	Scrutiny Committees									
Report Title	eport Title Strategic Financial Review update and Savings Proposals for 2014/15 and 2015/16									
Key Decision	No	Item No.								
Ward	All Wards									
Contributors	Executive Director for Resources	& Regen	era	tion						
Class	Part 1 Date: 29 November – 16 December 2013									

### 1. Summary

- 1.1 On 10 July and 13 November 2013, Mayor & Cabinet received a report and update on the financial projections for the Council. The report sets out the need to adapt and enhance the approach to identifying savings to meet the anticipated scale of change required ahead of being built into formal annual budget assumptions.
- 1.2 Officers estimate that further savings of £16m will be required in 2014/15, in addition to £16m¹ agreed for 2014/15 in last year's budget. Overall, it is estimated that £85m of savings will be required between 2014/15 and 2017/18 over and above savings already agreed. No figures for funding for local government are available beyond 2015/16, so savings have been based on an assessment of the likely impact of reductions in the overall government spending envelope.
- 1.3 In July 2013, Mayor and Cabinet agreed the need to reconfigure, re-design and fundamentally re-purpose services to fit the available resources whilst preserving the best of what Lewisham has done to date. In November 2013, Mayor & Cabinet agreed the approach to presenting savings and the areas for thematic and cross-cutting reviews. This process will require political and managerial leadership to be re-focused on the transformational changes needed to deliver these substantial savings, weighing their financial impact against their consequences for service delivery and in terms of community impact.
- 1.4 This report presents the first tranche of the required £85m of savings for scrutiny grouped by thematic and cross-cutting area.

### 2. Purpose of report

2.1 To seek comment from Scrutiny on the proposed savings to inform the Mayor & Cabinet meeting on the 18 December when these savings will be put forward for decisions to be taken.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Savings of £17m were previously agreed for 2014/15 in the 2013/14 Budget. A review by officers has identified circa £0.7m of these savings are no longer achievable. Details of these are set out at Appendix A.

#### 3. Recommendations

- 3.1 Members are asked to:
- 3.1.1 Note the updated strategic financial position set out in section 6.
- 3.1.2 Provide comment on the draft savings proposals ahead of Mayor & Cabinet on the 18 December 2013.

### 4. Policy Context

4.1 Presenting financial information in a clear and understandable format contributes directly to the Council's tenth corporate priority: inspiring efficiency, effectiveness and equity. In the round, budget processes (including the need to identify savings) are designed to support all of the Council's corporate priorities by linking policy objectives, including the community strategy, to the available resources.

## 5. Background

- 5.1 Everything that the Council spends money on is intended to achieve agreed policy and community goals and hence to deliver value and benefits for the borough. The Council has a strong reputation for delivering innovative and valuable services at low costs, often with significantly lower overheads than other boroughs.
- 5.2 The Council delivered savings of £82m between May 2010 and 2013/14. Further savings of £16m have been agreed for 2014/15 and £1m for 2015/16. Despite this significant achievement, officers currently estimate that further savings of £85m will need to be delivered between now and 2017/18 in order to ensure that the Council's services remain affordable into the medium-term.
- 5.3 In July 2013, Mayor & Cabinet agreed that further savings on this scale could not solely be delivered through managerial efficiencies or service innovation to preserve outcomes at lower costs. There would of course be a continued focus on these and other disciplines to improve value for money, but hard choices would have to be confronted over the coming years about which services will need to be scaled back dramatically or even cut altogether.
- 5.4 Since July, work has been carried out on how the options for making the savings could be delivered by looking at the opportunities on a thematic basis. In advance of detailed work being carried out on each of the thematic areas, options for delivering savings required for 2014/15 have been identified and these are presented here.

#### 6. Updated strategic financial position

- 6.1 Prior to the Spending Round 2013 (SR13) announcement on 26 June, the Council estimated that it needed to find savings of £75m over the period 2014/15 to 2017/18 in addition to savings for 2014/15 and 2015/16 agreed as part of the 2013/14 budget process.
- The SR13 announced a headline real terms reduction of 10% in funding for local government in 2015/16. However, subsequent analysis by the Local Government

Association has revealed that the amount available for general distribution to councils will reduce by 14.6% in real terms because a significant element of the funding available in 2015/16 has been set aside by government for specific purposes. As a result, officers now estimate that additional savings of £10m will be required in the Council's budget in 2015/16, taking total projected savings up to 2017/18 to £85m.

- 6.3 To put this in context, these savings (of £16m already agreed and £85m to be identified) will fall on the General Fund which has a net revenue budget in 2013/14 of £285m.
- 6.4 Uncertainty with funding in subsequent years means the estimate of the budget gap in future years is likely to vary up and down as more information becomes available. Even after the local government finance settlement is announced in December 2013, we will only have some certainty for 2014/15 and 2015/16 and anticipate needing to make projections for savings from 2016/17 onwards.
- 6.5 The absence of detailed information should not prevent the Council from planning its approach now. Further savings required by 2017/18 are so substantial that they could only be delivered by considering significant options to reconfigure, re-design and fundamentally re-purpose services to fit the available resources, whilst preserving the best of what Lewisham has done to date.

## 7. The Lewisham Future Programme

- 7.1 As Lewisham and its residents experience change on an unprecedented scale, the Council needs to offer high level strategic leadership in response to that change. It must balance the need to sustain local neighbourhoods that are clean and where people feel safe; protect the vulnerable with complex needs; promote, facilitate and provide opportunities for all; develop and maintain the public realm and infrastructure; and support local communities and the organisations that help deliver this and develop the social capital on which Lewisham is built.
- 7.2 Lewisham takes a prudent and forward thinking approach to its budget and recognises that the further savings required in 2014/15 of £16m, (in addition to the £16m previously agreed)<sup>2</sup> need to be developed and delivered in the context of the projections of further savings required through 2015/16 to 2017/18.
- 7.3 The Lewisham Future Programme is the response to the direction of the Mayor to carry out a fundamental review of services. This Programme focuses on the areas of greatest spend, recognising that in the fourth consecutive year of significant spending reductions even greater innovation, focus on the customer, and crosscutting thinking will be required to deliver savings whilst attempting to minimise the impacts on residents and customers of Lewisham.
- 7.4 The Lewisham Future Programme will be led by a Board chaired by the Chief Executive. The Board (LFPB) will develop options for the Mayor & Council to consider. It will drive the changes once they have been consulted upon and agreed. It will only work well if the governance is right and tight. Actions and accountabilities to Mayor & Cabinet and the Council's relevant select committees is crucial. While

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See footnote 1.

- the LFPB will be led by senior management, it will need to engage and involve as many staff, trade unions, suppliers and service users as possible.
- 7.5 While attention will focus on large budgets, no part of the Council's activity can be excluded from the approach set out in the Lewisham Futures Programme. The Council's own directly managed services as well as those delivered by partner organisations and the third sector will all be included. Those areas which cannot be examined over the next few months will be looked at later.
- 7.6 Savings in *central support services* have been one focus of the budget strategy in 2010-13. Further savings will be sought in this area, but this requires a cross-cutting review of the options for centralising core functions to identify the potential to further reduce costs.
- 7.7 The Council will review its *asset base* with the aim of fully utilising its key assets, disposal of other assets, and developing a strategic approach to community assets. This approach should deliver savings in 2014/15, but will also be part of a longer term delivery strategy over a number of years.
- 7.8 External policy changes, and the SR13 announcements on the transfer of NHS funding into an Integration Fund, make it important to review the *future shape of adult social care*, and the potential of integration with health partners. Health and social care is already well integrated in Lewisham, but the development of options on how adult social care and health services may further align has the potential not only to deliver savings over 2015-18, but also improve outcomes for residents.
- 7.9 Lewisham has invested in a range of *preventative and early intervention services* designed to improve outcomes, and reduce the demand on our acute services. As public health has returned to local authorities this year, it is appropriate that the Council reviews how the public health funding can be used together with existing Council funding to create new and innovative approaches that deliver savings. The effectiveness of existing early intervention services will be reviewed to ensure that we invest in the programmes that are shown to be effective.
- 7.10 Where the Council is providing paid-for services, a review of income and full cost recovery is necessary. Ensuring that the Council is delivering value for money is the key driver of the budget strategy. This will include exploring how regulation and enforcement might reduce costs imposed on the council, and ensuring that the council achieves full cost recovery in its transactional or paid-for services.
- 7.11 The Council has used opportunities for *joint commissioning and procurement across boroughs* as a way of reducing costs. This has delivered savings already, and the Council will focus attention on how joint procurement, commissioning and the sharing of services with other Boroughs might reduce costs in Lewisham.
- 7.12 These areas of activity have been brought together in a set of thematic and cross-cutting reviews. Officers are currently preparing initial scoping papers which will identify the opportunities for change under each of the headings, the actions required to achieve the change and timescales for delivering outcomes from the reviews. Initial financial targets for savings over the next four years have been set against each of the reviews and the scoping exercise will identify the realism of these targets and the timescales over which they can be delivered. Each of the reviews will report into a relevant select committee at initial planning stage, at key

stages during implementation, and post-completion. All key decisions during delivery of the reviews will go to Mayor and Cabinet for approval.

7.13 The list of reviews and initial target savings are included in Table 1 below.

Table 1 Thematic and cross-cutting reviews with initial target savings

Thematic – total savings £64m	Cross-cutting – savings £21m
<ol> <li>Smarter assessment arrangements and deeper integration of social &amp; health care incl. public health - £22m</li> <li>Sharing services with other Councils and bodies - £12m</li> <li>A Council wide "efficiency review" across all budgets - £10m</li> <li>A Council wide asset rationalisation programme - £8m</li> <li>Grouping more corporate &amp; business support services together - £6m</li> <li>Review of income generation - £4m</li> <li>Combining front-line services (enforcement &amp; regulation) - £2m</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Management and corporate overheads</li> <li>School effectiveness services and functions</li> <li>Crime reduction services</li> <li>Culture and community services</li> <li>Housing strategy and non-HRA funded services</li> <li>Environmental Services</li> <li>Public Services</li> <li>Planning and Economic Development</li> <li>Safeguarding and Early Intervention services for children and families</li> </ol>

## 8 Budget process

- 8.1 An effective budget process needs to reflect the political and managerial leadership's priorities and to facilitate an appropriate degree of review and challenge to proposals. It needs to provide a framework for financial accountability and enable clear decision making and it needs to do all of this in an efficient manner to ensure that the work in developing, reviewing and scrutinising proposals is proportionate to the objectives, rather than an end in itself.
- 8.2 The proposed approach to thematic and cross-cutting reviews set out in section 7 above will require our existing budget processes to change. The longer term and cross-cutting approach proposed will mean that savings will be delivered over longer time periods and will not fit easily into the annual budget timetable. Instead, there will be an on-going identification of opportunities to take costs out of services as the reviews are carried out. Decisions will happen at different times of the year and savings will be taken when they are identified rather than waiting to be agreed at the annual budget meeting. All savings that have been agreed and those forecast for future years will then be reported in the annual budget report, but many of the key decisions will already have been taken or may be taken at a later date. This means that political and managerial focus will move away from individual smaller scale savings that have typified the budget process in previous years to larger scale savings delivered through major change programmes.
- 8.3 It should be noted that 2014/15 is a transition year. The process for delivering a balanced budget for 2014/15 is as follows:
  - a. Savings of £17m in 2014/15 were agreed as part of the 2013/14 budget process. Officers have now reviewed these and in most cases they are confident that they will be delivered. There are five savings proposals, listed in Appendix A, which

will not now be delivered. These total £0.742m and mean that the required new savings for 2014/15 increases to £16m.

- b. Officers have also been developing a set of further individual budget savings proposals for 2014/15 for consideration at relevant Scrutiny Committees in November and December and submission to Mayor & Cabinet on 18 December 2013. These savings proposals will go some way to bridging the revised £16m gap for 2014/15. The draft savings proposals of £5.9m for 2014/15 are summarised in Appendix B, by theme and cross-cutting review area, and in Appendix C, by service directorate. Further details of the proposals are attached at Appendix D.
- c. As outlined in the July report to Mayor & Cabinet, it is important that every budget holder in the Council feels that it is their responsibility to deliver smaller-scale savings. This will instil a greater sense of financial accountability within the organisation. These proposals, such as deleting vacant posts and other marginal, but nonetheless important efficiency measures, will be co-ordinated under an overall efficiency programme. This will help to ensure that realistic savings, currently targeted at £2.55m, are delivered without senior focus being diverted from the major change programmes required to meet the Council's demanding financial targets. This saving for 2014/15 is included in the summary at Appendix B.
- d. The initial scoping work for thematic and cross-cutting reviews will be used to identify areas where officers believe savings can be delivered in 2014/15 and for future years. This element of the process will enable savings proposals to be put up on a rolling basis as and when the work to develop them to a sufficient standard has been reached. The savings will only be allocated against individual budgets once the proposals have been reviewed by scrutiny and decisions taken by Mayor & Cabinet.
- The 2014/15 budget is scheduled to be considered at Full Council on 26 February 2014. The timetable for securing scrutiny input into budget proposals for 2014/15 and the other requirements is set out at Appendix E for information.
- 8.5 From 2015/16 onwards, the work carried out on the thematic and cross-cutting reviews, including oversight by scrutiny and decisions of Mayor & Cabinet, will be the primary basis for identifying and delivering savings.

## 9. Financial Implications

9.1 This report is concerned with the approach to be adopted for the Council to address the financial challenges it faces and the processes for agreeing the budget for 2014/15. There are no direct financial implications arising from the report itself.

#### 10. Legal Implications

10.1 The Council must set and maintain a balanced budget and must act prudently in relation to the stewardship of council taxpayers' funds.

## 11. Crime and disorder implications

11.1 None specific to this report, although future budget proposals may have crime and disorder implications. If so, they will be considered at the appropriate time.

### 12. Equalities Implications

12.1 None specific to this report, although future budget proposals may have equalities implications. If so, they will be considered at the appropriate time.

## 13. Environmental Implications

13.1 None specific to this report, although future budget proposals may have environmental implications. If so, they will be considered at the appropriate time.

#### 14. Conclusion

14.1 The Council expects to need to make further savings of around £85m between now and 2017/18, although this figure is subject to significant change as financing estimates are refined. The proposals in this report will make the process for developing policies and budgets to deliver this more focused to key priorities and efficient to administer.

## 15. Background documents and further information

Short Title of report	Date	Location	Contact
2013/14 Budget	27 February 2013 (Council)	3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor Laurence House	Selwyn Thompson
Strategic Financial	10 July 2013	3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor Laurence	Selwyn Thompson
Review	(M&C)	House	
Strategic Financial	13 November 2013	3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor Laurence	Selwyn Thompson
Review (update)	(M&C)	House	

### For further information on this report, please contact:

David Austin - Interim Head of Corporate Resources on 020 8314 9114

## APPENDIX A

## Savings agreed for 2014/15 as part of the 2013/14 Budget that are no longer deliverable

Ref	Service Area and proposal	£'000s	Reason why saving is considered as being no longer deliverable
CYP 52	Referral and Assessment – The proposal is to delete a specialist team manager role in this service who manages matters such as private fostering, young carers and missing children.	60.0	Current pressures in the service mean that this proposal is no longer deliverable.
CUS 01	Bereavement Services – Consider through the consortium (Lewisham, Lambeth, Southwark and Greenwich) a reduction in costs paid to the inner South London Coroner Court by 10%.	30.0	The coroner has questioned the current level of funding received.
CUS 03	Lee Valley Park Levy – Seek a reduction of 20% in the annual sum paid for financial year 2014/15 for Lee Valley Regional Park.	52.0	The budget is no longer part of the Customer Services Directorate.
CUS 29	Parking Services – The saving is the removal of the exit barrier system and staff at the Holbeach car park and the introduction of pay and display. The saving would be realised in the new parking contract to run from July 2013.	100.0	Action has been implemented, but the contract cost is higher than the budget
RNR 13	Planning - Introduction of locally set planning application fees.	500.0	The legislation has been delayed and may not happen, making this saving undeliverable.
	Total	742.0	

APPENDIX B
Summary of individual budget saving proposals aligned to thematic / cross-cutting review.

