denominator</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>17. Two week wait referrals</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Definition</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rate or proportion</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data source</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>18. Under 75 mortality from all cancers</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Definition</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Numerator</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Priority Objective 3: Improving Immunisation Uptake**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>19. Uptake of the first dose of Measles Mumps and Rubella vaccine (MMR1) at two years of age</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Definition</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Numerator</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Denominator</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data source</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 20. Uptake of the second dose of Measles Mumps and Rubella Vaccine (MMR2) at five years of age

**Definition**
All children for whom the CCG is responsible who received two doses of MMR on or after their 1st birthday and at any time up to their 5th birthday as a percentage of all children whose 5th birthday falls within the time period. Estimates for local authorities are based on CCGs, which include all people registered with practices accountable to the CCG.

**Numerator**
Total number of children who received two doses of MMR on or after their 1st birthday and at any time up to their 5th birthday.

**Denominator**
All children in the responsible population whose 5th birthday falls within the time period. The CCG is responsible for all children registered with a GP whose practice forms part of the CCG, regardless of residency, plus any children not registered with a GP who are resident within the CCG’s statutory geographical boundary.

**Data source**
PHOF 3.03 http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework?gid/1000042/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023 Original source: Cover of Vaccination Evaluated Rapidly (COVER) data collected by PHE. Available from HSCIC. ***Up to date Immunisation COVER data is provided by the Local Immunisation Team on a quarterly basis which has been updated in the dashboard.***

### 21. Uptake of the third dose of Diphtheria vaccine (D3) at one year of age

**Definition**
The percentage of children for whom the CCG is responsible who received 3 doses of DTP, polio, Hib) at any time up to their 1st birthday. Estimates for local authorities are based on CCGs, which include all people registered with practices accountable to the CCG.

**Numerator**
Total number who received 3 doses of DTP, polio, Hib at any time up to their 1st birthday.

**Denominator**
The responsible population. The CCG is responsible for all children registered with a GP whose practice forms part of the CCG, regardless of residency, plus any children not registered with a GP who are resident within the CCG’s statutory geographical boundary.

**Data source**
Local Immunisation Cover Data ***Up to date Immunisation COVER data is provided by the Local Immunisation Team on a quarterly basis which has been updated in the dashboard.***

### 22. Uptake of the fourth dose of Diphtheria vaccine (D4) at five years of age

**Definition**
The percentage of children for whom the CCG is responsible who received 3 doses of DTP, polio, Hib as well as the DTP, polio booster at any time up to their 5th birthday. Estimates for local authorities are based on CCGs, which include all people registered with practices accountable to the CCG.

**Numerator**
The number of children for whom the CCG is responsible who received 3 doses of DTP, polio, Hib as well as the DTP, polio booster at any time up to their 5th birthday.
### Denominator
The responsible population. The CCG is responsible for all children registered with a GP whose practice forms part of the CCG, regardless of residency, plus any children not registered with a GP who are resident within the CCG’s statutory geographical boundary.

### Data source
Local Immunisation Cover Data

***Up to date Immunisation COVER data is provided by the Local Immunisation Team on a quarterly basis which has been updated in the dashboard.***

---

### 23. Uptake of Human Papilloma Virus (HPV) vaccine in girls in Year 8 in Lewisham Schools

**Definition**
The percentage of girls aged 12 to 13 years for whom the CCG is responsible who have received all three doses of the HPV vaccine. Estimates for local authorities are based on CCGs, which include all people registered with practices accountable to the CCG.

**Numerator**
Number of Year 8 schoolgirls (aged 12 to 13 years) who have received all three doses of the HPV vaccine.

**Denominator**
Number of Year 8 schoolgirls (aged 12-13). The CCG is responsible for all children registered with a GP whose practice forms part of the CCG, regardless of residency, plus any children not registered with a GP who are resident within the CCG’s statutory geographical boundary.

**Data source**
PHOF 3.03xii http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000042/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E120000007/are/E09000023
NB: Data in the performance indicator portal is local data from GP systems obtained via EMIS Web. Original source. Cover of Vaccination Evaluated Rapidly (COVER) data collected by PHE. Available from HSCIC.