Lewisham Future Programme			Savings Proposed	Savings to Find		2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18
<b>Savings Proposals</b>		£m	£m	£m		£m	£m	£m	£m
Totals		85.00	9.23	75.77		8.43	0.80	0.00	0.00
Target						16.00	30.00	20.00	20.00
Gap						7.57	29.20	20.00	20.00
Thematic reviews		64.00	5.45	58.55		5.45	0.00		
T1	Smarter assessment arrangements and deeper integration of social & health care; including Public Health	22.00	2.90	19.10	COM01	2.50			
					COM04	0.10			
					COM05	0.30			
T2	Sharing services with other Councils and bodies	12.00		12.00					
Т3	A Council wide "efficiency review" across all budgets	10.00	2.55	7.45	Corp.	2.55			
T4	A Council wide asset rationalisation programme	8.00		8.00					
T5	Grouping more corporate & business support services together	6.00		6.00					
Т6	Review of income generation	4.00		4.00					
Т7	Combining front line services (enforcement & regulation)	2.00		2.00					

Cross-cutting		21.00	3.78	17.22		2.98	0.80	
reviews			2.22		DNIDO	0.40		
C1	Management and corporate		0.26		RNR01	0.13		
	overheads				RNR03	0.13		
C2	School effectiveness services and functions		0.63		CYP01	0.05		
					CYP03	0.06		
					CYP04	0.06		
					CYP12	0.10	0.20	
					CYP14	0.08	0.08	
C3	Crime reduction services							
C4	Culture and community services		0.80		COM02	0.20		
					COM03	0.50		
					RNR04	0.10		
C5	Housing strategy and non-HRA		0.43		CUS01	0.07		
	funded services				CUS04		0.20	
					CUS05	0.16		
C6	Environmental services		0.32		CUS02	0.05		
					CUS03	0.27		
C7	Public services		0.45		CUS06	0.20		
					CUS07	0.10	0.10	
					CUS08	0.03	0.02	
C8	Planning and economic development		0.05		RNR02	0.05		
C9	Safeguarding and Early Intervention		0.84		CYP05	0.10	0.05	
	services for children and families				CYP06		0.10	
					CYP07		0.05	
					CYP08	0.05		
					CYP09	0.02		
					CYP10	0.05		
					CYP11	0.10		
					CYP13	0.10		
					CYP15	0.22		

## **APPENDIX C**

## **SUMMARY OF NEW 2014 / 16 SAVINGS PROPOSALS – DIRECTORATE**

Summary of budget saving proposals presented in service directorate order mapped to thematic / cross cutting references

DIRECTORATE	2014/2015 Proposals £'000s	2015/2016 Proposals £'000s	Total £'000s
CHILDREN & YOUNG PEOPLE	971.0	475.0	1,446.0
COMMUNITY SERVICES	3,600.0	0.0	3,600.0
CUSTOMER SERVICES	879.0	325.0	1,204.0
RESOURCES & REGENERATION	408.0	0.0	408.0
Total 2014 / 16 NEW REVENUE SAVINGS PROPOSED	5,858.0	800.0	6,658.0

## 2014 / 16 NEW REVENUE BUDGET SAVINGS PROPOSALS

# Summary of 2014 / 16 New Savings Proposals - Children and Young People Directorate

Ref	Service	Proposal Narrative	2014/15 £'000s	2015/16 £'000s	Total Saving £'000s	Thematic (T) / Cross- cutting (C) Reference
CYP01	PERFORMANCE	CYP Performance Service provides statutory data collections, data analysis, performance reporting to the Children and Young People's Strategic Partnership Board (CYPSPB), Lewisham Safeguarding Children Board (LSCB), DMT, Directorate Services, with particular emphasis on Children's Social Care and School Improvement. The implementation of the replacement corporate software for monitoring and reporting performance should result in fewer administrative processes to produce the monthly and annual performance data reports. This is expected to result in a saving of one post with an estimated value of £50k.	50.0		50.0	C 2
		The Early Years Improvement Team provides advice, support and training for practitioners working with children in the Early Years Foundation Stage in the maintained and non-maintained sector. It is proposed to make a saving on £58k through a review of work. Local authorities are required to make arrangements to secure that early childhood services in their area are provided in an integrated way that facilitates access to services and maximises the benefits to children, parents and prospective parents. Early years providers providing early years for which they are registered under the Childcare Act 2006 (or would be required to register but for being exempted) are required to ensure compliance with the "Early Years Foundation Stage". The proposed review of work in this area will have to ensure that sufficient advice, support and training will be available to ensure early years providers comply with their				
CYP03	EARLY YEARS	requirements to deliver the "Early Years Foundation Stage".	58.0		58.0	C 2

Ref	Service	Proposal Narrative	2014/15 £'000s	2015/16 £'000s	Total Saving £'000s	Thematic (T) / Cross- cutting (C) Reference
CYP04	LOOKED AFTER CHILDREN EDUCATION TEAM	The Looked After Children Education Team oversees the education of Looked After Children, including providing tuition to support their learning, support in transition from primary to secondary school, and peer mentoring. The team also ensure that destinations data is collected to monitor pathways and ensure the right support is provided to individuals. Most of the funding is provided through the Dedicated Schools Grant (£200k) although there is a contribution of £62k to the service from the General Fund. In future all costs will be contained within the Dedicated Schools Grant.	62.0		62.0	C 2
CYP05	BUSINESS SUPPORT, PLACEMENTS & PROCUREMENT	Business Support within Children's Social Care providers administrative support for all the services in the division. These are Referral & Assessment; Family Social Work; Looked After Children; Adoption; Leaving Care; Fostering; Placements & Procurement; Quality Assurance; and Children with Complex Needs. As well as the Business Support teams based in the front line services, there are currently 2 specialist teams providing centralised functions in compliance with separation of duties under Financial Regulations. This contributes to safeguarding functions by freeing up and supporting Social Workers to concentrate on direct work with vulnerable children and families. A review of business support across the Children's Social Care Division is being undertaken to examine the opportunities for reshaping current activities and identifying opportunities for sharing resources with other support teams in the Council such as Finance and Adult Social Care. These are in addition to the savings in the previous two years of £575k.	100.0	50	150.0	C 9
CYP06	LOOKED AFTER CHILDREN, LEAVING CARE & ADOPTION SERVICE	The leaving care team currently works with children looked after from the age of sixteen. We propose to make savings and improve the performance of the service by changing the way the service functions. Currently there are three Looked after Children's Teams that work with looked after children from roughly the age of 5 to 16 at which point they transfer to one of three Leaving Care Teams who provide support as the young person leaves care and onwards until they are 21 (or 25 if they are in full time education). Feedback from the Children in Care Council is that they would prefer not to have the change of worker at the age of 16. We are therefore proposing to have Looked after Children Teams that will take young people through to 25 where required. We can achieve this with 5 teams and delete one team manager post. The staff from that team will be spread out amongst the remaining teams.	0.0	100.0	100.0	C 9

Ref	Service	Proposal Narrative	2014/15 £'000s	2015/16 £'000s	Total Saving £'000s	Thematic (T) / Cross- cutting (C) Reference
CYP07	CONTACT	We are required by legislation to provide contact between some parents and their children who have been removed from their care. Some of these contacts need to be supervised and most of which are ordered by the courts. The Supervised Contact is provided in a safe place due to risks that the parent may still pose to the child. There is a requirement in many instances for birth parents to have contact with their children in Local Authority care. Contact will often be in secure environments, as some parents have difficult and challenging behaviour. We currently use specialist agencies to carry out this contact, who charge for premises. It is proposed to use Council premises in the future which will mean we will save on the cost of premises hire and/or alternatively negotiate significant reduction in room hire and other costs. This is in addition to the previous savings of £200k in 2013/14 and already offered for 2014/15. The proposed saving relates to a reduction in costs of premises where the service is located. Any new competitive procurement would seek bids which could reduce this cost.	0.0	50.0	50.0	C 9
CYP08	ADOPTION SERVICE	The Adoption Support Team provide services and advice to families to assist them through the process of of adoption and as required by legislation provide contact between some parents and their children who have been removed from their care. We are currently implementing the Government reforms on adoption. The reforms included an equalisation of the assessment fee to £27k. Historically the adoption service has not targeted Lewisham families for adoption as many Lewisham LAC cannot be placed in the borough in close proximity to their birth families. The equalisation and reform grant monies mean we now have capacity to recruit surplus adopters, including Lewisham based adopters, that other Local Authorities and Adoption agencies can use. We anticipate that this will generate income for Lewisham. £50k represents two additional assessments.	50.0		50.0	C 9
CYP09	FAMILY SOCIAL WORK	Meliot Road is a family centre that provides support to vulnerable families and Court reports as part of care proceedings. It is planned to sell surplus capacity to other London boroughs. Where the Council sells surplus capacity to other London Boroughs, officers must ensure that there are appropriate contractual arrangement in place to cover such arrangements.	15.0		15.0	C 9

Ref	Service	Proposal Narrative	2014/15 £'000s	2015/16 £'000s	Total Saving £'000s	Thematic (T) / Cross- cutting (C) Reference
CYP10	EARLY INTERVENTION	This budget covers delivery of the Family Information Service which provides a directory that covers early years and childcare, employment and training, health, housing, safety and other issues. The database has been brought in house and the cost has therefore reduced.	45.0		45.0	C 9
CYP11	EARLY INTERVENTION	Targeted Family Support contract - the commissioned Targeted Family Support contract provides support to vulnerable families. Through better commissioning arrangements savings can be made as we have managed the current Targeted Family Support contract to deliver to a lower value than initially set aside for the contract. This saving does not reduce the number of families who will receive support from the service, but does reduce the unit costs.	100.0		100.0	C 9
CYP12	ATTENDANCE & WELFARE	Attendance and Welfare Service - Parents have a legal responsibility to ensure that their child is attending school regularly. The service works closely with families, schools and other agencies to improve school attendance. Failure to attend school regularly could result in the Council taking legal action. Magistrates can also impose a Parenting Order, requiring parents or carers to attend counselling or guidance sessions for a period of up to three months. A full re-organisation of the service was proposed in the last budget round, including de-layering of management as well as considering the caseloads of staff and the areas of work that have the greatest impact on absence. Savings of £200k have already been agreed. It will become a traded service for non-statutory elements. A further saving is now believed possible to make. The total saving is £500k or 50% of the original budget (£1,087k), taking expenditure into line with our statistical neighbours.	100.0	200.0	300.0	C 2
CYP13	YOUTH SERVICE	The Youth Service has been reorganised and provides directly and through commissioning a range of services supporting young people in the borough aged 8-19, up to 25 with LDD covering: 1:1 intensive support for young people with identified vulnerabilities, Issue based group work for specific vulnerable groups, Street based youth work and Access to positive activities through fun and vibrant places to go and things to do. With activities targeted at young people at the greatest risk of poor life outcomes. All services are aimed at achieving impact for young people of: Improved life skills: Increased involvement in education, employment or training, Staying safe and well, and	100.0		100.0	C 9

	Total 2014 / 16 N	lew Savings Proposals - Children and Young People Directorate	971.0	475.0	1,446.0	
CYP15	COST REDUCTIONS	The Directorate has been operating a Departmental Expenditure Panel (DEP) for two years in order to challenge the need for all proposed expenditure. The departmental expenditure panel consists of the Executive Director of Children of Young People and the Directorate's Head of Resources. It approves all expenditure that is incurred within the Directorate before it is committed unless it is an emergency or is for a social care / special educational needs placement. This has already resulted in in-year savings through stopping expenditure or budget holders deciding it is no longer appropriate to undertake expenditure in these austere times. It is proposed now to take out of the budget the savings that have been delivered in the past through this process.	216.0		216.0	C 9
CYP14	SERVICES TO SCHOOLS	Service Level agreements are offered by the council to schools and cover a variety of support services. Schools pay for these services from their delegated formula budgets. The services continue to trade successfully with schools and are increasing the value of services they are selling. It is proposed to increase the range of charges to schools and to ensure that all services to schools by the council are achieving the 15% overheads recovery.	75.0	75.0	150.0	C 2
		preventing needs from escalating. It is now proposed to reduce the commissioned work for youth by a further £100k from the currently allocated £965k.				

## Summary of 2014 / 16 New Savings Proposals - Community Services Directorate

Ref	Service	Proposal Narrative	2014/15 £'000s	2015/16 £'000s	Total Saving £'000s	Thematic (T) / Cross- cutting (C) Reference
COM01	ADULT SOCIAL CARE	This proposal builds on a number of previous savings proposals (Rounds 1 and 2) that bring together adult health and care services. The integrated adult health and care programme has been established to deliver better outcomes for residents and, through the joining up of health and care services and the removal of duplication across the whole health and care system deliver a range of efficiencies The integrated care programme will focus on developing teams of professionals and support services that work closely with GP practices to reduce duplication of assessment, care planning and management of care. It is anticipated that this way of working will enable a saving of 2.5 m to be made in 2014/15.	2,500.0		2,500.0	T1
COM02	CULTURE & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	Both Leisure contracts include provision for free swims for under 16s and over 60s. In future, given the recognised benefits of swimming in terms of health and wellbeing, Public Health funding will be used to deliver this provision going forward as part of their physical activity programme. The commitment to free swims for under 16s and over 60s will therefore remain and work in partnership with Public Health will take place to promote the scheme and increase take up.	200.0		200.0	C 4
COM03	CULTURE & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - VCS grants	It is proposed to reduce the £6.4m grants budget by £0.5m. This saving proposal will not impact on the small grants, faith fund or existing commitments in the main grants programme. The saving will be taken from unallocated funds. Savings have become available through reduction to the required contribution to London Borough Grants Scheme and previously agreed tapered funding.	500.0		500.0	C 4

Ref	Service	Proposal Narrative	2014/15 £'000s	2015/16 £'000s	Total Saving £'000s	Thematic (T) / Cross- cutting (C) Reference
COM04	SUPPORTING PEOPLE	The Supporting People service received an additional amount within its budget to cover inflation costs. However the Supporting People Framework Agreement and call-off contracts under it do not provide for indexation or any inflationary increase and this additional funding can therefore be offered as a saving.	100.0		100.0	T 1
COM05		Savings will be delivered through improved efficiencies, following a review of the drug and alcohol treatment budget and reallocation of resources in line with priorities. The Drug and Alcohol Action Team is working closely with Public Health in this work. The Tier 4 (detox and rehab) panel has been overhauled and the Tier 4 provider framework re-commissioned. This ensures improved utilisation of rehabilitation provision and mitigates against the possible reduction in overall rehab places. In order to support people leaving rehab, an Aftercare service (TTP) has been commissioned and this ensures wraparound support is provided to residents following a period in a rehab setting. This results in sustained recovery. Local community based detox provision has also been established (also known as ambulatory detox) which is less costly than a residential rehab placement.	300.0		300.0	T 1
	Total 2014 / 16 New Sav	vings Proposals - Community Services Directorate	3,600.0	0.0	3,600.0	

## Summary of 2014 / 16 New Savings Proposals - Customer Services Directorate

Ref	Service	Proposal Narrative	2014/15 £'000s	2015/16 £'000s	Total Saving £'000s	Thematic (T) / Cross- cutting (C) Reference
	HOUSING STRATEGY &	This proposal is to restructure the entire Housing Strategy and Programme team to provide a more streamlined approach by merging three teams into two new units, which will reduce management overheads, duplication and streamline processes. Of the £173k, £100k is already associated for in the 2014/15 budget with a further \$73k being				
CUS01	PROGRAMMES	is already accounted for in the 2014/15 budget with a further £73k being a new saving achieved by a wider scale restructure of the team	73.0		73.0	C 5
CUS02	BECKENHAM PLACE PARK, BEREAVEMENT SERVICES, REFUSE & FLEET SERVICES	Cost reviews in Beckenham Place Park, Bereavement Services, Refuse & Fleet Services: £53k	53.0		53.0	C 6
		1.Reduction of recycling collection round and vehicle (x1). There are currently 9 rounds. Route optimisation will allow for one round to be reduced. 2.Income from bin hire charges introduced this year is exceeding original estimate. There is no indication that this will reduce				
CUS03	PRIVATE SECTOR	in future years.  To transfer the hostels from the HRA to the General Fund. The budget for Hostel accommodation is currently held in the HRA. In recent years hostels have been used to increase the Council's stock of temporary accommodation, along side Bed & Breakfast accommodation (B&B) and Private Sector Leases (PSL), which are charged to the General Fund. The transfer of Hostels to the General Fund would allow a consistent approach for all types of temporary accommodation. An effect of this change would be to set the rents for those in hostel accommodation on the same basis as those in PSL properties. This would have the effect of increasing income to the Council of £200k from	270.0	000.0	270.0	C 6
CUS04	HOUSING UNIT HOUSING STRATEGY &	2015/16  This saving will be achieved by absorbing an element of the expected £516k management costs within the Council as a result of the fact that now a large number of the properties have been let the resource requirement to manage the scheme has reduced. The effect of these efficiencies is a reduction in the expenditure budget for the Milford		200.0	200.0	C 5
CUS05	PROGRAMMES	Towers project of £158k in this year.	158.0		158.0	C 5

Ref	Service	Proposal Narrative	2014/15 £'000s	2015/16 £'000s	Total Saving £'000s	Thematic (T) / Cross- cutting (C) Reference		
CUS06	SERVICE POINT	The Registration Service provides a Nationality Checking Service (NCS) which generates an income (budgeted income of £116K). The savings proposal increases the income budget by £200K to £316K. There is a significant demand for the NCS service and this is expected to continue for the next 2 years. The increase will be achieved by increasing the number of appointments available and processing more checks. The increased income assumes 60% of customers will go on to attend a Citizen Ceremony	200.0		200.0	C 7		
CUS07	SERVICE POINT	The Call.Point service current delivers an out of hours emergency telephone service. This savings proposal recommends the outsourcing of the service. Previous recommendations were to outsource the service to the London wide shared service centre operated by Vangent. However, concerns were raised over performance and risk. This proposal recommends the service is put out to tender rather than using the London wide shared service centre. Soft market testing suggests that once set up £200K savings are possible. Other providers (e.g. Agilisys and Capita) both deliver for other local authorities who report they are satisfied with the services received.	100.0	100.0	200.0	C 7		
CUS08	SERVICE POINT	Reorganise Service Point staff to delayer and rationalise management duties. Delete remaining 6 x Sc6 supervisor posts, but create 1 scheduling and planning officer and 2 x Sc4.	25.0	25.0	50.0	C 7		
	Total 2014 / 16 New Savings Proposals - Customer Services Directorate 879.0 325.0 1,204.0							

# Summary of 2014 / 16 New Savings Proposals - Resources and Regeneration Directorate

Ref	Service	Proposal Narrative	2014/15 £'000s	2015/16 £'000s	Total Saving £'000s	Thematic (T) / Cross- cutting (C) Reference
RNR01	AUDIT & RISK	Internal Audit – review assurance priorities and delivery mechanisms to save £75,000. Counter Fraud – reduce resourcing of Housing Benefit Investigation by £25,000 (part year) ahead of move to the Single Fraud Investigation Service under Department for Work and Pensions direction. This post is currently vacant. Health & Safety – delete the vacant post for administration support H&S post to save £30,000 and connect this team to the Business Support Services review to get administration support centrally.	130.0		130.0	C 1
		The Planning Service introduced a fee of £1000 plus VAT for the provision of pre-application advice on Major planning applications with a £40,000 income target per annum. This fee was introduced on 1 April 2011. At the time, the Service stated that it would assess the potential to extend pre-application fees to other planning application categories including householder applications.  The provision of the pre-application advice service has now been internally reviewed by the Planning Service and also benchmarked against other comparable London Boroughs.				
RNR02	PLANNING	A combination of an increase in fees for pre application advice on Major planning applications and a new fee for householder and other small scale scheme pre-application advice should enable an additional £50k to be achieved in fees.	50.0		50.0	C 8

Ref	Service	Proposal Narrative	2014/15 £'000s	2015/16 £'000s	Total Saving £'000s	Thematic (T) / Cross- cutting (C) Reference
RNR03	POLICY & GOVERNANCE	A saving across the salaries budgets is proposed at £128k for 2014/15 through the deletion of 2.4 vacant posts	128.0		128.0	C 1
RNR04	STRATEGY	Community Budget 100K reduction: reduction in cross partner project work, Seek resources for specific projects when needed rather than baseline funding	100.0		100.0	C 4
	Total 2014 / 16 New	Savings Proposals - Resources & Regeneration Directorate	408.0	0.0	408.0	
	Total 2014 / 16 N	ew Savings Proposals	5,858.0	800.0	6,658.0	

**DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Children & Young People – Resources** 

**REF: CYP01** 

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 2

**SERVICE: Performance** 

LEAD OFFICER: Alan Docksey PORTFOLIO: Children & Young People

**SELECT COMMITTEE: Children & Young People** 

## 2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) - seek information from Finance

#### Net Controllable Budget:

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget
£000's	£000's	£000's
691	37	654

#### **Description of Service**

#### **Briefly** describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

Performance Service provides statutory data collections, data analysis, performance reporting to the Children and Young People's Strategic Partnership Board (CYPSPB), Lewisham Safeguarding Children Board (LSCB), DMT, Directorate Services, with particular emphasis on Children's Social Care and School Improvement.

#### **Description of saving proposed**

#### Please provide sufficient details on the proposal:

The implementation of the replacement corporate software for monitoring and reporting performance should result in fewer administrative processes to produce the monthly and annual performance data reports. This is expected to result in a saving of one post with an estimated value of £50k.

Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users: It is anticipated that the reduction in administrative processes will make the performance Team more efficient in its functions. This may impact on the output of the service but we will try to minimise this.

Does this proposal red	YES	NO						
Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" ie. span over different Services  YES  NO								
Value of Proposals per	r year (£000's)							
2014/15:	2015/16:		Total 201	4 / 16:				
50 50								
Percentage of Net Bud	Percentage of Net Budget proposed: 7.6%							

Percentage of Net Budget proposed: 7.6%

Effect on HRA/DSG: / YES NO If YES, outline the effect below

HRA: DSG:

Can this saving be taken in current Financial Year:	YES	NO
If YES to previous question, what is the value that can be taken:		

#### Please outline the outcome and mitigation (where appropriate) of any consultation undertaken on this proposal to cover, where relevant, Service User/Strategic Partner and Staff - statutory and non statutory Risk to Achievability: Please use the following to quantify risk: 1-Least achievable to 4 - most achievable 4 Impact on Corporate Priorities: Corporate Priorities:-Main Priority - Most Relevant **Secondary Priority** Community Leadership and empowerment J -Inspiring efficiency, effectiveness and B - Young people's achievement and Young people's achievement and involvement involvement C. Clean, green and liveable D. Safety, security and a visible presence Impact of saving on corporate Impact of saving on corporate E. Strengthening the local economy priority priority F. Decent Homes for all **Positive Negative** Neutral Positive **Negative** Neutral G. Protection of children H. Caring for adults and the older people Level of Impact **Level of Impact** 1. Active, health citizens High Medium Low High Medium Low Inspiring efficiency, effectiveness and equity What is the overall impact on equalities? 2014/15 2015/16 2016/17 High Medium Low High Medium Low High Medium Low Level of impact: State the level of impact on the protected characteristics below: Ethnicity: High Medium Low Gender: High Medium Low Age: High Medium Low Disability: High Medium Low Religion/Belief: High Medium Low Pregnancy/Maternity High Medium Low Marriage & Civil Partnerships Medium High Low **Sexual Orientation:** Medium High Low Gender reassignment Hiah Medium Low If your saving proposal has a high impact on groups with a protected characteristic please explain why, and outline what steps have been/will be taken to mitigate such an impact: Outcome of full Equalities Analysis Assessment (if required): Please outline the outcome of the full EAA if undertaken Ward/Geographical implications - State which specific Wards are directly affected by this proposal All Wards: If individual Wards, please state: **YES Legal Implications** – State any specific Legal Implications relating to this proposal Impact on Voluntary Sector – State any impact of this proposal on the Voluntary Sector

**Outcome of Consultation (if required)** 

Human F	Resoul	rces Im	plications	- Details	relating to	the	Existing st	tructure				
	Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)? YES NO											
Is this a c	Is this a continuation of a previous proposal?:									YES	NO	
If YES, pl	If YES, please state the previous Reference No.(s) and year:											
Within th	Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade											
band. (F	band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)											
			ncil emplo employee									
<ul> <li>▼ including posts covered by agency)</li> <li>(HR Advisory Service will provide you with data where this is available)</li> </ul>												
	Scal	e 1 - 2	Scale 3 -	5 Scale	6 - SO2	PC	01 – PO5	PO6 – PC	08 S	SMG1 – SMG	3 JNC	
FTE			2		1		7	2				
Head Count			2		1		7	2				
Vacant≜							2					
Vacant♦												
Vacant♥												
Workford	e Pro	file Info	rmation	L								
Please pr	ovide	a break	down of yo	ır service	area:							
Gender:	F	emale:	7			ı	Male: 5					
Ethnicity:	ı	BME: 5	5	White: 6		(	Other: 1		N	ot Known:		
Disability	: 0	)	L									
Sexual	٧	Vhere ki	nown: 4 H	eterosexi	ual		Not K	nown: 8				
Orientatio	n:											
			11 (1	<b>T</b> :	, , .							
			="		-			of consultati		. /===		
From you equivale				posts w	ill be del	eted	within yo	ur structure	by gr	ades (FTE		
	Scale 1		Scale 3 - 5	Scale	6 - SO2	PO	1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	3 SM	/IG1 – SMG3	JNC	
FTE	Jour			Coalc			1	. 55 1 00			10110	
				1			I					
Head Count												
How do y	ou ex	pect to	reduce th	ese post	s?							
			Redundand	у			TUPE		De	elete vacant	post	
FTE:										1		
Head Co	unt:											
Grades:	Grades :											

DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Children & Young People – Standards and Achievement

**REF: CYP03** 

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 2

SERVICE: Early Years LEAD OFFICER: Sue Tipler

**PORTFOLIO: Children & Young People** 

**SELECT COMMITTEE: Children & Young People** 

#### 2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) – seek information from Group Finance Managers

#### **Net Controllable Budget:**

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget
£000's	£000's	£000's
337	55	282

#### **Description of Service**

#### Briefly describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

The Early Years Improvement Team provides advice, support and training for practitioners working with children in the Early Years Foundation Stage in the maintained and non-maintained sector.

#### **Description of saving proposed**

Please provide savings value and <u>sufficient</u> details on the proposal: £58k

It is proposed to make a saving on £58k through a review of work.

Local authorities are required to make arrangements to secure that early childhood services in their area are provided in an integrated way that facilitates access to services and maximises the benefits to children, parents and prospective parents.

Early years providers providing early years for which they are registered under the Childcare Act 2006 (or would be required to register but for being exempted) are required to ensure compliance with the "Early Years Foundation Stage". The proposed review of work in this area will have to ensure that sufficient advice, support and training will be available to ensure early years providers comply with their requirements to deliver the "Early Years Foundation Stage".

## Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

The team will have to do less with early years providers and childminders. We will focus on areas of support which have the greatest impact.

Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services

YES

If proposal delivers part year saving in 2014/15, state value:

### Human Resources Implications – Details relating to the Existing structure

Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?

YES NO

Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)

- ♠ (not covered by council employee)
- ♦ (covered by council employee)
- ♥ including posts covered by agency)

(HR Advisory Service will provide you with data where this is available)

	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE							
Head							
Count							
Vacant♠							
Vacant♦							
Vacant♥							

DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Children & Young People – School Standards & Achievements

REF: CYP04

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 2 SERVICE: Looked after Children Education Team

LEAD OFFICER: Sue Tipler

**PORTFOLIO: Children & Young People** 

**SELECT COMMITTEE: Children & Young People** 

2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) – seek information from Group Finance Managers

#### Net Controllable Budget:

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget
£000's	£000's	£000's
62	0	62

#### **Description of Service**

<u>Briefly</u> describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are: The Looked After Children Education Team oversees the education of Looked After Children, including providing tuition to support their learning, support in transition from primary to secondary school, and peer mentoring. The team also ensure that destinations data is collected to monitor pathways and ensure the right support is provided to individuals.

### **Description of saving proposed**

Please provide savings value and <u>sufficient</u> details on the proposal: £62k

Most of the funding is provided through the Dedicated Schools Grant (£200k) although there is a contribution of £62k to the service from the General Fund. In future all costs will be contained within the Dedicated Schools Grant

Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

A review of the service will be required. The education of our Looked After Children will continue to be a priority.

Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services

YES

NO

If proposal delivers part year saving in 2014/15, state value: £62k

### Human Resources Implications – Details relating to the Existing structure

Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?

YES

NO

Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)

- **♠** (not covered by council employee)
- ♦ (covered by council employee)
- **♥** including posts covered by agency)

(HR Advisory Service will provide you with data where this is available)

	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE							
Head							
Count							
Vacant♠							
Vacant◆							
Vacant♥							

DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Children & Young People – Children & Social Care

REF: CYP05

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 9

**SERVICE: Business Support, Placements & Procurement** 

LEAD OFFICER: Ian Smith

**PORTFOLIO: Children & Young People** 

**SELECT COMMITTEE: Children & Young People** 

## 2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) – seek information from Finance

#### **Net Controllable Budget:**

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget
£000's	£000's	£000's
2,617	Nil	2,617

#### **Description of Service**

#### Briefly describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

Business Support within Children's Social Care providers administrative support for all the services in the division. These are Referral & Assessment; Family Social Work; Looked After Children; Adoption; Leaving Care; Fostering; Placements & Procurement; Quality Assurance; and Children with Complex Needs.

#### **Description of saving proposed**

## Please provide sufficient details on the proposal:

As well as the Business Support teams based in the front line services, there are currently 2 specialist teams providing centralised functions in compliance with separation of duties under Financial Regulations. This contributes to safeguarding functions by freeing up and supporting Social Workers to concentrate on direct work with vulnerable children and families. A review of business support across the Children's Social Care Division is being undertaken to examine the opportunities for reshaping current activities and identifying opportunities for sharing resources with other support teams in the Council such as Finance and Adult Social Care. These are in addition to the savings in the previous two years of £575k.

#### Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

It is anticipated that the make up of staff teams will change through the delivery of this proposal.

Does this proposal require a full report . (Seek advice from Legal Services)	YES	NO
Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" ie. span over different Services	YES	NO

#### Value of Proposals per year (£000's)

2014/15:	2015/16:	Total 2014 / 16:
100	50	150

#### Percentage of Net Budget proposed:

HRA: DSG:

Can this saving be taken in current Financial Year:	YES	NO
If YES to previous question, what is the value that can be taken:		

#### Outcome of Consultation (if required) Please outline the outcome and mitigation (where appropriate) of any consultation undertaken on this proposal to cover, where relevant, Service User/Strategic Partner and Staff - statutory and non statutory Consultation with staff will be undertaken. Risk to Achievability: Please use the following to quantify risk: 1-Least achievable to 4 - most achievable Impact on Corporate Priorities: Corporate Priorities:-Main Priority - Most Relevant **Secondary Priority** Community Leadership and empowerment G - Protection of children B - Young people's achievement and Young people's achievement and involvement involvement C. Clean, green and liveable Safety, security and a visible presence Impact of saving on corporate Impact of saving on corporate E. Strengthening the local economy priority priority F. Decent Homes for all **Positive Negative** Neutral Positive **Negative** Neutral G. Protection of children H. Caring for adults and the older people Level of Impact Level of Impact Active, health citizens 1. High Medium Low High Medium Low Inspiring efficiency, effectiveness and equity What is the overall impact on equalities? 2014/15 2015/16 2016/17 High Medium Low High Medium Low High Medium Low Level of impact: State the level of impact on the protected characteristics below: Ethnicity: High Medium Low Gender: Medium High Low Age: High Medium Low Disability: High Medium Low Religion/Belief: High Medium Low Pregnancy/Maternity High Medium Low Marriage & Civil Partnerships Medium High Low **Sexual Orientation:** High Medium Low Gender reassignment Hiah Medium Low If your saving proposal has a high impact on groups with a protected characteristic please explain why, and outline what steps have been/will be taken to mitigate such an impact: Outcome of full Equalities Analysis Assessment (if required): Please outline the outcome of the full EAA if undertaken Ward/Geographical implications - State which specific Wards are directly affected by this proposal All Wards: If individual Wards, please state:

**Legal Implications** – State any specific Legal Implications relating to this proposal

**YES** 

Impact on Voluntary Sector – State any impact of this proposal on the Voluntary Sector

			plications									
		· ·		<u> </u>		vels wi	thin you	r team (yes	'no)?	YE	S	NO
Is this a c	Is this a continuation of a previous proposal?:							¥E	S	NO		
If YES, plo	If YES, please state the previous Reference No.(s) and year:											
band. (FT ♠ (not cov ♦ (covere ♥ includio	TE equivered by one of the contract of the con	uivalent by cou council sts cov	coposals, p t, Head Council employee employee ered by ag vill provide y	unt & Vac yee) ) ency)	eant)			in your cu	rrent	structu	re by g	rade
		e 1 - 2	Scale 3 -		6 - SO2		– PO5	PO6 - PO	08 3	SMG1 -	- SMG3	JNC
FTE		2	4	,	17.8		2	1				
Head Count		2	5		18		2	1				
Vacant≜												
Vacant♦												
Vacant♥												
Workford	e Pro	file Info	rmation									
Please pr	ovide	a break	down of yo	ur service	area:							
Gender:	F	emale:	21			Ма	ıle: 7					
Ethnicity:		BME: ^	16	White: 12		Oth	ner:		١	Not Kno	wn:	
Disability:	4	ļ	L			l			<u> </u>			
Sexual	١	Where k	nown:				Not Kı	nown:				
Orientatio	n:											
				<del>-</del> .					,			
			<u>-</u>					of consultati				
From you equivaler				posts w	ill be del	eted wi	thin yo	ur structur	e by g	rades (	FTE	
S	Scale '	1 - 2   3	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6	6 - SO2	PO1 -	- PO5	PO6 – PO	8   S	MG1 – 3	SMG3	JNC
FTE												
Head Count												
How do y	ou ex	pect to	reduce th	ese posts	s?							
			Redundand	;y				elete v	acant r	ost		
FTE:	,						•					
	Head Count:											

Grades:

DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Children & Young People – Children & Social Care

**REF: CYP06** 

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 9

SERVICE: Looked After Children LEAD OFFICER: Ian Smith

**PORTFOLIO: Children & Young People** 

**SELECT COMMITTEE: Children & Young People** 

## 2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) - seek information from Finance

#### **Net Controllable Budget:**

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget
£000's	£000's	£000's
2,711	Nil	2,711

#### **Description of Service**

#### Briefly describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

The leaving care team currently works with children looked after from the age of sixteen.

#### **Description of saving proposed**

#### Please provide <u>sufficient</u> details on the proposal:

We propose to make savings and improve the performance of the service by changing the way the service functions. Currently there are three Looked after Children's Teams that work with looked after children from roughly the age of 5 to 16 at which point they transfer to one of three Leaving Care Teams who provide support as the young person leaves care and onwards until they are 21 (or 25 if they are in full time education). Feedback from the Children in Care Council is that they would prefer not to have the change of worker at the age of 16.

We are therefore proposing to have Looked after Children Teams that will take young people through to 25 where required. We can achieve this with 5 teams and delete one team manager post. The staff from that team will be spread out amongst the remaining teams.

## Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

Service users will have fewer changes of social workers, which is something they have requested. It is envisaged that this change will also improve service user experience of transition points.

For staff, there will be a gradual change in caseload. Training will be offered to all staff to manage this.

Does this proposal red	YES	NO					
Is this proposal "cross	YES	NO					
Value of Proposals per year (£000's)							
2014/15: 2015/16: Total 2014 / 16:							
0 100 100							
Percentage of Not Budget proposed:							

#### Percentage of Net Budget proposed:

If YES to previous question, what is the value that can be taken:

1 orderinage of Not Budget proposed.							
Effect on HRA/DSG: / YES NO If YES, outline the effect below							
HRA: DSG:							
Can this saving be taken in current Financial Year:							

## Outcome of Consultation (if required)

Please outline the outcome and mitigation (where appropriate) of any consultation undertaken on this proposal to cover, where relevant, Service User/Strategic Partner and Staff - statutory and non statutory

Consultation with staff will be undertaken.

Risk to Achievability: Please use the following to quantify risk: 1-Least achievable to 4 – most achievable

#### **Impact on Corporate Priorities:**

Main Priority - Most Relevant		Secondary Priority		Corporate Priorities:-			
					A. Community Leadership and		Community Leadership and empowerment
G - Protection	G - Protection of children		B - Young ped involvement	ople's achieveme	ent and	В.	Young people's achievement and involvement
						C.	Clean, green and liveable
Impact of	saving on	corporate	Impact of	saving on	corporate	D.	Safety, security and a visible presence
priority	ouving on	oor porato	priority	ourning on	oor porato	E.	Strengthening the local economy
						F.	Decent Homes for all
Positive	Negative	<del>Neutral</del>	Positive	Negative	Neutral Neutral	G.	Protection of children
Level of li	mnact		Level of l	mnact		Н.	Caring for adults and the older people
Level Of II	праст	Level of Impact		1.	Active, health citizens		
High	Medium	Low	High	Medium	Low	J.	Inspiring efficiency, effectiveness and equity
What is th	What is the overall impact on equalities?						

High Medium Low High Medium Low High Medium Low	2014/15			2015/16			2016/17		
Thigh Wediam Low Thigh Wediam Low	High	Medium	Low	High	Medium	Low	High	Medium	Low

#### Level of impact: State the level of impact on the protected characteristics below:

Ethnicity:	High	Medium	Low
Gender:	High	Medium	Low
Age:	High	Medium	Low
Disability:	High	Medium	Low
Religion/Belief:	High	Medium	Low
Pregnancy/Maternity	High	Medium	Low
Marriage & Civil Partnerships	High	Medium	Low
Sexual Orientation:	High	Medium	Low
Gender reassignment	High	Medium	Low

If your saving proposal has a high impact on groups with a protected characteristic please explain why, and outline what steps have been/will be taken to mitigate such an impact :

#### Outcome of full Equalities Analysis Assessment (if required):

Please outline the outcome of the full EAA if undertaken

#### Ward/Geographical implications - State which specific Wards are directly affected by this proposal

All Wards: If individual Wards, please state:

#### **Legal Implications** – State any specific Legal Implications relating to this proposal

Services can be provided to young people who are defined as being eligible, under the Children (Leaving Care) Act 2000 and the 1989 Children Act. The duties are:

- a duty to advise, assist and befriend a looked after young person with a view to promoting their welfare when they cease being looked after;
- a duty to advise and befriend a young person who was previously looked after and is under 21
- a power to assist a young person who was previously looked after and is under 21 years (and beyond if help needed is regarding education/ training or employment and the course begins before
- A power to assist other young people who were accommodated by a health authority, education authority or privately fostered.