***Up to date Immunisation COVER data is provided by the Local Immunisation Team on a quarterly basis which has been updated in the dashboard.***

---

### 24. Uptake of Influenza vaccine in those over 65 years of age

**Definition**
Flu vaccine uptake (%) in adults aged 65 and over, who received the flu vaccination between 1st September and 31st January each financial year.

**Numerator**
Number of adults aged 65 years and over vaccinated between 1st September and 31st January of the financial year.

**Denominator**
Adults aged 65 years and over. The CCG is responsible for all adults registered with a GP whose practice forms part of the CCG, regardless of residency.

**Data source**
PHOF 3.03xiv http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000042/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E120000007/are/E09000023

***Up to date Immunisation COVER data is provided by the Local Immunisation Team on a quarterly basis which has been updated in the dashboard.***

---

### Priority Objective 4: Reducing Alcohol Harm

### 25. Alcohol related admissions

**Definition**
The number of admissions involving an alcohol-related primary diagnosis or an alcohol-related external cause per 100,000 population (age standardised).

**Numerator**
The number of admissions involving an alcohol-related primary diagnosis or an alcohol-related external cause. See LAPE user guide for further details - http://www.lape.org.uk/downloads/Lape_guidance_and_methods.pdf

**Denominator**
ONS mid year population estimates

**Data source**
PHOF 2.18 http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000042/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E120000007/are/E09000023
Original Source: PHE Knowledge and Intelligence Team (North West) using data from HSCIC HES and ONS Mid Year Population Estimates. http://www.lape.org.uk/
### 26. Number of practitioners skilled in identifying those at risk from alcohol harm and delivering brief interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>TBC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numerator</td>
<td>TBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denominator</td>
<td>TBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data source</td>
<td>Data available from Lewisham Public Health Team. The Scheme started in November 2013.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Priority Objective 5: Preventing the uptake of smoking among children and young people and reducing the numbers of people smoking

#### 27. Under 75 Mortality from Respiratory Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Age-standardised rate of mortality from respiratory disease in persons less than 75 years per 100,000 population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numerator</td>
<td>Number of deaths from respiratory diseases (classified by underlying cause of death recorded as ICD codes J00-J99) registered in the respective calendar years, in people aged under 75, aggregated into quinary age bands (0-4, 5-9,…, 70-74). Counts of deaths for years up to and including 2010 have been adjusted where needed to take account of the ICD-10 coding change introduced in 2011. The detailed guidance on the implementation is available at <a href="http://www.apho.org.uk/resource/item.aspx?RID=126245">http://www.apho.org.uk/resource/item.aspx?RID=126245</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denominator</td>
<td>ONS 2011 Census based mid-year population estimates; Population-years (aggregated populations for the three years) for people of all ages, aggregated into quinary age bands (0-4, 5-9, …, 70-74).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data source</td>
<td>PHOF 4.07i <a href="http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000042/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023">http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000042/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 28. Under 75 Mortality from Lung Cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Mortality from lung cancer (ICD-10 C33-C34 equivalent to ICD-9 162).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numerator</td>
<td>Deaths from lung cancer, classified by underlying cause of death (ICD-10 C33-C34, ICD-9 162 adjusted), registered in the respective calendar year(s).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 29. Smoking Prevalence (18+) - routine and manual

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Prevalence of smoking among persons aged 18 years and over.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numerator</td>
<td>The number of persons aged 18+ who are self-reported smokers in the Integrated Household Survey. The number of respondents has been weighted in order to improve representativeness of the sample. The weights take into account survey design and non-response.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denominator</td>
<td>Total number of respondents (with valid recorded smoking status) aged 18+ in the Integrated Household Survey. The number of respondents has been weighted in order to improve representativeness of the sample. The weights take into account survey design and non-response.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 30. 4 week smoking quitters

**Definition**
This indicator relates to clients receiving support through the NHS Stop Smoking Services. A client is counted as a self-reported 4-week quitter if they have been assessed 4 weeks after the designated quit date and declares that he/she has not smoked even a single puff on a cigarette in the past two weeks. The indicator is a count of treatment episodes rather than people, so an individual who undergoes two treatment episodes and has quit at four weeks in both cases are counted twice.