				D - 4 - 11 -		41	To de time or an	····				
							Existing st	<u>tructure</u> ır team (yes/r	10)2			
VVIII LI IIS S	avirig	proposa	ii iiave aii	ппраст оп	Starring ic	VCIS	within you	ıı team (yes/i	10) !	YES	NC	<del>)</del>
Is this a co	ontinu	ation of	a previous	s proposal	?:					YES	NC	)
If YES, ple	ease s	state the	previous	Reference	e No.(s) a	nd ye	ear:					
band. (FT ♠ (not cov ♦ (covere ♥ includir	Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)  ♠ (not covered by council employee)  ♦ (covered by council employee)  ▼ including posts covered by agency)  (HR Advisory Service will provide you with data where this is available)											
Scale 1 - 2   Scale 3 - 5   Scale 6 - SO2   PO1 - PO5   PO6 - PO8   SMG1 - SMG3   JNG						NC						
FTE Head			5.6 6		8		37.1 41	7.6		2 2		
Count			0		0		41	0		2		
Vacant≜												
Vacant♦												
Vacant♥												
Workforce Profile Information												
Please pro	ovide a	a break	down of yo	our service	area:							
Gender:	nder: Female: 53 Male: 12											
Ethnicity:	E	BME: 34	1	White: 24	1		Other: 1		Not Known: 6			
Disability:	2		,						•			
Sexual Orientation	1 -		nown: 1 E osexual	Bisexual			Not K	nown: 50				
	•											
Human R	esour	rces Im	plications	- To be c	ompleted	on c	conclusion	of consultation	ns			
	From your proposals, how many posts will be deleted within your structure by grades (FTE equivalent & Head Count)?											
	cale 1		Scale 3 - 5	Scale	6 - SO2	PC	)1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SM	IG1 – SMG3	JN	1C
FTE												
Head												
Count												
How do y	ou ex	•		•	<b>5 ?</b>		TUDE			1 4		
			Redundan	су			TUPE		ре	lete vacant	post	τ
FTE : Head Cou	ınt <sup>.</sup>											
Grades :												
	•											

Impact on Voluntary Sector – State any impact of this proposal on the Voluntary Sector

DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Children & Young People – Children & Social Care

**REF: CYP07** 

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 9

**SERVICE: Contact** 

LEAD OFFICER: lan Smith

**PORTFOLIO: Children & Young People** 

**SELECT COMMITTEE: Children & Young People** 

### 2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) – seek information from Group Finance Managers

#### **Net Controllable Budget:**

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget					
£000's	£000's	£000's					
19,683	Nil	19,683					

#### **Description of Service**

#### Briefly describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

We are required by legislation to provide contact between some parents and their children who have been removed from their care.

### **Description of saving proposed**

Please provide savings value and <u>sufficient</u> details on the proposal: £50k (2015/16 only)

Some of these contacts need to be supervised and most of which are ordered by the courts. The Supervised Contact is provided in a safe place due to risks that the parent may still pose to the child. There is a requirement in many instances for birth parents to have contact with their children in Local Authority care. Contact will often be in secure environments, as some parents have difficult and challenging behaviour. We currently use specialist agencies to carry out this contact, who charge for premises. It is proposed to use Council premises in the future which will mean we will save on the cost of premises hire and/or alternatively negotiate significant reduction in room hire and other costs. This is in addition to the previous savings of £200k in 2013/14 and already offered for 2014/15.

The proposed saving relates to a reduction in costs of premises where the service is located. Any new competitive procurement would seek bids which could reduce this cost.

## Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

There is no anticipated impact on staff or service users.

Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services	YES	NO

## If proposal delivers part year saving in 2014/15, state value: £000's

#### **Human Resources Implications** – Details relating to the Existing structure

Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?

YES NO

Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)

- **♠** (not covered by council employee)
- ♦ (covered by council employee)
- **♥** including posts covered by agency)

(HR Advisory Service will provide you with data where this is available)

	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE							
Head							
Count							
Vacant♠							
Vacant♦							
Vacant♥							

DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Children & Young People – Children & Social Care

**REF: CYP08** 

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 9

**SERVICE: Adoption Service LEAD OFFICER: Ian Smith** 

**PORTFOLIO: Children & Young People** 

**SELECT COMMITTEE: Children & Young People** 

#### 2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) – seek information from Group Finance Managers

#### **Net Controllable Budget:**

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget
£000's	£000's	£000's
2,900	1,048	1,852

#### **Description of Service**

#### Briefly describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

The Adoption Support Team provide services and advice to families to assist them through the process of of adoption and as required by legislation provide contact between some parents and their children who have been removed from their care. We are currently implementing the Government reforms on adoption. The reforms included an equalisation of the assessment fee to £27k.

#### **Description of saving proposed**

Please provide savings value and <u>sufficient</u> details on the proposal: £50k

Historically the adoption service has not targeted Lewisham families for adoption as many Lewisham LAC cannot be placed in the borough in close proximity to their birth families.

The equalisation and reform grant monies mean we now have capacity to recruit surplus adopters, including Lewisham based adopters, that other Local Authorities and Adoption agencies can use. We anticipate that this will generate income for Lewisham. £50k represents two additional assessments.

## Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

Lewisham has a good reputation for recruiting adopters, and being able to recruit adopters in Lewisham will be beneficial for children needing placements regionally, and across the country.

Staff will now be able to target Lewisham families for adoption, and the service has the capacity to do this through the Adoption Reform Grant.

**Is this proposal "cross-cutting?"** i.e. span over different Services

YES NO

If proposal delivers part year saving in 2014/15, state value:

### **Human Resources Implications** – Details relating to the Existing structure

Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?

YES

NO

Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)

- **♠** (not covered by council employee)
- ♦ (covered by council employee)
- **♥** including posts covered by agency)

(HR Advisory Service will provide you with data where this is available)

	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE							
Head							
Count							
Vacant♠							
Vacant◆							
Vacant♥							

DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Children & Young People – Children & Social Care

**REF: CYP09** 

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 9

SERVICE: Family Social Work LEAD OFFICER: Ian Smith

**PORTFOLIO: Children & Young People** 

**SELECT COMMITTEE: Children & Young People** 

2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) – seek information from Group Finance Managers

#### **Net Controllable Budget:**

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget		
£000's	£000's	£000's		
643	Nil	643		

#### **Description of Service**

#### Briefly describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

Meliot Road is a family centre that provides support to vulnerable families and Court reports as part of care proceedings.

#### **Description of saving proposed**

Please provide savings value and sufficient details on the proposal: £15k

It is planned to sell surplus capacity to other London boroughs.

Where the Council sells surplus capacity to other London Boroughs, officers must ensure that there are appropriate contractual arrangement in place to cover such arrangements.

Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

There is no anticipated impact on staff or service users.

Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services

YES

NO

If proposal delivers part year saving in 2014/15, state value:

#### Human Resources Implications – Details relating to the Existing structure

Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?

Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)

- ♠ (not covered by council employee)
- ♦ (covered by council employee)
- **♥** including posts covered by agency)

(HR Advisory Service will provide you with data where this is available)

	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE							
Head							
Count							
Vacant≜							
Vacant♦							
Vacant♥							

**Note**: Where the saving proposal is cross cutting or an aggregation of lower value savings to arrive at the deminimis level of £100k, please ensure that sufficient detail is maintained locally to support these.

DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Children & Young People – Targeted services and Joint

Commissioning

REF: CYP10

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 9

**SERVICE: Early Intervention** 

LEAD OFFICER: Warwick Tomsett PORTFOLIO: Children & Young People

**SELECT COMMITTEE: Children & Young People** 

2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) – seek information from Group Finance Managers

### Net Controllable Budget:

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget
£000's	£000's	£000's
151	NIL	151

### **Description of Service**

### **Briefly** describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

This budget covers delivery of the Family Information Service which provides a directory that covers early years and childcare, employment and training, health, housing, safety and other issues.

### **Description of saving proposed**

Please provide savings value and <u>sufficient</u> details on the proposal: £45k

The database has been brought in house and the cost has therefore reduced.

# Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

This service will now be delivered through the Council's Callpoint service. There will be no impact on staff and service users will continue to have access to the same information.

Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services

YES

NO

If proposal delivers part year saving in 2014/15, state value:

### Human Resources Implications – Details relating to the Existing structure

Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?

Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)

- **♠** (not covered by council employee)
- ♦ (covered by council employee)
- **♥** including posts covered by agency)

	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE							
Head							
Count							
Vacant∙							
Vacant◆							
Vacant♥							

DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Children & Young People – Targeted Services and Joint

Commission

REF: CYP11

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 9

**SERVICE: Early Intervention** 

LEAD OFFICER: Warwick Tomsett PORTFOLIO: Children & Young People

**SELECT COMMITTEE: Children & Young People** 

2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) – seek information from Group Finance Managers

### Net Controllable Budget:

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget
£000's	£000's	£000's
1,650	NIL	1,650

### **Description of Service**

### **Briefly** describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

Targeted Family Support contract - the commissioned Targeted Family Support contract provides support to vulnerable families.

### **Description of saving proposed**

Please provide savings value and <u>sufficient</u> details on the proposal: £100k

Through better commissioning arrangements savings can be made as we have managed the current Targeted Family Support contract to deliver to a lower value than initially set aside for the contract. This saving does not reduce the number of families who will receive support from the service, but does reduce the unit costs

Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

There is no anticipated impact on staff or service users.

Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services

If proposal delivers part year saving in 2014/15, state value:

### **Human Resources Implications** – Details relating to the Existing structure

Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?

Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)

- **♠** (not covered by council employee)
- ♦ (covered by council employee)
- **♥** including posts covered by agency)

(HR Advisory Service will provide you with data where this is available)

	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE							
Head							
Count							
Vacant♠							
Vacant◆							
Vacant♥							

**Note**: Where the saving proposal is cross cutting or an aggregation of lower value savings to arrive at the deminimis level of £100k, please ensure that sufficient detail is maintained locally to support these.

DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Children & Young People – Targeted Services and Joint Commission

Commission

REF: CYP12

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 2

SERVICE: Attendance and Welfare LEAD OFFICER: Warwick Tomsett PORTFOLIO: Children & Young People

**SELECT COMMITTEE: Children & Young People** 

2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) – seek information from Finance

### **Net Controllable Budget:**

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget
£000's	£000's	£000's
1,087	Nil	1,087

### **Description of Service**

Briefly describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

Attendance and Welfare Service - Parents have a legal responsibility to ensure that their child is attending school regularly. The service works closely with families, schools and other agencies to improve school attendance. Failure to attend school regularly could result in the Council taking legal action. Magistrates can also impose a Parenting Order, requiring parents or carers to attend counselling or guidance sessions for a period of up to three months.

### **Description of saving proposed**

Please provide sufficient details on the proposal:

A full re-organisation of the service was proposed in the last budget round, including de-layering of management as well as considering the caseloads of staff and the areas of work that have the greatest impact on absence. Savings of £200k have already been agreed. It will become a traded service for non-statutory elements. A further saving is now believed possible to make. The total saving is £500k or 50% of the original budget (£1,087k), taking expenditure into line with our statistical neighbours.

Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

There is a likely reduction in staff. Discussions are taking place with schools about the work they do on attendance and the expectations on them in future to take greater responsibility for casework. Secondary schools already have developed infrastructures for doing this, and primary schools will be offered support in moving to the new model. Schools will be offered the opportunity to buy a range of services to supplement what they deliver themselves, and there will be a number of core statutory services which will remain free. It is planned to implement the changes in September 2014 delivering a part year saving in 14/15

Does this proposal require a full report . (Seek advice from Legal Services)	YES	NO
Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services	YES	NO

### Value of Proposals per year (£000's)

2014/15:	2015/16:	Total 2014 / 16:
100	200	300

### Percentage of Net Budget proposed:

Effect on HRA/DSG: /	<del>yes</del>   NO	If YES, outline the effect below
----------------------	---------------------	----------------------------------

HRA: DSG:

Can this saving be taken in current Financial Year:	YES	NO
If YES to previous question, what is the value that can be taken:		

### Outcome of Consultation (if required)

Please outline the outcome and mitigation (where appropriate) of any consultation undertaken on this proposal to cover, where relevant, Service User/Strategic Partner and Staff – statutory and non statutory

Consultation is under way with staff, schools and the third sector but is not yet complete.

Risk to Achievability: Please use the following to quantify risk: 1-Least achievable to 4 – most achievable

**Impact on Corporate Priorities:** 

Main Priority – Most Relevant			Secondary Priority				
B - Young pe involvement	ople's achieveme	ent and	J - Inspiring efficiency, effectiveness and equity				
Impact of saving on corporate priority			Impact of saving on corporate priority				
Positive	Negative	Neutral	Positive Negative Neutra				
Level of Impact Lev		Level of li	npact				
High	Medium	<del>Low</del>	High	Medium	Low		
l			1141 0				

2015/16

- Corporate Priorities:-
- A. Community Leadership and empowerment
- B. Young people's achievement and involvement

\_4

- C. Clean, green and liveable
- D. Safety, security and a visible presence
- E. Strengthening the local economy
- F. Decent Homes for all
- G. Protection of children
- H. Caring for adults and the older people
  - Active, health citizens

Medium

Medium

Medium

2016/17

J. Inspiring efficiency, effectiveness and equity

Low

Low

Low

### What is the overall impact on equalities?

High	Medium	Low	High	High Med		Low	High	Mediur	n Low
Level of impact: State the level of impact on the protected characteristics below:									
Ethnicity:						High	Mediun	<del>1</del>	Low
Gender:					High	Mediun	7	Low	
Age:					High	Mediun	<del>1</del>	Low	
Disability:					High	Mediun	<del>1</del>	Low	
Religion/B	elief:	_	_			High	Mediun	7	Low

High

High

High

Gender reassignment

If your saving proposal has a high impact on groups with a protected characteristic please explain why, and outline what steps have been/will be taken to mitigate such an impact:

### Outcome of full Equalities Analysis Assessment (if required):

Please outline the outcome of the full EAA if undertaken

Ward/Geographical implications – State which specific Wards are directly affected by this proposal

All Wards: If individual Wards, please state:

VFS

Pregnancy/Maternity

**Sexual Orientation:** 

Marriage & Civil Partnerships

2014/15

### **Legal Implications** – State any specific Legal Implications relating to this proposal

Under The School and Early Years Finance (England) Regulations 2012 "Expenditure arising from the authority's functions under Chapter 2 of Part 6 of the 1996 Act (school attendance)" falls within the Non Schools Education Budget as set out at Schedule 1 to the Regulations. It follows that such expenditure should properly be funded from general local authority resources (not DSG). This does not prohibit the charging of school budgets for all services provided which relate to school attendance.

Where the responsibility rests with the local authority then the local authority are not able to seek to charge

schools for such activities, e.g. school attendance orders and school attendance prosecutions. Where however the charge relates to functions additional or ancillary to the local authority functions then it seems that the local authority may seek charges from schools.

The "School Attendance" statutory guidance confirms "Only local authorities can prosecute parents and they must fund all associated costs."

Local authorities are statutorily responsible for ensuring that parents fulfil their legal duty that their child/ran of compulsory school age receive suitable, efficient fulltime education either by regularly attending school or otherwise. Local authorities are statutorily required to make arrangements to enable them to establish (as far as it is possible to do so) the identity of children in their area who are not receiving a suitable education.

Impact on Voluntary Sector – State any impact of this proposal on the Voluntary Sector

Neutral

Human Resources Implications – Details relating to the Existing structure		
Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?	YES	NO
Is this a continuation of a previous proposal?:	YES	NO
If YES, please state the previous Reference No.(s) and year:	CYP46, J	Jan 2013

Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)

- **♠** (not covered by council employee)
- ♦ (covered by council employee)
- ♥ including posts covered by agency)

(HR Advisory Service will provide you with data where this is available)

	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE		3.6		18.8	1		
Head		5		19	1		
Count							
Vacant≜							
Vacant◆							
Vacant♥							

### **Workforce Profile Information**

Please provide a breakdown of your service area:

Gender:	Female: 23			Male: 2		
Ethnicity:	BME: 12	White: 11	Oth	er: 1	Not Known: 1	
Disability:	3		•			
Sexual Orientation:	Where known: 8 l	heterosexual		Not Known: 17		

# Human Resources Implications – To be completed on conclusion of consultations From your proposals, how many posts will be deleted within your structure by grades (FTE equivalent & Head Count)? Scale 1 - 2 | Scale 3 - 5 | Scale 6 - SO2 | PO1 – PO5 | PO6 – PO8 | SMG1 – SMG3 | JNC | FTE | Head Count | FTE | FTE

DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Children & Young People – Targeted Services and Joint

Commission

**REF: CYP13** 

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 9

**SERVICE: Youth Service** 

LEAD OFFICER: Warwick Tomsett PORTFOLIO: Children & Young People

**SELECT COMMITTEE: Children & Young People** 

2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) – seek information from Group Finance Managers

### **Net Controllable Budget:**

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget
£000's	£000's	£000's
2,977	160	2,817

### **Description of Service**

### Briefly describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

The Youth Service has been reorganised and provides directly and through commissioning a range of services supporting young people in the borough aged 8-19, up to 25 with LDD covering: 1:1 intensive support for young people with identified vulnerabilities, Issue based group work for specific vulnerable groups, Street based youth work and Access to positive activities through fun and vibrant places to go and things to do. With activities targeted at young people at the greatest risk of poor life outcomes. All services are aimed at achieving impact for young people of: Improved life skills. Increased involvement in education, employment or training, Staying safe and well, and preventing needs from escalating.

### **Description of saving proposed**

Please provide savings value and <u>sufficient</u> details on the proposal: £100k

It is now proposed to reduce the commissioned work for youth by a further £100k from the currently allocated £965k.

# Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

Service users will continue to have access to a wide range of youth provision. There will be no impact on Council staff, since this money is related to commissioning services from external providers. It will mean less provision. However, the pot would remain large and therefore there would still be a range of high quality provision and providers.

Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services

YES

NO

If proposal delivers part year saving in 2014/15, state value:

Human Resources Implications – Details relating to the Existing structure

Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?

Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)

- **♠** (not covered by council employee)
- ♦ (covered by council employee)
- ♥ including posts covered by agency)

	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE							
Head							
Count							
Vacant♠							
Vacant◆							
Vacant♥							

DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Children & Young People – School Standards and achievements

**REF: CYP14** 

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 2

**SERVICE: School Improvement LEAD OFFICER: Alan Docksey** 

**PORTFOLIO: Children & Young People** 

**SELECT COMMITTEE: Children & Young People** 

2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) – seek information from Group Finance Managers

### Net Controllable Budget:

Not Controllable Badgeti							
Expenditure	Income	Net Budget					
£000's	£000's	£000's					

### **Description of Service**

### Briefly describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

Service Level agreements are offered by the council to schools and cover a variety of support services. Schools pay for these services from their delegated formula budgets.

### **Description of saving proposed**

Please provide savings value and sufficient details on the proposal: £150k

The services continue to trade successfully with schools and are increasing the value of services they are selling. It is proposed to increase the range of charges to schools and to ensure that all services to schools by the council are achieving the 15% overheads recovery.

Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users: By increasing the range of charged for services and decreasing the number of "free" services then schools will find that their delegated budgets do not enable the same amount of services to be procured as previously. It is expected that the percentage impact on a school's budget is 0.1%.

Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services - CYP

YES

NO

If proposal delivers part year saving in 2014/15, state value: £75k

Human Resources Implications – Details relating to the Existing structure

Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?

YES

NO by grade

Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)

- **♠** (not covered by council employee)
- ♦ (covered by council employee)
- ♥ including posts covered by agency)

	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE							
Head							
Count							
Vacant≜							
Vacant♦							
Vacant♥							

**DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Children & Young People – Cross Directorate Savings** 

REF: CYP15

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 9 SERVICE: Safeguarding and early intervention

LEAD OFFICER: Alan Docksey PORTFOLIO: Children & Young People

**SELECT COMMITTEE: Children & Young People** 

2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) – seek information from Group Finance Managers

### Net Controllable Budget:

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget
£000's	£000's	£000's
50,068	4,889	45,179

### **Description of Service**

<u>Briefly</u> describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are: The Directorate has been operating a Departmental Expenditure Panel (DEP) for two years in order to challenge the need for all proposed expenditure. The departmental expenditure panel consists of the Executive Director of Children of Young People and the Directorate's Head of Resources. It approves all expenditure that is incurred within the Directorate before it is committed unless it is an emergency or is for a social care / special educational needs placement.

### **Description of saving proposed**

Please provide savings value and <u>sufficient</u> details on the proposal: £216k

This has already resulted in in-year savings through stopping expenditure or budget holders deciding it is no longer appropriate to undertake expenditure in these austere times. It is proposed now to take out of the budget the savings that have been delivered in the past through this process.

Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users: This proposal brings the budget for the Directorate into line with the reduced spending level as a result of operating the DEP.

Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services - CYP

YES

NO

If proposal delivers part year saving in 2014/15, state value:

**Human Resources Implications** – Details relating to the Existing structure

Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?

Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)

- **♠** (not covered by council employee)
- ♦ (covered by council employee)
- ♥ including posts covered by agency)

	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE							
Head							
Count							
Vacant∙							
Vacant♦							
Vacant♥							

**DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Community Services** 

Ref COM01

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: T 1

**SERVICE: Adult Social Care** 

**LEAD OFFICER: Joan Hutton/ Dee Carlin** 

PORTFOLIO: Assessment/ Care Management. Provision of care

**SELECT COMMITTEE: HCSC** 

### 2013/14 BUDGET (£000's)

### Net Controllable Budget:

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget					
£000's	£000's	£000's					
107,500	26,500	81,000					

### **Description of Service**

**Briefly** describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

The aim of adult social care services is to enable residents who are eligible for social care funding to:

- gain maximum independence
- make choices about their care
- stay healthy and safe and
- increase their ability to participate in family and community life.

Adult social care fulfils the council's statutory duties in respect of vulnerable adults under the National Assistance Act 1948 and subsequent related legislation. By April 2014, all of this legislation will be streamlined into the one Social Care Act.

Councils are required to complete a thorough assessment of people's needs and to meet these assessed needs in the most cost effective manner by providing community care services.

The eligibility criteria is set by the Department of Health's Fair access to services FACS

The service also provides information and advice for residents who are not eligible for adult social care.

### **Description of saving proposed**

Please provide savings value and <u>sufficient</u> details on the proposal: £ 2.5m

This proposal builds on a number of previous savings proposals (Rounds 1 and 2) that bring together adult health and care services.

The integrated adult health and care programme has been established to deliver better outcomes for residents and, through the joining up of health and care services, and the removal of duplication across the whole health and care system, deliver a range of efficiencies.. The integrated care programme will focus on developing teams of professionals and support services that work closely with GP practices to reduce duplication of assessment, care planning and management of care. It is anticipated that this way of working will enable a saving of £2.5 m to be made in 2014/15.

Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users: Making significant financial savings at the same time as meeting the needs of vulnerable adults is clearly a challenge, but joint working should make it possible to									
decrease	costs without	impacting on	the quality of care	e offered					
Is this pr	oposal "cros	s-cutting?" i.	e. span over differe	ent Services		YE	S	NC	<del>)</del>
If propos	al delivers pa	art year savin	ng in 2014/15, sta	te value: £000	)'s				
			Details relating to						
Will this s	aving proposa	al have an imp	eact on staffing lev	els within your	team (yes	s/)?	YES	1	10
		oposals, plead, Head Coun	ase state the nur t & Vacant)	nber of posts	in your cu	urrent st	tructure l	oy gra	ade
		ncil employe	e)						
•	d by council	• • •							
	• .	ered by agen	• '	41-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	- \				
(HR Advis	Scale 1 - 2		uwith data where Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – P	00 01	MG1 – SN	102	JNC
FTE	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - 302	PO1 - PO5	PO0 - P	06 31	<u> </u>	/IGS	JINC
Head									
Count									
Vacant≜									
Vacant♦									
Vacant♥									

**DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Community Services** 

REF: COM02

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 4

**SERVICE: Cultural and Community Development Service - Leisure** 

**LEAD OFFICER: Liz Dart** 

**PORTFOLIO: Community Services** 

**SELECT COMMITTEE: Healthier Communities** 

### 2013/14 BUDGET (£000's)

### Net Controllable Budget:

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget
£000's	£000's	£000's
£2,500	£0	£2,500

### **Description of Service**

### Briefly describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

The leisure budget is managed by the Community Resources Team within Culture and Community Development. Leisure services are delivered through two contracts that manage ten sports and leisure facilities across the borough ranging in size from playing fields at Warren Avenue to our newly opened flagship Glass Mill Leisure Centre in Loampit Vale.

### **Description of saving proposed**

Please provide savings value and <u>sufficient</u> details on the proposal:

Both Leisure contracts include provision for free swims for under 16s and over 60s. In future, given the recognised benefits of swimming in terms of health and wellbeing. Public Health funding will be used to deliver this provision going forward as part of their physical activity programme. The commitment to free swims for under 16s and over 60s will therefore remain and partnership working with Public Health will take place to promote the scheme and increase take up.

Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

There are no staff or service impacts from this proposal.

Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services YES NO

If proposal delivers part year saving in 2014/15, state value: £000's

### Human Resources Implications – Details relating to the Existing structure

Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?

YES

Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)

- **♠** (not covered by council employee)
- ♦ (covered by council employee)
- **♥** including posts covered by agency)

	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE							
Head							
Count							
Vacant≜							
Vacant◆							
Vacant♥							

**DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Community Service** 

REF: COM03

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C4

**SERVICE: Cultural and Community Development Service – VCS grants** 

**LEAD OFFICER: Liz Dart** 

PORTFOLIO: Community Services/Third Sector

**SELECT COMMITTEE: Safer Stronger Select Committee** 

2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) – seek information from Group Finance Managers

Net Controllable Budget:

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget
£000's	£000's	£000's
£6,400	£0	£6,400

### **Description of Service**

### Briefly describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

The Cultural and Community Development Service works in partnership with residents and the voluntary and community sector to deliver on Lewisham's priorities by:

- Encouraging people to be involved and active
- Building the capacity of the voluntary and cultural sectors
- Giving individuals and community groups a voice
- Encouraging enterprise and innovation

The community sector grants programmes provide funding to voluntary and community sector organisations across the borough and contributes to the London Borough Grants Scheme to ensure Lewisham residents have access to pan London services.

### **Description of saving proposed**

Please provide savings value and <u>sufficient</u> details on the proposal:

It is proposed to reduce the £6.4m grants budget by £0.5m. This saving proposal will not impact on the small grants, faith fund or existing commitments in the main grants programme. The saving will be taken from unallocated funds. Savings have become available through reduction to the required contribution to London Borough Grants Scheme and previously agreed tapered funding.

# Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will <u>impact on both</u> staff and service users:

There is no impact on staff from this savings proposal. The proposed £0.5m saving relates to unallocated funds within the grants budget so will not require any reduction to existing main grant commitments.

Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services	YES	NO
If proposal delivers part year saving in 2014/15, state value: £000's		

**Human Resources Implications** – Details relating to the Existing structure
Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?

YES NO

£0.5m

Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)

- **♠** (not covered by council employee)
- ♦ (covered by council employee)
- ▼ including posts covered by agency)

	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE							
Head							
Count							
Vacant≜							
Vacant◆							
Vacant♥							

DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Community Services - Crime Reduction and Supporting People

REF: COM 04

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: T 1

SERVICE: Supporting People

LEAD OFFICER: Geeta Subramaniam-Mooney

PORTFOLIO: Cllr Chris Best SELECT COMMITTEE: Healthier

### 2013/14 BUDGET (£000's)

### Net Controllable Budget:

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget		
£000's	£000's	£000's		
14,062	266	13,796		

### **Description of Service**

### Briefly describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

The Service delivers against the following objectives:

- to provide vulnerable people with the support needed to achieve and maintain independent living
- to prevent and avoid more intensive and high cost services
- to prevent homelessness
- to provide support and accommodation for people where there may also be a statutory duty. For example, high support mental health schemes, emergency accommodation in relation to domestic violence, young people and people with learning disabilities.

### **Description of saving proposed**

Please provide savings value and <u>sufficient</u> details on the proposal: £100 k

The Supporting People service received an additional amount within its budget to cover inflation costs. However the Supporting People Framework Agreement and call-off contracts under it do not provide for indexation or any inflationary increase and this additional funding can therefore be offered as a saving.

Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will <u>impact on both</u> staff and service users:

Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services

YES

NO

If proposal delivers part year saving in 2014/15, state value: na

**Human Resources Implications** – Details relating to the Existing structure

Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?

YES NO

Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)

- ♠ (not covered by council employee)
- (covered by council employee)
- ▼ including posts covered by agency)

	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE							
Head Count							
Vacant≜							
Vacant◆							

DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Community Services Crime Reduction and Supporting People

REF: COM05

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: T 1

SERVICE: Drugs and Alcohol

LEAD OFFICER: Geeta Subramaniam-Mooney

PORTFOLIO: Cllr Janet Daby

**SELECT COMMITTEE:** Safer Stronger / Healthier Communites

### 2013/14 BUDGET (£000's)

### Net Controllable Budget:

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget
£000's	£000's	£000's
5,981	-5,445	536

### **Description of Service**

### **Briefly** describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

The Service delivers against the following objectives :

- to reduce harm caused by drug use both to the individual and to the community
- to deliver a service for offenders with drug use
- to deliver rehabilitation and detoxification provision
- to provide community treatment services
- help drug and alcohol users achieve tangible treatment gains and recovery
- to provide outreach and education and information

People accessing residential rehab will usually have:

- Failed in community treatment more than once
- Longer and more entrenched drug and alcohol misusing careers
- A range of problem substances
- Poorer physical and psychological health
- More significant housing problems

Service users attending residential rehab are likely to be more complex.

### Description of saving proposed

### Please provide savings value and sufficient details on the proposal:

£300 k

Savings will be delivered through improved efficiencies, following a review of the drug and alcohol treatment budget and reallocation of resources in line with priorities. The Drug and Alcohol Action Team is working closely with Public Health in this work.

The Tier 4 (detox and rehab) panel has been overhauled and the Tier 4 provider framework recommissioned. This ensures improved utilisation of rehabilitation provision and mitigates against the possible reduction in overall rehab places.

In order to support people leaving rehab, an Aftercare service (TTP) has been commisioned and this ensures wraparound support is provided to residents following a period in a rehab setting. This results in sustained recovery. Local community based detox provision has also been established (also known as ambulatory detox) which is less costly than a residential rehab placement.

# Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will <u>impact on both</u> staff and service users:

Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services	YES	NO
If proposal delivers part year saving in 2014/15, state value: n a		

Human Res	luman Resources Implications – Details relating to the Existing structure											
Will this sav	Vill this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?											
Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant) (not covered by council employee) (covered by council employee) including posts covered by agency) HR Advisory Service will provide you with data where this is available)												
	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG	3 JNC					
FTE												
Head Count												
Vacant <u></u>												
Vacant◆												
Vacant <b>∀</b>												

**DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Customer Services, Strategic Housing** 

**REF: CUS01** 

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 5 SERVICE: Housing Strategy and Programmes

**LEAD OFFICER: Jeff Endean** 

**PORTFOLIO:** 

**SELECT COMMITTEE:** Housing Select Committee

2013/14 (000's) - seek information from Finance

### Net Controllable Budget:

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget
£000's	£000's	£000's
422	17	405

### **Description of Service**

### **Briefly** describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

The service contract manages the direct provision of housing services for the Council's retained housing stock of c 18,000 homes through Lewisham Homes and the Brockley PFI. It manages the Council's partnerships with the broader housing sector, including where stock has been transferred to RPs. It manages the Council's policy agenda in relation to housing and homelessness, seeks to ensure housing objectives are delivered through private developments, supports the Executive Director in responding to the Housing Select Committee, provides business planning support across the housing division and oversees the housing capital programme.

The service also oversees the Housing Matters change programme, reviewing the ownership options for the Council's retained housing stock and ALMO, overseeing Council new build housing, and improving housing specifically for older people.

The team also manages the large estate regeneration schemes such as Excalibur, although this is 100% HRA funded and therefore not affected by this proposal.

### **Description of saving proposed**

### Please provide sufficient details on the proposal:

This proposal is to restructure the entire Housing Strategy and Programme team to provide a more streamlined approach by merging three teams into two new units, which will reduce management overheads, duplication and streamline processes.

Of the £173k, £100k is already accounted for in the 2014/15 budget with a further £73k being a new saving achieved by a wider scale restructure of the team

# Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

The nature and focus of the teams work is changing and the make-up of the team needs to reflect this. It is likely that a review of the clienting relationship functions between the Council and its key Housing Management Partners will need to take place with a transfer of some of the existing functions to our Partners. In addition, there also needs to be a review of the nature and structure of the policy function across the team.

Does this proposal require a full report? (Seek advice from Legal Services)	YES	NO
Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services	YES	NO

Value of F	Proposals p	er year (£00	00's)										
2014/15 2015/16 Total 2014 / 16													
73 73													
Percentage of Net Budget proposed:													
Effect on HRA/DSG: / YES NO If YES, outline the effect below													
HRA: DSG:													
Can this s	Can this saving be taken in current Financial Year:  YES  NO												
If YES to previous question, what is the value that can be taken:													
Outcome of Consultation (if required)													
proposal to	Please outline the outcome and mitigation (where appropriate) of any consultation undertaken on this proposal to cover, where relevant, Service User/Strategic Partner and Staff – statutory and non statutory												
	This proposal is subject to staff consultation as stipulated within the Council's Employment/Change Management policies.												
Risk to Ad	hievability	: Please use	the follow	ing to	quan	tify r	isk: 1-L	east a	achieva	ble to	o 4 – mos	t achi	evable
	4		<del>2</del>				3	<b>.</b>				4	
Impact on	Corporate	Priorities:	<del>-</del>									_	
	rity – Most R		Secondar	v Pri	ority			Corp	orate Pric	rities:	-		
	iney inicorn	.o.o.a.i.		<b>,</b>	·,			А.	Communit	y Lead	dership and e	mpowei	rment
F			J								achievement		
Impact of	saving on	cornorate	Impact of	savi	na on	corr	norate	C.	Clean, gre	en an	d liveable		
priority	Saving on	corporate	priority	Javi	ilg oil	COI	porate				and a visible	presenc	e
Positive	Negative	Neutral	Positive	Neg	gative	N	eutral		Strengther Decent Ho	•	e local econ or all	omy	
Level of Ir	npact		Level of Ir	npac	:t			G.	Protection	of chi	ldren		
						I		Н.	Caring for	adults	and the olde	er people	9
High	Medium	Low	High	Me	dium	ı	Low	1.	Active, he	alth ci	tizens		
								J.	Inspiring e	efficien	cy, effective	ness and	d equity
What is th	e overall in	npact on eq	ualities?										
	2014/15				2015/1	16					2016/17	•	
High	Medium	Low	High		Mediu	m	Low	,	High		Medium		Low
		e the level					d char	acter					
Ethnicity:						ł	High		Med	dium		Lo	w
Gender:							High		Med	dium		Lo	w
Age:							High			dium		Lo	w
Disability							High			dium		Lo	
Religion/E							High			<del>dium</del>		Lo	
	y/Maternity						<del>High</del> ⊔iah			<del>dium</del>		Lo	
	Marriage & Civil Partnerships High Medium Low												
Sexual Orientation:HighMediumLowGender reassignmentHighMediumLow													
If your saving proposal has a high impact on groups with a protected characteristic please explain why, and outline what steps have been/will be taken to mitigate such an impact :													

Outcon	Outcome of full Equalities Analysis Assessment (if required) :											
Please outline the outcome of the full EAA if undertaken												
As this savings proposal has staffing implications, the service will be required to undertake an equalities analysis assessment (EAA) as part of their restructuring process. As part of their operational business processes, the service will monitor the impact of any staffing implications on service delivery and where necessary, take action to mitigate any resultant impacts.												
Ward/G	Ward/Geographical implications – State which specific Wards are directly affected by this proposal											
	All Wards: If individual Wards, please state:											
	YES <del>/ NO</del>											
	Legal Implications – State any specific Legal Implications relating to this proposal											
none	None											
	on Vo	oluntary	<b>Sector</b> – St	tate	any impact of this	pro	posal on the	Volunta	ry Secto	r		
None												
Human	Reso	urces l	mplications	<b>–</b> [	Details relating to	the	Existing s	tructure				
Will this	savin	g propo	sal have an	imp	pact on staffing le					)?	YES	OH
Is this a	conti	nuation	of a previous	s pr	oposal?						YES	<del>Q</del>
If YES,	please	e state t	he previous	Re	eference No.(s) ar	nd y	/ear:				2014/15 – C	US31
	visory	Service		yoı	u with data where							
FTE	Sc	ale 1 - 2	2 Scale 3 -	5	Scale 6 - SO2	F	<u>201 – PO5</u> 8	PO6	– PO8	S	<u>MG1 – SMG3</u> 1	JNC
Head							7		3		1	
Count												
Vacant₄												
Vacant												
Vacant		e: .					1		1			
			formation	nır	service area:							
	-			, di			Male: 4					
Gender	•	Femal	9. 9				Male: 4					
Ethnicity	y:	BME:	3	W	hite: 10		Other:			No	ot Known:	
Disabilit	ty:	0								l		
Sexual Orientat	tion:	Where	known:				Not K	nown:				
Human Resources Implications – To be completed on conclusion of consultations												
			-		osts will be dele						ades (FTE	
equival		<b>Head C</b> = 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5		Scale 6 - SO2	D	O1 – PO5	PO6 -	. POs	SIM	IG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTF	Juan	ν 1 <sup>-</sup> Δ	Ocale 0 - 0	+	- 50Z	' '	01 -1 00	. 00-	. 00	JIVI	- OIVIOO	0140

Head Count How do you e	xpect to reduce these pe	sts?	
	Redundancy	TUPE	Delete vacant post
FTE:			
Head Count:			
Grades :			

**DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Customer Services, Environment Division** 

**REF: CUS02** 

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 6

SERVICE: Beckenham Place Park, Bereavement Services Refuse & Fleet Services

**LEAD OFFICER: Nigel Tyrell** 

**PORTFOLIO:** 

**SELECT COMMITTEE: Sustainable Development** 

2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) – seek information from Group Finance Managers

Net Controllable Budget:

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget
£000's	£000's	£000's

### **Description of Service**

Briefly describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

### **Description of saving proposed**

Please provide savings value and <u>sufficient</u> details on the proposal: £000's £53k

Staff related cost reviews in Beckenham Place Park, Bereavement Services Refuse & Fleet Services: £53k

Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

No impact on service users. Increased workload for staff. Reduction of 1 part-time post.

Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services

YES

NO

If proposal delivers part year saving in 2014/15, state value: £000's

### Human Resources Implications – Details relating to the Existing structure

Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?

YES

NO

Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)

- **♠** (not covered by council employee)
- ♦ (covered by council employee)
- **♥** including posts covered by agency)

	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE	28%						
Head							
Count							
Vacant≜							
Vacant♦							
Vacant♥							

**DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Customer Services, Environment Division** 

**REF: CUS03** 

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 6

**SERVICE: Refuse** 

**LEAD OFFICER: Nigel Tyrell** 

**PORTFOLIO:** 

**SELECT COMMITTEE:** Sustainable Development

2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) – seek information from Group Finance Managers

**Net Controllable Budget:** 

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget
£000's	£000's	£000's
5,641	2,161	3,480

### **Description of Service**

Briefly describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

The Refuce Collection Service collects domestic and trade waste and provides a recycling collection service.

The service customers are Lewisham residents and local business, including local housing providers. The stakeholders are residents, local business, members and central government.

### **Description of saving proposed**

Please provide savings value and <u>sufficient</u> details on the proposal: £000's £270,000

- 1.Reduction of recycling collection round and vehicle (x1). There are currently 9 rounds. Route optimisation will allow for one round to be reduced.
- 2.Income from bin hire charges introduced this year is exceeding original estimate. There is no indication that this will reduce in future years.

Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

No impact on service users. Increased workload for remaining staff Reduction of 4 agency posts (driver and 3 loaders).

Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services

YES

NO

If proposal delivers part year saving in 2014/15, state value: £000's

Human Resources Implications – Details relating to the Existing structure

Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?

YES

NO

Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)

- **♠** (not covered by council employee)
- ♦ (covered by council employee)
- **♥** including posts covered by agency)

	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE							
Head							
Count							
Vacant≜							
Vacant♦							
Vacant♥							

DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Customer Services, Strategic Housing

**REF: CUS04** 

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 5

SERVICE: Private Sector Housing Unit: TRANSFER OF HOSTELS TO THE GENERAL FUND

**LEAD OFFICER: Madeleine Jeffery** 

**PORTFOLIO:** 

**SELECT COMMITTEE:** Housing Select Committee

2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) – seek information from Group Finance Managers

Net Controllable Budget: (note this is General Fund, there is also an HRA element)

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget
£000's	£000's	£000's
795	119	676

### **Description of Service**

**Briefly** describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

The Council currently operates 24 hostels comprising of 334 rooms. These are made available to homeless households while they await the offer of a permanent social tenancy within the Council's main housing stock. The hostels are contained within the Housing Revenue Account and are managed by the Private Sector Housing Agency. The Council charges rents and a service charge for the hostel properties to residents. For those residents that are not working these charges are met through housing benefit. Working households meet the rental costs themselves. In addition to rent the hostel residents pay a heat, light, water and power charge directly.

### **Description of saving proposed**

Please provide savings value and <u>sufficient</u> details on the proposal: £200k for 2015/16

There are two elements to this proposal. The two elements are:

- 1. To transfer the hostels from the HRA to the General Fund. This requires Secretary of State approval. It would however place the hostels in the same place as other TA types such as B&B and PS leasing which are already managed within the General Fund. The clientele are the same (i.e. transient residents and those who face hardship as a result of homelessness) and locating the management of all of the stock allocated to these residents in one place would make sense.
- 2. The second element to the change is an increase in the rents charged to residents of hostels. The proposed level of increased rents is set out below and would work within the current HB limitations but does not maximise this. If we took the rents to the limitation maximums then this would raise the 1 bed space rents by 59% or £70pw and the 2 bed space rents by 23% or £36pw. The proposal dampens the impacts as follows:

Bedspace	Current	Proposed	Change	Change
			(£)	(%)
1	119.58	150.00	30.02	25.0
2	154.21	165.00	10.79	7.0
3	188.44	190.00	1.56	0.8
4	205.58	190.00	-15.58	-7.6
5	205.58	190.00	-15.58	-7.6
6	205.58	190.00	-15.58	-7.6
7	205.58	190.00	-15.58	-7.6

The total estimated additional income that would be generated by these changes is £201,768 after allowing for 10 per cent void loss. The issue of any increased interest costs coming from an increased valuation have not been calculated in this surplus.

## Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users: There will be a minimal impact on working service users housed in 1 and 2 bed space units who meet their own rent and service charge costs as a result of the proposed change from the HRA to the General Fund. Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services YES NO If proposal delivers part year saving in 2014/15, state value: £000's Human Resources Implications – Details relating to the Existing structure Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)? YES NO Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant) **♠** (not covered by council employee) ♦ (covered by council employee) **♥** including posts covered by agency) (HR Advisory Service will provide you with data where this is available)

	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE							
Head							
Count							
Vacant♠							
Vacant◆							
Vacant♥							

DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Customer Services, Strategic Housing

**REF: CUS05** 

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 5

SERVICE: Housing Strategy and Programmes: MILFORD TOWERS HOUSING PROJECT

**LEAD OFFICER: Jeff Endean** 

**PORTFOLIO:** 

**SELECT COMMITTEE:** Housing Select Committee

2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) – seek information from Group Finance Managers

Net Controllable Budget: (note this is General Fund, there is also an HRA element)

tot controlladio Baagoti (note tille io contolai i alia, ilicio io alice ali ilia i ciello il,					
Expenditure	Income	Net Budget			
£000's	£000's	£000's			
0	250	(250)			

### **Description of Service**

**Briefly** describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

In anticipation of the wider Catford town centre regeneration, the decant of Milford Towers began in April 2012. Market conditions slowed the regeneration process, and so the opportunity arose to use the decanted properties for a meanwhile use. This has been undertaken in combination with Notting Hill Housing who are targeting these properties to local residents at a discount to market rents.

This meanwhile, can be expected to continue for a minimum of at least two years while options for the regeneration are developed and then pursued.

A more detailed analysis is being undertaken of the budget for this project by the finance team to confirm the contributions over the next 2-3 years.

### **Description of saving proposed**

Please provide savings value and sufficient details on the proposal: £158k

This saving will be achieved by absorbing an element of the expected £516k management costs within the Council as a result of the fact that now a large number of the properties have been let the resource requirement to manage the scheme has reduced.

The effect of these efficiencies is a reduction in the expenditure budget for the Milford Towers project of £158k in this year.

# Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

There will be no impact on service users. Staff will achieve the saving through efficiencies in the way in which the management of the scheme is managed, leading to reduced management costs.

Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services

YES

NO

If proposal delivers part year saving in 2014/15, state value: £000's

### **Human Resources Implications** – Details relating to the Existing structure

Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?

Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)

- **♠** (not covered by council employee)
- ♦ (covered by council employee)
- **♥** including posts covered by agency)

	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE							
Head							
Count							
Vacant≜							
Vacant◆							
Vacant♥							

DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Customer Services Directorate / Public Services Division

**REF: CUS06** 

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 7

SERVICE: Service Point LEAD OFFICER: Roy Morgan PORTFOLIO: Cllr Susan Wise

**SELECT COMMITTEE: Safer Stronger** 

2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) – seek information from Group Finance Managers

### **Net Controllable Budget:**

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget
£000's	£000's	£000's
2,585	662	1,993

### **Description of Service**

### Briefly describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

Service Point is responsible for the Access.Point, Call.Point and Registration services. Customers are those needing to contact the Council for a service. Stakeholders are the services that Service Point administers and the General Register Office (part of HM Passport Office).

### Description of saving proposed

Please provide savings value and <u>sufficient</u> details on the proposal: £200K

The Registration Service provides a Nationality Checking Service (NCS) which generates an income (budgeted income of £116K). The savings proposal increases the income budget by £200K to £316K. There is a significant demand for the NCS service and this is expected to continue for the next 2 years. The increase will be achieved by increasing the number of appointments available and processing more checks. The increased income assumes 60% of customers will go on to attend a Citizen Ceremony.

Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

There are no staff impacts. Service Users will benefit from the proposal.

Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services

YES

NO

If proposal delivers part year saving in 2014/15, state value: £000's

Human Resources Implications – Details relating to the Existing structure

Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?

Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)

- **♠** (not covered by council employee)
- ♦ (covered by council employee)
- **♥** including posts covered by agency)

	Scale 1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE							
Head							
Count							
Vacant♠							
Vacant◆							
Vacant♥							

DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Customer Services Directorate / Public Services Division

**REF: CUS07** 

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 7

SERVICE: Service Point LEAD OFFICER: Roy Morgan PORTFOLIO: CIIr Susan Wise SELECT COMMITTEE: Safer Stronger

2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) – seek information from Group Finance Managers

### **Net Controllable Budget:**

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget		
£000's	£000's	£000's		
2,585	662	1,993		

### **Description of Service**

### **Briefly** describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

Service Point is responsible for the Access.Point, Call.Point and Registration services. Customers are those needing to contact the Council for a service. Stakeholders are the services that Service Point administers and the General Register Office (part of HM Passport Office).

### **Description of saving proposed**

### Please provide savings value and sufficient details on the proposal:

The CallPoint service currently delivers an out of hours emergency telephone service. This savings proposal recommends the outsourcing of the service. Previous recommendations were to outsource the service to the London wide shared service centre operated by Vangent. However, concerns were raised over performance and risk. This proposal recommends the service is put out to tender rather than using the London wide shared service centre. Soft market testing suggests that once set up £200K savings are possible. Other providers (e.g. Agilisys and Capita) both deliver for other local authorities who report they are satisfied with the services received.

# Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

There are 8 FTE involved in the delivery of the service. Of these 4.5 FTE would TUPE to the new provider and 3.5 would return to the day time service and release agency staff.

At least the same level of service would be provided to customers. There is also the potential to deliver a more robust service as more staff would be on duty.

Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services

### Value of Proposals per year (£000's)

2014/15	2015/16	Total 2014 / 16
100	100	200

### Percentage of Net Budget proposed:

HRA: DSG:

Can this saving be taken in current Financial Year:	YES	NO
If YES to previous question, what is the value that can be taken:		

### Outcome of Consultation (if required)

Please outline the outcome and mitigation (where appropriate) of any consultation undertaken on this proposal to cover, where relevant, Service User/Strategic Partner and Staff – statutory and non statutory

This proposal is subject to staff consultation as stipulated within the Council's Employment/Change Management policies.

Risk to Achievability: Please use the following to quantify risk: 1-Least achievable to 4 – most achievable

4 2 3 4

### **Impact on Corporate Priorities:**

Main Priority – Most Relevant		Secondary Priority			Corporate Priorities:-			
						A.	Community Leadership and empowerment	
J						В.	Young people's achievement and involvement	
Impact of saving on corporate		corporate	Impact of saving on corporate			C.	Clean, green and liveable	
priority	<u> </u>	-	priority			D.	Safety, security and a visible presence	
D !4!	NI 45	NI 4 I	D 141	NI 4'	Nissatussi	E.	Strengthening the local economy	
Positive	Negative	Neutral	Positive	Negative	Neutral	F.	Decent Homes for all	
Level of li	mpact		Level of li	mpact		G.	Protection of children	
						Н.	Caring for adults and the older people	
High	Medium	Low	High	Medium	Low	1.	Active, health citizens	
				J.	Inspiring efficiency, effectiveness and equity			

### What is the overall impact on equalities?

2014/15

2014/15				2015/10		2010/17			
High	Medium	Low	High	Medium	Low	High	Medium	Low	
Level of in	npact: State	the level of	f impact on	the protect	ed characte	ristics belo	w:		
Ethnicity:					High	Mediun	<del>1</del>	Low	

204 E /4 C

2046/47

Ethnicity:	High	Medium	Low
Gender:	High	Medium	Low
Age:	High	Medium	Low
Disability:	High	Medium	Low
Religion/Belief:	High	Medium	Low
Pregnancy/Maternity	High	Medium	Low
Marriage & Civil Partnerships	High	Medium	Low
Sexual Orientation:	High	Medium	Low
Gender reassignment	High	Medium	Low

If your saving proposal has a high impact on groups with a protected characteristic please explain why, and outline what steps have been/will be taken to mitigate such an impact :

### Outcome of full Equalities Analysis Assessment (if required):

Please outline the outcome of the full EAA if undertaken

As this savings proposal has staffing implications, the service will be required to undertake an equalities analysis assessment (EAA) as part of their restructuring process. As part of their operational business processes, the service will monitor the impact of any staffing implications on service delivery and where necessary, take action to mitigate any resultant impacts.

### Ward/Geographical implications – State which specific Wards are directly affected by this proposal

All Wards: If individual Wards, please state:

YES / NO

**Legal Implications** – State any specific Legal Implications relating to this proposal

Outsourcing the service would require the service to be competitively tendered through a procurement process which must be carried out in accordance with the Public Contracts Regulations 2006 and the Council's Constitution. Any savings achieved will be dependent upon the outcome of the procurement process. The outsourcing of the service may result in a TUPE transfer under the TUPE Regulations 2006.													
Impact o	Impact on Voluntary Sector – State any impact of this proposal on the Voluntary Sector												
Human I	Reso	urces l	mplication	s – [	Details r	elating to	the Ex	cisting s	tructure				
Will this	Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)? YES NO												
Is this a	contir	nuation	of a previo	us pr	oposal?	•					YES	ļ	NO.
If YES, p	lease	state t	ne previou	Re	ference	No.(s) ar	nd yea	r:			2013/14	- Cl	JS22
band. (F ♠ (not co ♦ (covered) ♥ include	TE ecovered by ing p	quivale d by co counc	proposals nt, Head Council employed overed by will provid	oun loye ee) agen	t & Vac e) cy)	ant)			s in your curre	ent s	tructure b	y gr	ade
(TITY AGVI		ale 1 - 2				6 - SO2		- PO5	PO6 – PO8	SI	MG1 – SM	G3	JNC
FTE Head Count			8										
Vacant≜													
Vacant♦													
Vacant♥													
Workfor	ce Pı	rofile In	formation						•	•			
Please p	rovid	e a brea	akdown of	our/	service	area:							
Gender:		Female	e: 7				Ma	ale: 1					
Ethnicity	:	BME:	6	W	hite: 2		Ot	her:		No	ot Known:		
Disability	<b>/</b> :	0					<u> </u>						
Sexual Orientation	on:	Where	known:					Not K	nown:				
Human I	Reso	urces l	mplication	ıs – <sup>-</sup>	Γο be co	mpleted	on cor	clusion	of consultation	S			
	ur pr	oposal	s, how ma			•			ur structure b		ades (FTE		
		1 - 2	Scale 3 -	5	Scale 6	- SO2	PO1	– PO5	PO6 – PO8	SM	G1 – SMC	3	JNC
FTE													
Head Count													
How do	you e	expect	to reduce	thes	e posts	?							
			Redunda	ncy			1	UPE		De	lete vacar	nt po	ost
FTE:													
Head Co Grades:													
JI AUCS .	blades.												

DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION: Customer Services Directorate / Public Services Division

**REF: CUS08** 

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 7

SERVICE: Service Point LEAD OFFICER: Roy Morgan PORTFOLIO: Cllr Susan Wise

**SELECT COMMITTEE: Safer Stronger** 

### 2013/14 BUDGET (£000's) – seek information from Group Finance Managers

Net Controllable Budget:

not conditionable budget						
Expenditure	Income	Net Budget				
£000's	£000's	£000's				
2,585	662	1,993				

### **Description of Service**

### Briefly describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

Service Point is responsible for the Access.Point, Call.Point and Registration services. Customers are those needing to contact the Council for a service. Stakeholders are the services that Service Point administers and the General Register Office (part of HM Passport Office).

### **Description of saving proposed**

### Please provide savings value and sufficient details on the proposal:

Reorganise Service Point staff to delayer and rationalise management duties. Delete remaining 6 x Sc6 supervisor posts, but create 1 scheduling and planning officer and 2 x Sc4.

# Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

No impact on service delivery.

Deletes 6 x Sc6 but opportunity to apply for scheduling and planning officer or go to lower grade of Sc4.

### Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" i.e. span over different Services

### Value of Proposals per year (£000's)

2014/15	2015/16	Total 2014 / 16	
25	25	50	

### Percentage of Net Budget proposed:

Effect on HRA/DSG: /	VEQ	NO	If YES, outline the effect below
ENGGLUNI INA/DOG. /	<del>+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + </del>	INC	I II I LO. DUUNG NG GUGGI DGIDW

HRA: DSG:

Can this saving be taken in current Financial Year:	YES	NO
If YES to previous question, what is the value that can be taken:		

### **Outcome of Consultation (if required)**

Please outline the outcome and mitigation (where appropriate) of any consultation undertaken on this proposal to cover, where relevant, Service User/Strategic Partner and Staff – statutory and non statutory

This proposal is subject to staff consultation as stipulated within the Council's Employment/Change Management policies.