**Numerator**
Number of self-reported 4-week smoking quitters.

**Denominator**
Population aged 16 or over.

**Data source**
Data – Local NHS Stop Smoking Service database.

**Specification**

### 31. Number of 11-15 year-olds who take up smoking

**Definition**
Data is obtained from survey of Yr8 and Yr 10 secondary schoolchildren. Survey happens every 2 years (2008, 2010 – No survey in 2012 but one expected in 2014)
Percentage of pupils in each group responding to:
Which statement describes you best?
Responses taken into account to calculate the percentage are below.
- I smoke occasionally (< 1 / week)
- Smoke regularly, like to give up
- Smoke, don't want to give it up

**Data source**
SHEU Survey 2010 – Lewisham Public Health Team

### 32. Number of children in smoke free homes

**Definition**
Data is obtained from survey of Yr8 and Yr 10 secondary schoolchildren. Survey happens every 2 years (2008, 2010 – No survey in 2012 but one expected in 2014)
Percentage of pupils in each group responding to:
How many people smoke, including yourself and regular visitors, on most days indoors in your home?
Responses taken into account to calculate the percentage are below.
- None (as Proxy)

**Data source**
SHEU Survey 2010 – Lewisham Public Health Team

### 33. Prevalence of Smoking in 15 year olds

**Definition**
Data is obtained from survey of Yr8 and Yr 10 secondary schoolchildren. Survey happens every 2 years (2008, 2010 – No survey in 2012 but one expected in 2014)
Percentage of pupils in each group responding to:
24: Which statement describes you best?
Responses taken into account to calculate the percentage are below.
- I have never smoked at all

**Data source**
SHEU Survey 2010 – Lewisham Public Health Team

### 34. Smoking at time of delivery

**Definition**
Number of women who currently smoke at time of delivery per 100 maternities.
Data includes all women resident within the CCG’s boundary, and no data are available to break down the CCG denominators for different areas within the CCG.

**Numerator**
Number of women known to smoke at time of delivery.

**Denominator**
Number of maternities.

**Data source**
PHOF 2.03 http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework&gid/1000042/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023

NB: Latest available quarter data from NHS Stop smoking service database.

### Priority Objective 6: Improving mental health and wellbeing

### 35. Under 75 mortality rates for those with serious mental illness
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Rate of mortality in people aged 18 to 74 suffering from serious mental illness standardised and compared to the general population.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numerator</td>
<td>Deaths from any cause in age range 18-74 at death. MH-NMDS linked over three years and to the Primary Care Mortality Database (PCMD).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denominator</td>
<td>The mental health population is defined as anyone who has been in contact with the secondary mental care services in the current financial year or in either of the two previous financial years who is alive at the beginning of the current financial year. MH-NMDS linked over three years and to PCMD, in age range 18-74.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Data source | NHSOF 1.5  
Data: https://www.indicators.ic.nhs.uk/download/Outcomes%20Framework/Data/NHSOF_1.5_I00665_D_V7.xls  

36a. Prevalence of SMI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>The percentage of patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses as recorded on practice disease registers.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numerator</td>
<td>Patients with schizophrenia, bipolar affective disorder and other psychoses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denominator</td>
<td>CCG responsible population</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Data source | National GP Practice Profiles http://fingertips.phe.org.uk/profile/general-practice/data#mod,3,pyr,2013,pat,19,par,E38000098,are,-,sid1,2000003,ind1,-,sid2,-,ind2,-  
Original Source: HSCIC QOF http://www.hscic.gov.uk/catalogue/PUB12262 |

36b. Prevalence of Dementia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>The percentage of patients with dementia as recorded on practice disease registers.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numerator</td>
<td>Patients with dementia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denominator</td>
<td>CCG responsible population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data source</td>
<td>Original Source: HSCIC QOF <a href="http://www.hscic.gov.uk/catalogue/PUB12262">http://www.hscic.gov.uk/catalogue/PUB12262</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

36c. Prevalence of Depression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>The percentage of patients aged 18 and over with depression, as recorded on practice disease registers.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numerator</td>
<td>Patients aged 18 and over with depression, as recorded on practice disease registers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denominator</td>
<td>CCG responsible population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data source</td>
<td>Original Source: HSCIC QOF <a href="http://www.hscic.gov.uk/catalogue/PUB12262">http://www.hscic.gov.uk/catalogue/PUB12262</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