Risk to Achievability: Please use the following to quantify risk: 1-Least achievable to 4 – most achievable

INISK to A	cinevability	. 1 10030 03	c the follow	ing to qu	Jant	ny nok. i L	cas	t dorne vable	10 4	111031 6	ionicvabic	
	<del>1</del>		<u>₽</u>			a a	}			4		
Impact or	n Corporate	Priorities:										
Main Prio	rity – Most F	Relevant	Secondar	y Priori	ty		Со	rporate Prioritie	S:-			
_							Α.	Community Lea	adership	and emp	owerment	
J								Young people's	s achieve	ement an	d involvement	
Impact of	Impact of saving on corporate			saving	on o	corporate	C.	Clean, green a	nd liveal	ole		
priority			priority				D.	Safety, security	and a v	risible pre	sence	
D !4!	NI 45	Nissatusai	D 141	N1 45		NIt I	E.	Strengthening	the local	economy	/	
Positive	Negative	<del>Neutral</del>	Positive	Negati	<del>ve</del>	Neutral	F.	. Decent Homes for all				
Level of Impact			Level of Impact			G.	G. Protection of children					
					H.	H. Caring for adults and the older people						
High	Medium	Low	High	High Medium		n <del>Low</del>		Active, health citizens				
		-						Inspiring efficiency, effectiveness and equity				
What is the	ne overall ir	mpact on e	qualities?									
	2014/15			2015/16				2016/17				
High	Medium	Low	High	Me	diun	lium Low		High	Med	lium	Low	
Level of i	mpact: Stat	te the level	of impact	on the p	rote	ected char	acte	eristics belo	w:			
Ethnicity:	1					High		Mediur	A		Low	
Gender:						High		Mediur	A		Low	
Age:						High		Mediur			Low	
Disability:						High		Mediur			Low	
Religion/Belief:					High			Mediur			Low	
	y/Maternity				High			Mediur			Low	
	& Civil Part	nerships			High			Medium			Low	
Sexual Orientation:						High		Medium Low			LOW	

If your saving proposal has a high impact on groups with a protected characteristic please explain why, and outline what steps have been/will be taken to mitigate such an impact :

High

Medium

Low

### Outcome of full Equalities Analysis Assessment (if required):

Please outline the outcome of the full EAA if undertaken

As this savings proposal has staffing implications, the service will be required to undertake an equalities analysis assessment (EAA) as part of their restructuring process. As part of their operational business processes, the service will monitor the impact of any staffing implications on service delivery and where necessary, take action to mitigate any resultant impacts.

Ward/Geographical implications – State which specific Wards are directly affected by this proposal

All Wards: If individual Wards, please state:

YES / NO

Gender reassignment

**Legal Implications** – State any specific Legal Implications relating to this proposal

Impact	on Vo	luntary	Sector – S	tate any imp	pact of this	propos	al on the	Voluntary Se	ctor			
	Human Resources Implications – Details relating to the Existing structure											
Will this	savin	g propos	al have an	impact on	staffing le	vels wi	thin you	ur team (yes/	no)?	YES	4	<del>10</del>
Is this a	conti	nuation o	of a previou	s proposal	?					YES	4	<del>10</del>
If YES,	please	e state th	e previous	Reference	No.(s) ar	nd year	r:			2013/14 -	- CL	IS21
band. (  ♠ (not o  ♦ (cove  ♥ include	FTE e covered red by ding p	quivalered by co counces	oroposals, nt, Head Co uncil empl il employed vered by a will provide	ount & Vac oyee) e) gency)	ant)			s in your cu	rrent s	tructure by	/ gra	ade
	Sc	ale 1 - 2	Scale 3	- 5 Scale	6 - SO2	PO1	- PO5	PO6 – PC	08 S	MG1 – SM	G3	JNC
FTE			6									
Head Count			6									
Vacante	•											
Vacante	•											
Vacant	<b>y</b>											
Workfo	Workforce Profile Information											
Please	provid	e a brea	kdown of y	our service	area:							
Gender	:	Female	:			Ma	ale:					
Ethnicit	y:	BME:		White:	Other: N					Not Known:		
Disabilit	ty:					<b>,</b>			•			
Sexual Orienta	tion:	Where	known:				Not K	nown:				
							•					
Human	Reso	urces Ir	nplications	- To be c	ompleted	on con	clusion	of consultati	ons			
•		oposals Head C	•	y posts w	ill be dele	eted w	ithin yo	our structure	by gr	ades (FTE		
equival		1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6	6 - SO2	PO1	- PO5	PO6 – PO8	S SM	1G1 – SMG	3 .	JNC
FTE		7 1 2		Coale	3 002	101	1 00	100 100	3 011			
Head												
Count	Count How do you expect to reduce these posts?											
now ac	you	Expect		•	o r							
			Redundancy TUPE Delete vacant post									
FTE:												
Head C	ount:	1										

Grades:

**DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION:** Resources & Regeneration – Audit & Risk

**REF: RNR01** 

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 1

SERVICE: Internal Audit; Anti-Fraud & Corruption Team; Health & Safety

**LEAD OFFICER:** David Austin

PORTFOLIO: Resources

**SELECT COMMITTEE:** Public Accounts Select Committee

### 2013/14 BUDGET (£000's)

### Net Controllable Budget:

not controllable Badget										
Expenditure	Income	Net Budget								
£000's	£000's	£000's								
5,439	-2,333	3,106								

### **Description of Service**

### Briefly describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

The Audit & Risk Service is responsible for the Council's corporate internal audit, counter fraud, insurance, risk management and health & safety arrangements. It provides assurances on and contributes to the safe, efficient and effective delivery of Council's Services, acting as an agent to challenge where the need and opportunity for improvement is identified.

The Service has a combined net budget of £3.1m (gross £5.4m), 20 staff, a seconded police officer, and manages two large (OJEU) contracts with an internal audit service provider and insurance broker. Other than for H&S it has SLAs with Lewisham Homes and Schools.

### **Description of saving proposed**

### Please provide sufficient details on the proposal:

The savings proposal is £130k.

Internal Audit – review assurance priorities and delivery mechanisms to save £75,000.

Counter Fraud – reduce resourcing of Housing Benefit Investigation by £25,000 (part year) ahead of move to the Single Fraud Investigation Service under Department for Work and Pensions direction. The post is currently vacant.

Health & Safety – delete the vacant post for administration support H&S post to save £30,000 and connect this team to the Business Support Services review to get administration support centrally.

# Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

The internal audit saving will enable the current level of internal assurance work to be provided but via a different approach.

The Counter Fraud saving will reduce the level of housing benefit investigation casework able to be conducted although mitigations around case prioritisation will be introduced in the run up to the service transfer to the Department for Work and Pensions.

The Health & Safety saving will mean the current pressure from not filling the vacant post will continue on the team for a while longer (currently it has been 18 months), pending corporate business support changes.

Does this proposal require a full report. (Seek advice from Legal Services)	YES	NO
Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" ie. span over different Services	YES	NO

Value of F	Proposals p	er yea	r (£00	00's)								
20°	14/15:		2	2015/16:					То	tal 2014	/ 16:	
,	130									130		
Percentag	ge of Net Bu	udget <sub>l</sub>	propo	sed: 4%								
Effect on	HRA/DSG:	1	YES	NO	If Y	ES, o	utline the	effect belo	W			
HRA: DSG:						•						
	saving be ta	aken in	curr	ent Finan	cial Yea	ar:			YE	2:	NO	
	previous qu						n be taken	:	'-			
Outcome of Consultation (if required)												
Please outline the outcome and mitigation (where appropriate) of any consultation undertaken on this proposal to cover, where relevant, Service User/Strategic Partner and Staff – statutory and non statutory  This proposal is subject to processes stipulated within the Council's Employment/Change Management												
policies.	osai is subje	ct to pi	ocess	ses supuia	lea willi		Councils	Lilipioyillei	il/Criari	ge Man	gement	
Risk to Ad	chievability	: Pleas	se use	the follow	ving to c	quanti	ify risk: 1-L	east achiev	able to	4 – mos	t achievable	
	4			2			3	}		_	4	
Impact on	Corporate	Priori	ties:									
Main Prio	rity – Most R	Relevant	t	Seconda	rv Prior	ritv		Corporate Pr	iorities:-			
								A. Commui	nity Leader	rship and e	mpowerment	
	ng efficiency							B. Young p	eople's ac	hievement	and involvement	
	ess and equestions are saving on the saving of the saving		rate	Impact of	savino	on o	corporate	- C. Clean, g	reen and l	iveable		
priority			0.00	priority		,	, p = 10.10			d a visible į		
Desitive	Namativa	NIa4	haal	Desitive	Nege	411.0	Mautual	_	_	local econo	my	
Positive	Negative	Neut	<del>ıraı</del>	Positive	Nega	tive	Neutral		F. Decent Homes for all G. Protection of children			
Level of la	mpact			Level of I	mpact			H. Caring for adults and the older people				
I P ada	N.A. aliana			I II aala	NA - di		1		nealth citize		рофіс	
High	Medium	Lov		High	Medi	<del>um</del>	Low	J. Inspiring	,			
What is th	ne overall in	npact	on eq	ualities?								
2014/15				YYYY/Y	Ύ			YYYY	/YY			
High	Medium	L	ow	High	M	ediun	1 Low	+ Hig	h I	Medium	Low	
Level of in	mpact: Stat	e the I	evel	of impact	on the	prote	ected char	acteristics	below:		•	
Ethnicity:							High	Me	edium		Low	
Gender:							High		edium		Low	
Age:	<u> </u>						High		edium		Low	
Disability: High Medium Low												
Religion/E							High		edium		Low	
_	y/Maternity		inc				High		edium edium		Low	
	& Civil Part	.iiersni	ıρs				High High		edium edium		Low Low	
Sexual Orientation: High Gender reassignment High								<del>edium</del> edium		Low		
			as a h	igh impac	t on ar	ouns				stic ple		
	If your saving proposal has a high impact on groups with a protected characteristic please explain why, and outline what steps have been/will be taken to mitigate such an impact :											

Please of An EAA				ne of the full E	AA if und	ertaken						
		•			- State wi	hich specif	ic Ward	e are di	rectly affected	l by th	uie proposal	
All V				idividual Wa			ic vvaiu	s are un	ectly affected	i by tii	по ргорозаг	
	5 / <del>-N</del> (				. d.o, p.o.d.							
Legal Ir	mpli	cations	– S	tate any spe	cific Legal	Implication	ns relatii	ng to this	s proposal			
No opos	-: <b>::</b> :-	مرما امرم	ر مانا	ationa hava	المان ماما	atitical Cta	.44	الماما	النب مصد	د د ده	4a ba maat	
									ions will conf Voluntary Se		to be met.	
IIIPact	OII V	Olulitai	y	Jector – ota	te arry irrip	act of this	ргороза	טוו נווכ	voluntary of	ClOi		
No spec	cific i	mpact o	n tł	ne voluntary	sector ha	as been ic	lentifie	d.				
					D ( ''	1 (1 (						
Human Resources Implications – Details relating to the Existing structure  Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?												
vviii ti iis	Savi	rig prop	056	ai iiave aii iii	ipact on s	stanning le	veis wi	illill you	ur team (yes	/110) !	YES	OA
Is this a	con	tinuation	of	a previous	proposal?	?:					YES	NO
If YES,	pleas	se state	the	previous F	Reference	No.(s) ar	nd year	:				
\\/ithin	thic	eavings	-	onocala m	aaca ata	to the nee	mhora	f noot	e in vour cu	rrant	t structure by	arada
				t, Head Cou			ilibel (	n post	s iii your cu	iii eiil	structure by	graue
				ncil employ		ant)						
•		_		employee)	,,							
				ered by age	encv)							
	_	•		/ill provide y		ata where	this is	availab	ole)			
`		cale 1 -		Scale 3 - 5		6 - SO2		– PO5	PO6 – PO	3C	SMG1 - SMG	3 JN0
FTE				1		1	14	1.86	1.86		2	
Head						1	,	13	2		1	
Count												
Vacant4				1				1				
Vacant												
Vacant•								1			1	
				rmation								
Please	provi	de a bre	ak	down of you	r service	area:						
Gender	:	Fema	le:	11			Ма	le: 6				
Ethnicity	y:	BME	: 6	3 \	Vhite: 10	)	Other: 1 No					
Disabilit	ty:	1						1				
Sexual	<b>.</b> :	Wher	e k	nown:				Not K	nown:			
Oriental	tion:											
Luman	Pos	Ollkooc	lm	nlications	To be or	ompleted	on con	clucion	of consultat	ione		
									of consultat		grades (FTE	
equival					posis w	iii be dele	teu wi	unin yo	our Structure	e ny (	grades (FIE	
		le 1 - 2		Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6	6 - SO2	PO1 -	- PO5	PO6 – PO	8 8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE				1				1				
Head												+
Count												
How do	you	expect	to	reduce the	se posts	s?				,		
				Redundanc	y		Т	UPE		Γ	Delete vacant	post
FTE:					•						2	
Head C	ount										<del>_</del>	
Grades											Sc 3-5; PO1	-5

Outcome of full Equalities Analysis Assessment (if required):

**DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION:** Resources & Regeneration - Planning

**REF: RNR02** 

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 8

SERVICE: Development Management, Policy, Conservation & Urban Design

**LEAD OFFICER:** John Miller

**PORTFOLIO:** Regeneration

**SELECT COMMITTEE:** Sustainable Development

2013/2014 BUDGET (£000's)

### Net Controllable Budget:

Not Conti Chable Badget:									
Expenditure	Income	Net Budget							
£000's	£000's	£000's							
3,692	1,527	2,165							

### **Description of Service**

### Briefly describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

The planning system guides the future development and use of land in the long term public interest. This is achieved through the preparation of guidance in the development plan and a positive and proactive approach to shaping, considering, determining and delivering development proposals. It is led by the Planning Service, working closely with those proposing developments and other stakeholders. This service is a 'front-line' service and instrumental in both driving change and development in the Growth Areas of Deptford / New Cross, Lewisham and Catford and resisting inappropriate development across the borough. The preliminary figure for new homes completed in the Borough during 2012/13 is 1,752. This increased level of development means that the service is potentially generating the Council £8-10m per annum in New Homes Bonus funding. The service has also secured £3.7m in Section 106 contributions over the last 2 years.

The Planning Service leads on the future allocation of uses and development of land within Lewisham in the long term public interest. The Service provides a strong policy framework to promote regeneration and work closely with those proposing new development. They also provide a planning service to Lewisham residents seeking advice and information about planning issues in their areas, including for Ward Assemblies and other local meetings. They are responding to and supporting the 'Localism Agenda'. The Planning Service's pages on the Council's web site receive amongst the highest number of hits of any service.

The Planning Function works in tandem with the economic development team within the service, which provides strategic expertise on matters relating to the economy as well as providing guidance, commissioning and delivery of employment and business support. It also provides an EU funding and advisory role council wide. The service supports Lewisham residents seeking employment, employment support providers and independent businesses. The service is also a council wide resource on matters relating to Economic Development, Employment, Business, Local Labour and Inward Investment.

### **Description of saving proposed**

### Please provide sufficient details on the proposal:

Planning Service introduced a fee of £1000 plus VAT for the provision of pre-application advice on Major planning applications with a £40,000 income target per annum. This fee was introduced on 1 April 2011. At the time, the Service stated that it would assess the potential to extend pre-application fees to other planning application categories including householder applications.

The provision of the pre-application advice service has now been internally reviewed by the Planning Service and also benchmarked against other comparable London Boroughs.

A combination of an increase in fees for pre application advice on Major planning applications and a new

fee for householder and other small scale scheme pre-application advice should enable an additional £50k to be achieved in fees.

# Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

When the paid pre-application service is fully implemented from 1 April 2014 customers will be able to make an appointment with a Planning Officer. The Planning Officer will deal with both the pre application advice and the planning application when submitted. They will also advise the applicant on how to undertake local consultation on their proposals. The advice will be followed up in writing and will provide a level of certainty to the applicant that a future application should be determined more efficiently and quickly if the development proposals follow the pre-application advice.

Does this proposal requ	pes this proposal require a full report. (Seek advice from Legal Services)										
Is this proposal "cross-	-cutting?" i	e. span ove	er dif	ferent Services	YES	NO					
Value of Proposals per	Value of Proposals per year (£000's)										
2014/15:	14/15: 2015/16:				Total 2014 / 16:						
50					50						
Percentage of Net Budget proposed: 2.3%											
Effect on HRA/DSG: /	YES	NO	lf `	YES, outline the effect belo	w						
HRA: DSG:											
Can this saving be take	YES	NO									
If YES to previous ques	If YES to previous question, what is the value that can be taken:										

### **Outcome of Consultation (if required)**

Please outline the outcome and mitigation (where appropriate) of any consultation undertaken on this proposal to cover, where relevant, Service User/Strategic Partner and Staff – statutory and non statutory

This proposal is not subject to statutory or non-statutory consultation with service users, strategic partners or staff as this will be a discretionary service.

Risk to Achievability: Please use the following to quantify risk: 1-Least achievable to 4 – most achievable 2 3 4 **Impact on Corporate Priorities:** Corporate Priorities:-Main Priority - Most Relevant **Secondary Priority** A. Community Leadership and empowerment E – Strengthening the local J – Inspiring efficiency, B. Young people's achievement and involvement economy effectiveness and equity C. Clean, green and liveable Safety, security and a visible presence Impact of saving on corporate Impact of saving on corporate E. Strengthening the local economy priority priority F Decent Homes for all **Positive Negative** Neutral **Positive Negative Neutral** G. Protection of children H. Caring for adults and the older people **Level of Impact Level of Impact** Active, health citizens Medium Medium High Low High Low J. Inspiring efficiency, effectiveness and equity What is the overall impact on equalities? 2014/15 YYYY/YY YYYY/YY High Medium Low High Medium Low High Medium Low

Level of impact: State the level of impact on the protected characteristics below:									
Ethnicity:	High	Medium	Low						
Gender:	High	Medium	Low						
Age:	High	Medium	Low						
Disability:	High	Medium	Low						
Religion/Belief:	High	Medium	Low						
Pregnancy/Maternity	High	Medium	Low						
Marriage & Civil Partnerships	High	Medium	Low						
Sexual Orientation:	High	Medium	Low						
Gender reassignment	High	Medium	Low						

If your saving proposal has a high impact on groups with a protected characteristic please explain why, and outline what steps have been/will be taken to mitigate such an impact :

An Equalities Analysis Assessment will be completed as this proposes a change to the way the service is delivered which will impact on a large number of people.

### Outcome of full Equalities Analysis Assessment (if required):

Please outline the outcome of the full EAA if undertaken

### Ward/Geographical implications – State which specific Wards are directly affected by this proposal

All Wards: If individual Wards, please state:

YES / NO

**Legal Implications** – State any specific Legal Implications relating to this proposal

The proposal is to increase the current fees for provisions of pre-application advice on Major planning applications and to introduce a new fee for householder and other small scale scheme pre-application advice.

The power to charge for pre-application advice, which is a discretionary service, is derived from S93 of the Local Government Act 2003.

That power allows a best value authority, (of which Lewisham is one), to charge for the discretionary element of its services, if the recipient has agreed to receive that service. This does not apply where the Council has another specific power to charge or where it is expressly prohibited from doing so.

However, under Section 93 any charge must be on a not-for-profit basis (year-by-year) and, taking one year with another, the income from charges for such services must not exceed the cost for providing them.

The Council is prohibited by law from planning for such a surplus and therefore the Council must ensure that the proposed level of fees are a reasonable estimate of what it will actually cost it to provide the proposed services.

### Impact on Voluntary Sector – State any impact of this proposal on the Voluntary Sector

No specific impact on the voluntary sector has been identified.

Human Resources Implications – Details relating to the Existing structure								
Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?	YES	NO						
Is this a continuation of a previous proposal?:	YES	NO						
If YES, please state the previous Reference No.(s) and year:								

Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)											
					ant)						
•	<ul><li>♠ (not covered by council employee)</li><li>♦ (covered by council employee)</li></ul>										
▼ including posts covered by agency)											
	(HR Advisory Service will provide you with data where this is available)										
•	Sca	ale 1 - 2	Scale 3	5 Scale	6 - SO2	PO	1 – PO5	P06	– PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE											
Head											
Count											
Vacant≜											
Vacant◆											
Vacant♥											
Workford	e Pr	ofile Info	ormation								
Please provide a breakdown of your service area:											
Gender:		Female:			Male:						
Ethnicity:		BME: White:				C	Other:			Not Known:	
Disability:										1	
Sexual Orientation		Where k	nown:				Not K	nown:			
Human R	Resou	urces Im	plications	- To be co	ompleted	on co	nclusion	of cons	ultation	IS	
From you equivaler	•			y posts w	ill be dele	eted v	vithin yo	ur stru	cture b	y grades (FTE	
- 5	Scale	1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6	5 - SO2	PO1	– PO5	PO6 -	- PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE											
Head Count											
	ou e	xpect to	reduce tl	nese posts	?						
		Redundancy TUPE Delete vacant post								ost	
FTE:										·	
	lead Count:										
Grades :											

**DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION:** Chief Executive's – Policy & Governance

**REF: RNR03** 

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 1

SERVICE: Chief Executive's Office: Policy & Partnerships Unit; Governance

**LEAD OFFICER:** Barrie Neal

PORTFOLIO: Strategy & Communications

**SELECT COMMITTEE:** Public Accounts Committee

### 2013/14 BUDGET (£000's)

### Net Controllable Budget:

not controlled a superior										
Expenditure	Income	Net Budget								
£000's	£000's	£000's								
2,502	(54)	2,448								

### **Description of Service**

### Briefly describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

The Policy & Governance Division includes the Chief Executive's Office, the Policy & Partnerships Unit, Governance Support and secretariat support to the Resources & Regeneration and Customer Services Directorates.

The Policy function supports the Council's activities in relation to strategic planning, policy development (including statutory equalities duties), consultation & research (including Census intelligence) and performance management. The work underpins and supports robust decision-making and corporate management of the organisation.

The Governance function supports the Mayor and elected members in the administration of effective decision making responsibilities and overview & scrutiny duties. The function also covers responsibilities for member allowances, education appeals, member development, publicity for member surgeries and a whole range of civic events plus international partnerships.

### Stakeholders include:

Chief officers, Mayor and Cabinet, senior managers, partners, elected members, MPs, visiting dignitaries, Borough organisations, members of the public, private and public sector institutions.

### **Description of saving proposed**

### Please provide <u>sufficient</u> details on the proposal:

A saving across the salaries budgets is proposed at £128k for 2014/15 through the deletion of 2.4 vacant posts.

# Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

The vacant posts proposed for deletion arise in relation to:

- one of only two posts supporting the Chief Executive's Office;
- a post in the central policy team
- a part-time post in Governance (Business & Committee services)

The overall reduction will impact on the capacity of teams across the Division to co-ordinate corporate initiatives, undertake high profile projects, deliver and support the preparation of statutory reports, contribute to partnership projects and respond to reactive work on Council priorities.

More specifically the part-time post in governance, now vacant, has traditionally supported the administration of Council meetings and civic events. The deleting of this part-time post would therefore increase pressures in these areas where any additional demands might arise.												
Does this proposal require a full report . YES NO												
Is this pro	posal "cro	ss-cutting?	?" ie. span ov	er differ	ent Se	ervices			YES		NO	
Value of Proposals per year (£000's)												
2014/15: 2015/16:									Total :	2014 /	16:	
1	128									128		
Percentage of Net Budget proposed: 5.2%												
Effect on HRA/DSG: / YES NO If YES, outline the effect below												
HRA: DSG:												
			rent Financ						YES		NO	
If YES to p	previous qu	uestion, wh	at is the va	lue tha	t can	be taken	:					
		ation (if req	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u> </u>				14 41			41.1	
Please outline the outcome and mitigation (where appropriate) of any consultation undertaken on this proposal to cover, where relevant, Service User/Strategic Partner and Staff – statutory and non statutory												
This propo policies.	sal is subje	ct to proces	ses stipulate	ed withi	n the	Council's	Employ	/ment/Cl	nange I	Manag	ement	
Risk to Ac	hievability	: Please us	e the followi	ng to qu	uantify	y risk: 1-L	east ac	hievable	to 4 –	most a	achievable	
	4		2			3	}			-4		
Impact on	Corporate	Priorities:										
Main Prior	rity – Most F	Relevant	Secondary	y Priori	ty		Corpora	ate Prioritie	es:-			
l leanisis	a efficiency		A Comerce	itu e la	A. Community Leadership and empowermen							
	ig efficiency ess and equ			4				Young people's achievement and involvement				
	saving on	_		Impact of saving on corporate				, 0				
priority		-	priority			_		<ul><li>D. Safety, security and a visible presence</li><li>E. Strengthening the local economy</li></ul>				
Positive	Negative	Neutral	Positive	Negati	VA	Neutral		enginening cent Homes		economy		
1 0311110	Negative	Neutrai	1 0311110	ivegati	•	recuttar		tection of cl				
Level of Ir	npact		Level of In	npact				ing for adul		older pe	ople	
High	Medium	Low	High	Mediu	m	Low	I. Act	ive, health o	itizens	·	•	
<u> </u>			Ū	Wieulu		<del>LOW</del>	J. Ins	oiring efficie	ncy, effec	tiveness	and equity	
What is th	e overall ir	npact on e	qualities?									
2014/15			YYYY/Y	Y			Υ	YYY/YY				
High	Medium	Low	High	Me	dium	Low	,	High	Med	lium	Low	
Level of in	npact: Stat	e the level	of impact of	n the p	rotec	ted char	acteris	tics belo	w:			
Ethnicity:						High		Mediu	<del>m</del>		Low	
Gender:						High		Mediu	m		Low	
<u> </u>							Mediu Mediu			Low		
Disability:						High High					Low	
Religion/Belief: Pregnancy/Maternity						High High		Medium Medium			Low Low	
Marriage & Civil Partnerships High Medium Low												
	Sexual Orientation:										Low	
Sexual Orientation: High Gender reassignment High							Mediu	m		Low		

If your saving proposal has a high impact on groups with a protected characteristic please explain why, and outline what steps have been/will be taken to mitigate such an impact :										•	exp	lain
	•				•					•		
Outcome	of full E	gual	ities Anal	vsi	is Assessment (i	f reaui	red) :					
		-		-	AA if undertaken	- 1						
This proposal is subject to processes stipulated within the Council's Employment/Change Management policies.												
	Ward/Geographical implications – State which specific Wards are directly affected by this proposal  All Wards:  If individual Wards, please state:											
		· ·										
YES /-		<u> </u>	tate any en	oci.	fic Legal Implication	c relatir	na to this	nronosal				
Legal Implications – State any specific Legal Implications relating to this proposal  This proposal is subject to processes stipulated within the Council's Employment/Change Management policies.												
Impact or	<mark>ı Volunt</mark> a	ary S	ector – S	tate	e any impact of this	proposa	al on the \	Voluntary Sector				
No specifi	c impact	on th	ne volunta	ry s	sector has been id	entified	d.					
Human R	esource	s Im	plications	<u> </u>	Details relating to	the Ex	istina str	ructure				
					pact on staffing lev				?	YES	4	<del>10</del>
Is this a co	ontinuatio	n of	a previous	s p	roposal?:					YES	1	10
If YES, ple	ease state	e the	previous	Re	eference No.(s) ar	ıd year	:					
band. (FT	E equiva vered by d by coung ng posts	lent cou ncil cov	, Head Co ncil emplo employee ered by a	oun oye e) gei					nt st	ructure by	gra	ide
	Scale 1	- 2	Scale 3 -	5			– PO5	PO6 – PO8	SI	MG1 – SM	G3	JNC
FTE Head			1 1		5.4 5		<u>15</u> 13	7		3 3		1
Count			I		5		13	6		3		ı
Vacant <b></b>					0.4		2	1				
Vacant♦												
Vacant <b>♥</b> <b>Workforc</b>	- Duefile	16.	4:									
				N. Ir	service area:							
Gender:	Fem			Jui	Service area.	Ма	le: 11					
Ethnicity:	BME: 4 White: 23 Other: 2 Not Known:											
Disability:	1											
Sexual Orientatio		re k	nown:				Not Kn	own:				

Human	Human Resources Implications – To be completed on conclusion of consultations									
From your proposals, how many posts will be deleted within your structure by grades (FTE										
equiva	equivalent & Head Count)?									
	Scale	1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6 - SO2	PO1 – PO5	PO6 – PO8	SMG1 – SMG3	JNC		
FTE			0.4	1	1					
Head	ad									
Count										
How do	you e	xpect	to reduce the	se posts?						
			Redundancy	'	TUPE		Delete vacant post			
FTE:							2.4			
Head Count:										
Grades	:		·		·		Sc3-5; PO1-5; PO	D6-8		

**DIRECTORATE AND DIVISION:** Chief Executive - Strategy

REF: RNR04

THEMATIC (T) / CROSS-CUTTING (C) Ref: C 4

**SERVICE:** Strategy

**LEAD OFFICER:** Robyn Fairman

**PORTFOLIO:** Strategy & Communications **SELECT COMMITTEE:** Safer Stronger

### YYYY/YY BUDGET (£000's) – seek information form Finance

### Net Controllable Budget:

Expenditure	Income	Net Budget
£000's	£000's	£000's
2,840	(424)	2,416

### **Description of Service**

### Briefly describe your service and state who your customers and stakeholders are:

Strategy includes the Mayor and Cabinet Office (support to Mayor and Cabinet, and the Young Mayor) Communications (corporate communications, media and internal communications) and the Local Strategic Partnership Team (support to partnerships, co-ordinating major partnership activity such as Troubled Families Programme, Community Budgets, Youth Task Force implementation, and Apprenticeships).

### **Description of saving proposed**

### Please provide sufficient details on the proposal:

A budget reduction of £100k for the Community Budgets Project which will mean a reduction in cross-partner project work.

# Please outline the impact of the changes you propose. Please indicate how the proposal will impact on both staff and service users:

As this savings proposal will mean a reduction in cross-partner project work around innovation, the service will develop a business case and seek resources for specific projects from external sources when needed rather than drawing on baseline funding.

Does this proposal require a full report . (Seek advice from Legal Services)	YES	NO
Is this proposal "cross-cutting?" ie. span over different Services	YES	NO

### Value of Proposals per year (£000's)

2014/15:	2015/16:	Total 2014 / 16:
100		100

### Percentage of Net Budget proposed: 4.1%

Effect on HRA/DSG: /	YES	NO	If YES, outline the effect below
----------------------	-----	----	----------------------------------

HRA: DSG:

Can this saving be taken in current Financial Year:	YES	NO
If YES to previous question, what is the value that can be taken:		

### Outcome of Consultation (if required)

Please outline the outcome and mitigation (where appropriate) of any consultation undertaken on this proposal to cover, where relevant, Service User/Strategic Partner and Staff - statutory and non statutory

This proposal is not subject to statutory or non-statutory consultation with service users, strategic partners or staff.

Risk to Achievability: Please use the following to quantify risk: 1-Least achievable to 4 – most achievable

### **Impact on Corporate Priorities:**

Main Prio	<b>rity</b> – Most F	Relevant	Secondary Priority				
E – Streng	thening the	local		ng efficiency			
economy			effectivene	ess and equ	ity		
Impact of priority	saving on	corporate	Impact of saving on corporate priority				
Positive	Negative	gative Neutral F		Negative	Neutral		
Level of li	mpact		Level of Impact				
High	Medium	Low	High	Medium	Low		

### Corporate Priorities:-

- A. Community Leadership and empowerment
- B. Young people's achievement and involvement
- C. Clean, green and liveable
- D. Safety, security and a visible presence
- E. Strengthening the local economy
- F Decent Homes for all
- G. Protection of children
- H. Caring for adults and the older people
- I. Active, health citizens
- J. Inspiring efficiency, effectiveness and equity

### What is the overall impact on equalities?

2014/15			YYYY/YY				YYYY/YY			
High	Medium	Low	High Med		dium	Low	High	Medium		Low
Level of in	Level of impact: State the level of impact on the protected characteristics below:									
Ethnicity:						High	Mediun	<del>1</del>	Low	
Gender:						High	Medium		Low	
Age:					High		<del>Medium</del>		Low	
Disability:						High	Medium			Low
Religion/B	elief:					High	Medium			Low
Pregnancy	//Maternity					High	Medium			Low
Marriage & Civil Partnerships						High	Mediun	1	Low	
Sexual Orientation:				Hi		High	Medium			Low
Gender reassignment						High	Medium			Low

If your saving proposal has a high impact on groups with a protected characteristic please explain why, and outline what steps have been/will be taken to mitigate such an impact:

### Outcome of full Equalities Analysis Assessment (if required):

Please outline the outcome of the full EAA if undertaken An EAA is not required.

### Ward/Geographical implications – State which specific Wards are directly affected by this proposal

If individual Wards, please state: All Wards:

YES /-NO

**Legal Implications** – State any specific Legal Implications relating to this proposal

No specific legal implications have been identified. There are no contractual issues for this as there is no budget committed under any contracts.

### Impact on Voluntary Sector – State any impact of this proposal on the Voluntary Sector

No specific impact on the voluntary sector has been identified.

Human D			-li-oti	Deteile	enlatina ta	the Evi	-ti	w			
Human Resources Implications – Details relating to the Existing structure  Will this saving proposal have an impact on staffing levels within your team (yes/no)?											
	`			•		VOIO WIL	····· you	· touri (you		YES	NO
is this a c	ontin	iuation of	a previous	proposal	?:					YES	NO
If YES, pl	ease	state the	previous	Reference	No.(s) a	nd year	:				
Within this savings proposals, please state the number of posts in your current structure by grade band. (FTE equivalent, Head Count & Vacant)  ♠ (not covered by council employee)  ♦ (covered by council employee)  ▼ including posts covered by agency)  (HR Advisory Service will provide you with data where this is available)  Scale 1 - 2   Scale 3 - 5   Scale 6 - SO2   PO1 - PO5   PO6 - PO8   SMG1 - SMG3   JNC											
	Sca	ale 1 - 2	Scale 3 -	5 Scale	6 - SO2	PO1	– PO5	PO6 – PC	08 S	SMG1 – SMG	3 JNC
FTE											
Head Count											
Vacant≜											
Vacant◆											
Vacant♥											
Workford	e Pr	ofile Info	rmation								
Please pr	ovide	e a break	down of yo	ur service	area:						
Gender:		Female:				Ма	le:				
Ethnicity:		BME:	BME: White:			Other:			Not Known:		
Disability:											
Sexual		Where k	nown:				Not Kr	nown:			
Orientatio	n:										
			<u>-</u>		<u> </u>			of consultati			
From you equivale:				posts w	ill be dele	eted wi	thin yo	ur structure	by gr	rades (FTE	
	Scale	1 - 2	Scale 3 - 5	Scale 6	6 - SO2	PO1 -	- PO5	PO6 – PO8	3 SN	MG1 – SMG3	JNC
FTE											
Head											
Count How do v	/OU 4	expect to	reduce th	ese nosts	2						
11017 40 9	Jule	•		•		TI	IPF		Da	alete vacant	noet
Redundancy TUPE Delete vacant						SICIC VACAIII	ρυδι				
	Head Count:										

Grades :

### APPENDIX E

# Key Dates – Budget timetable for 2014/15

Key task	Key dates
Mayor & Cabinet agree budget process	13 Nov
Overview and Scrutiny Business Panel (OSBP) – Strategic Financial Review Update report	26 Nov
Select Committees review budget savings proposals	29 Nov to 16 Dec
Trade union consultation (Joint Consultative Committees and Corporate Joint Council, Works Council)	TBC
Provisional Local Government Finance Settlement (expected)	w/c 16 Dec
Mayor & Cabinet consider budget savings proposals	18 Dec
OSBP – option to consider Mayor & Cabinet decisions on budget proposals	TBC
Mayor & Cabinet considers Council Tax Base report	15 Jan
Council agree Council Tax Base report	22 Jan
Public Accounts Select Committee review 2014 Budget Report	6 Feb
Final Local Government Finance Settlement and GLA precepts notification (expected)	20 Jan to 13 Feb
Mayor & Cabinet review proposals and 2014 Budget Report	12 Feb
OSBP - 2014 Budget Report	18 Feb
Despatch Budget Report to Council	18 Feb
Mayor & Cabinet consider Budget Report update (precepts and final Settlement)	19 Feb
Council agree 2014 Budget Report	26 Feb
Council 'fall back' date for 2014 Budget Report	5 March