37. Suicide rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Age-standardised mortality rate from suicide and injury of undetermined intent per 100,000 population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Numerator | Number of deaths from suicide and injury of undetermined intent classified by underlying cause of death recorded as ICD10 codes X60-X84 (all ages), Y10-Y34 (ages 15+ only) registered in the respective calendar years, aggregated into quinary age bands (0-4, 5-9, ..., 85-89, 90+).  
Counts of deaths for years up to and including 2010 have been adjusted where needed to take account of the ICD-10 coding change introduced in 2011. The detailed guidance on the implementation is available at http://www.apho.org.uk/resource/item.aspx?RID=126245. |
| Denominator | Population-years (aggregated populations for the three years) for people of all ages, aggregated into quinary age bands (0-4, 5-9, ..., 85-89, 90+). ONS 2011 Mid year estimates. |
| Data source | PHOF 4.10 http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000044/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023 |
### 38. Self-reported well-being - people with a low happiness score

**Definition**
The percentage of respondents who answered 0-4 to the question "Overall, how happy did you feel yesterday?"

ONS are currently measuring individual/subjective well-being based on four questions included on the Integrated Household Survey:
- "Overall, how satisfied are you with your life nowadays?"
- "Overall, how happy did you feel yesterday?"
- "Overall, how anxious did you feel yesterday?"
- "Overall, to what extent do you feel the things you do in your life are worthwhile?"

Responses are given on a scale of 0-10 (where 0 is "not at all satisfied/happy/anxious/worthwhile"; and 10 is "completely satisfied/happy/anxious/worthwhile")

In the ONS report, the percentage of people scoring 0-4, 5-6, 7-8 and 9-10 have been calculated for this indicator. The percentage of those scoring 0-4 (respondents in that area that scored themselves the lowest marks) in the question: 'Overall, how happy did you feel yesterday?' will be presented in this indicator.

**Numerator**
Weighted count of respondents in the APS who rated their answer to the question: "Overall, how happy did you feel yesterday?" as 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4 on a scale between 0-10, where 0 is not at all and 10 is completely. These respondents are described as having the lowest levels of happiness. Respondents in the APS are aged 16 and over who live in residential households in the UK.

**Denominator**
Weighted count of all respondents to the question "Overall, how happy did you feel yesterday?"

**Data source**
PHOF 2.23i [http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000042/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023](http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000042/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023)

Original Source: Annual Population Survey (APS); ONS

---

### Priority Objective 7: Improving sexual health

#### 39. Rate of chlamydia diagnoses per 100,000 young people aged 15 to 24

**Definition**
Crude rate of chlamydia diagnoses per 100,000 young adults aged 15-24 based on their area of residence.

**Numerator**
The number of people aged 15-24 diagnosed with chlamydia.

**Denominator**
Resident population aged 15-24.

**Data source**
PHOF 3.02i [http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000043/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023](http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework#gid/1000043/pat/6/ati/102/page/6/par/E12000007/are/E09000023)


---

#### 40a. People presenting with HIV at a late stage of infection(%)

**Definition**
Number of adults (aged 15 years or more) newly diagnosed with HIV infection with CD4 counts available within 91 days and indicating a count of less than 350 cells per mm$^3$ as a percentage of number of adults (aged 15 years or more) newly diagnosed with HIV infection with CD4 counts available within 91 days.

**Numerator**
Number of adults (aged 15 years or more) newly diagnosed with HIV infection with CD4 counts available within 91 days and indicating a count of less than 350 cells per mm$^3$.

**Denominator**
Number of adults (aged 15 years or more) newly diagnosed with HIV infection with CD4 counts available within 91 days.

**Data source**

---

#### 40b. Prevalence of diagnosed HIV infection per 1,000 among persons aged 15 to 59 years

**Definition**
People aged 15 to 59 years who were seen at HIV care services.
### 41. Legal Abortion rate for all ages

**Definition**
Legal Abortions: Age Standardised Rate per 1000 resident women aged 15-44

**Numerator**
Number of all Legal Abortions

**Denominator**
Number of resident women aged 15-44

**Data source**

Latest Data: Total abortion rate per 1,000 resident women 15-44. PHE Sexual Health Profile [Sexual health profile](http://fingertips.phe.org.uk/profile/sexualhealth/data#gid/8000059/pat/6/ati/102/page/3/par/E12000007/are/E09000023)

### 42. Teenage conceptions

**Definition**
Conceptions in women aged under 18 per 1,000 females aged 15-17

**Numerator**
Number of pregnancies that occur to women aged under 18, that result in either one or more live or still births or a legal abortion under the Abortion Act 1967.

**Denominator**
Number of women aged 15-17 living in the area.

**Data source**
Public health outcomes framework 2.04 [Sexual Health Profile](http://fingertips.phe.org.uk/profile/sexualhealth/data#gid/8000059/pat/6/ati/102/page/3/par/E12000007/are/E09000023)

Original Source: ONS

### Priority Objective 8 – Delaying and reducing the need for long term care and support

***NB: Indicators 43, 44 and 45 are already presented in page 8 & 7 under Integration of Health and Social care – Better care Funding section of the Overarching Indicators***

### Priority Objective 9: Reducing the number of emergency admissions for people with long term conditions

***NB: Indicators 46 and 47 are already presented in page 7 & 8 under Integration of Health and Social care – Better care Funding section of the Overarching Indicators***

### 48. Adult Social Care Reviews

**Definition**
Number of current adult social care service users that have been receiving services for at least twelve months that were reviewed in the last twelve months.

**Numerator**
Number of reviews undertaken in the last twelve months of long term service users still receiving a service.

**Denominator**
Number of service users receiving services for at least twelve months currently receiving long term services as at the end of the twelve months.

**Data source**
HSCIC – subset of old RAP A1 and new SALT Return LTS Table 2b [HSCIC](https://nascis.hscic.gov.uk/Portal/Tools.aspx)

Cumulative % since April (Year To Date) is available on Performance Plus (Local Performance Management System) – AO/D40
### 49. Health-related quality of life for people with long-term conditions

**Definition**
Average adjusted health status (EQ-5D™) score for individuals reporting that they have a long-term condition, measured based on responses to a question from the GP Patient Survey.

**Numerator**
The numerator is the sum of the weighted EQ-5D™ values for all responses from people who identify themselves as having a long-term condition with a valid age and sex.

**Denominator**
The denominator is the weighted sum of responses from people who identify themselves as having a long-term condition with a valid age and sex.

**Data source**
CCG Outcomes Framework 2.1


---

### 50. Emergency admissions for acute conditions that should not usually require hospital admission

**Definition**
Directly age and sex standardised rate of emergency admissions for acute conditions for persons of all ages.

**Numerator**
Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) Admitted Patient Care (APC), provided by the Health and Social Care Information Centre (HSCIC).

**Denominator**
Unconstrained GP registered patient counts by single year of age and sex from the NHAIS (Exeter) Systems, extracted annually on 1 April for the forthcoming financial year.

**Data source**
CCG Outcomes Framework 3.1


---

### 51. Emergency readmissions within 30 days of discharge from hospital

**Definition**
Percentage of emergency admissions to any hospital in England occurring within 30 days of the last, previous discharge after admission. Admissions for cancer and obstetrics are excluded.

**Numerator**
Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) finished and unfinished admission episodes. Provided by HSCIC. Final annual and quarterly confirmed HES data are released in the November following the financial year-end.

**Denominator**
ONS mid-year population estimates for England – used to calculate the rate of admissions per 100,000 populations.

**Data source**
NHSOF 3b - NHS Indicator Portal – P01445

   Data

   Specification
Annex C: Glossary

APS – Active People Survey
ASCOF - Adult and Social Care Outcomes Framework
BCBV - NHS Better Care Better Value Indicators
BMI – Body Mass Index
CCG - Clinical Commissioning Group
CCGOI - Clinical Commissioning Group Outcome Indicator
CTC – Child Tax Credit
D3 – Third dose of Diphtheria vaccine
D4 – Fourth dose of Diphtheria vaccine
HES – Hospital Episode Statistics
HSCIC - Health and Social Care Information Centre
ICD – International Classification of Diseases
IS – Income Support
JSA – Job-Seekers Allowance
MH-NMDS – Mental Health National Minimum Dataset
MMR- Measles, Mumps, Rubella dose 1
MMR2 - Measles, Mumps, Rubella dose 2
NHSIC - NHS Indicator Portal
NHSOF – National Health Service Outcome Framework
ONS – Office for National Statistics
PCMD - Primary Care Mortality Database
PCT – Primary Care Trust
PHOF - Public Health Outcomes Framework
PHE - Public Health England
QOF - Quality and Outcomes Framework